#### **EXHIBIT G**

#### **BEFORE THE**

#### PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

#### **OF MARYLAND**

IN THE MATTER OF THE CO	MMISSION'S *
-------------------------	--------------

INQUIRY INTO VERIZON MARYLAND INC.'S \*

PROVISION OF LOCAL EXCHANGE \* CASE NO. 9123

TELEPHONE SERVICE OVER FIBER \*

OPTIC FACILITIES. \*

\*

#### **DIRECT TESTIMONY AND EXHIBITS**

OF

ANNIE M. ECKERT

#### ON BEHALF OF THE STAFF

OF THE

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF MARYLAND

June 19, 2008

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduc	tion	1
Summar	y of Conclusions and Recommendations	3
Purpose	of Testimony	3
Backgro	und Information Concerning OER's Tracking of Consumer Disputes	4
	nal and Operational Differences of Telephone Service Provisioned Fiber Network	7
OPC ISS	SUES:	
Issue 1:	The adequacy of Verizon's consumer notice and disclosure of information about the functional and operational service differences of FiOS telephone service versus traditional service over copper facilities	11
Issue 3:	Whether Verizon has engaged in and continues to engage in the tying of FiOS telephone service to the purchase of other FiOS services	24
Custome	er's Requesting Return to Copper Service	25
Conclus	ions and Recommendations	28

1		INTRODUCTION
2		
3	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
5	A.	My name is Annie M. Eckert. My business address is 6 St. Paul Street
6		Baltimore, Maryland 21202.
7		
8	Q.	WHAT IS YOUR OCCUPATION?
9		
10	A.	I am employed by the Maryland Public Service Commission, Office of External
11		Relations, as an Administrative Officer III.
12		
13	Q.	BRIEFLY DESCRIBE YOUR PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE.
14		
15	A.	I have been employed by the Maryland Public Service Commission ("PSC" or
16		"Commission") for 26 years. During that time, I have held positions in various
17		divisions within the Commission. In 1991, I took the position of Utility Affairs
18		Specialist ("UAS") within the Office of External Relations ("OER"). Since
19		January 4, 1995, I have held my current position of Administrative Officer.
20		
21	Q.	DESCRIBE BRIEFLY OER'S FUNCTION AT THE COMMISSION, AND
22		SPECIFICALLY THE POSITION YOU HOLD AS ADMINISTRATIVE
23		OFFICER.
24		
25	A.	Section 20.32 of the Code of Maryland Regulations ("COMAR") governs the
26		Commission's dispute procedures. Pursuant to these procedures, OER is
27		responsible for investigating and responding to consumer inquiries and disputes
28		filed against all public service companies under the Commission's jurisdiction
29		This includes electric, gas, combination gas and electric, telephone, and water
30		companies. A dispute is defined as "a disagreement between a utility and a

customer regarding provision of utility service, disputed bills, billing practices, or terminations of service." Pursuant to COMAR 20.32.01.04F, OER is responsible for initiating a review and investigation to resolve the matter at an informal level. OER's investigation includes but is not limited to (1) obtaining information from the customer and utility; (2) reviewing applicable statutes, regulations and company tariffs; and (3) mediating between the two parties.

As an Administrative Officer, I am one of two supervisors. My duties include:

- Meeting regularly with OER's Manager, who is the agency's spokesperson regarding caseloads and assignments, general office procedures, and any issues that may need to be brought to the Commission's attention.
- Drafting letters for the Chairman's signature regarding disputes filed with the Governor and elected officials.
- Arranging for training for OER staff and developing form letters, talking points, and fact sheets for OER staff to use in talking with consumers.
- Providing day-to-day guidance to six Utility Affairs Specialists ("UAS")
   regarding their cases and current Commission activities.
- Reviewing and assigning all written disputes filed with OER.
- Maintaining my own caseload and investigating consumer disputes.

Q. BRIEFLY ELABORATE ON THE EXTENT OF YOUR CUSTOMER SERVICE EXPERIENCE WITH THE PSC.

A. I have been interacting with the public, by telephone, in person, and in writing for seventeen years. In 2007, I personally investigated 442 gas and electric complaints, 264 telephone complaints, three water company complaints, and 39 miscellaneous inquiries. Because of my efforts, service or billing issues have been resolved and/or adjustments have been applied to a consumer's bill by the utility.

2	Q.	SERVICE COMMISSION?
3		SERVICE COMMISSION.
4	A.	Yes. In 1998 I provided testimony in Case No. 8776, In the Matter of the Inquiry
5		into Certain Unauthorized Practices by Telephone Service Providers.
6		
7		
8		SUMMARY OF CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
9		
10	Q.	PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR CONCLUSIONS AND
11		RECOMMENDATIONS.
12		
13	A.	After performing my own analysis of the answers that have been supplied by
14		Verizon to Staff's data requests and reading the concerns that have been raised by
15		consumers who contacted OER, I have concluded that Verizon should not migrate
16		a consumer's telephone service to fiber without first obtaining the consumer's
17		consent. In addition, Verizon should be required to provide clear and conspicuous
18		notice to consumers regarding the telephone service conversion from copper to
19		fiber. The notice should be separate from any promotional offering or other
20		material that Verizon distributes to consumers regarding the two FiOS services
21		that are not regulated by the Commission
22		
23		
24		PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY
25		
26	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS PROCEEDING?
27		
28	A.	I will provide comments concerning Issue Nos. (1) and (3) included in the
29		Request for An Investigation (hereinafter referred to as "the Investigation") filed
30		by the Maryland Office of People's Counsel ("OPC") on August 9, 2007. The
31		two issues are as follows:

1		(1) The adequacy of Verizon's consumer notice and disclosure of
2		information about the functional and operational service
3		differences of FiOS telephone service versus traditional service
4		over copper facilities;
5		(3) Whether Verizon has engaged in and continues to engage in the
6		tying of FiOS telephone service to the purchase of other FiOS
7		services;
8		In addition, I will provide information concerning disputes that have been filed
9		with OER as it relates to this proceeding.
10		
11		
12		BACKGROUND INFORMATION CONCERNING
13		OER'S TRACKING OF CONSUMER DISPUTES
14		
15	Q.	HOW DOES OER TRACK CONSUMER DISPUTES IN GENERAL AND
16		SPECIFICALLY AS THEY RELATE TO THIS CASE?
17		
18	A.	The Commission's Information Technology ("IT") Department has created a
19		complaint database for OER's sole use. All disputes are assigned a MPSC
20		complaint number for identification followed by a letter to identify how the
21		dispute was filed. For each dispute received, OER records the customer's name,
22		address(es), telephone number(s), and a brief description of the dispute. In order
23		to track complaints, each dispute is assigned at least one "company code" and
24		"complaint code". Since some disputes concern more than one company or issue,
25		it is possible for a dispute to be assigned two or more company codes and two
26		complaint codes. OER Staff is directed to choose the complaint code that best
27		describes the customer's dispute. Exhibit No. 1 includes a list of the complaint

<sup>1</sup> Complaint numbers ending with an "O" symbolize an oral dispute; "W" is used for disputes filed via the Commission's website, and "L" for all written inquiries.

codes are added to the list and obsolete codes are archived.

28

29

codes currently used by OER staff. As new issues occur, additional complaint

1		
2	Q.	WHEN DID OER BEGIN TRACKING DISPUTES CONCERNING
3		VERIZON'S FIBER TO THE PREMISE ("FTTP") PROJECT?
4		
5	A.	In 2005, OER began receiving disputes from consumers who complained about
6		Verizon's FTTP project. At that time OER created a general complaint code,
7		"3333-FiOS disputes/comments", to track disputes. OER received sixty-one
8		(61) 3333-FiOS disputes in 2005. However, all but one dispute
9		$(MPSC\#90574281-L)^2$ concerned issues outside the scope of this investigation. A
10		complete summary of all the disputes classified with the 3333 code that were
11		received over the period of January 1, 2005 to June 6, 2008 are included in
12		Exhibit No. 2.
13		
14	Q.	WHEN DID OER BEGIN TO TRACK CONSUMER DISPUTES THAT MORE
15		CLOSELY RELATE TO THE ISSUES IDENTIFIED IN OPC'S AUGUST 2007
16		REQUEST FOR INVESTIGATION?
17		
18	A.	In late March or early April of 2008, OER created a new complaint code "7717-
19		Provision of telephone service (copper v. fiber)" to better track disputes that
20		more closely fit the issues being investigated in Case No. 9123. Once a new code
21		is created, it is not unusual to re-examine earlier disputes to identify older disputes
22		that need to be updated and reclassified with the new complaint code. A
23		summary of all the disputes with the 7717 code covering the period January 1,
24		2005 to June 6, 2008 are included in Exhibit No. 3.
25		
26	Q.	FOR THE CALENDAR YEARS 2005, 2006, 2007 AND UP THROUGH JUNE
27		6, 2008, PLEASE PROVIDE THE TOTAL NUMBER OF DISPUTES
28		CLASSIFIED WITH THE 3333 AND 7717 CODES.

<sup>2</sup> Customer filed written dispute September 7, 2005. Customer claimed they were offered "more reliable" telephone service if they purchased Verizon's FiOS Internet or Cable service. After OER contacted Verizon, the Company switched the customer's telephone service to fiber.

#### 2 A. OER STATISTICAL REPORT OF VERIZON DISPUTES

<b>Complaint Code</b>	2005	2006	2007	2008	TOTALS
3333-FiOS	61	51	83	85	280
dispute/comments 7717- Provision of					
telephone service	1	2	10	23	36
(copper v. fiber)"					

Q. PLEASE CONFIRM WHETHER OR NOT THE DISPUTES CLASSIFIED
 WITH THE 7717 CODE ARE ALSO CLASSIFIED WITH THE 3333 CODE?

A.

It is possible for disputes to have more than one complaint code. With this said, 21 disputes with the 7717 code are also coded as 3333. Likewise, 15 disputes have been coded with the 7717 code and another complaint code. By doing this, OER can print one summary report that contains all disputes concerning Verizon's FiOS service or we can print a targeted summary report that only contains disputes concerning a specific issue. For instance OER also created a code (7714) that is used for bundled billing disputes. If the bundled billing issue concerned FiOS service, we would enter the following codes: 3333 and 7714.

Q. EXPLAIN HOW OER PROCESSES THE DISPUTES THAT HAVE BEEN FILED AGAINST VERIZON.

A.

For disputes filed by telephone or via the Commission's website (www.psc.state.md.us), OER will send the dispute electronically to a dedicated email address provided by Verizon's Customer Advocacy Group located in Virginia. The Center Manager is our point of contact for urgent matters or cases that need to be escalated. In general, all disputes are assigned to a Verizon

1		Specialist who is responsible for providing the response to the OER Investigator.
2		The written disputes are faxed to a dedicated number and handled in the same
3		matter.
4		
5		
6		FUNCTIONAL AND OPERATIONAL DIFFERENCES OF TELEPHONE
7		SERVICE PROVISIONED OVER THE FIBER NETWORK
8		
9	Q.	BRIEFLY STATE HOW VOICE SERVICE OVER VERIZON'S FTTP
10		NETWORK IS PROVISIONED DIFFERENTLY THAN VOICE SERVICE
11		OVER THE COPPER NETWORK.
12		
13	A.	Different interfaces are needed for the two services. Verizon technicians install a
14		Network Interface Device ("NID") for customers who receive telephone service
15		over Verizon's traditional circuit-switched telephone service ("POTS") via the
16		copper network.
17		
18		During FiOS installation, Verizon will install an Optical Network Terminal
19		("ONT") and ONT Power Supply Unit ("OPSU"), which is equipped with a
20		Battery Back Up ("BBU"). Unlike the NID, the ONT has a power cord that goes
21		into the customer's home through the OPSU, where it must be plugged into a
22		standard electrical outlet. The ONT uses electricity that the customer is
23		responsible for supplying. Electricity supplied at the customer's premise to the
24		ONT is needed to operate all of the services provided over the FiOS network.
25		
26	Q.	WHAT IS THE FUNCTION OF THE BBU?
27		
28	A.	According to Verizon, the BBU was added as a safety feature to supply the
29		customer with additional telephone support time (between four to eleven hours) <sup>3</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Verizon's response to Staff's Second Data Request, Item 2-1. Verizon stated that in older advertisements "Verizon chose to advertise a four-hour supply because four (4)

1	in the event of a power outage or at any time when the customer does not have
2	electricity. (See Exhibit No. 4 - Verizon's response to Staff's DR #1, Item 1-22
3	and Exhibit No. 5 - Verizon Service Guide, Bates 000161 - 000171 included with
4	the Company's response to Staff DR No.1, Item 1-21).

5

6

7

8

Q. HOW IS THE FIOS CONSUMER ALERTED TO THE FACT THAT ELECTRICITY IS NOT AVAILABLE TO THE ONT OR THAT THE BATTERY NEEDS TO BE REPLACED?

9

10 A. According to information that Verizon supplied in its response to Staff's First 11 Data Request, Item 1-21, the OPSU contains a single indicator light that tells the 12 customer whether electrical power is present. In normal operation the light is 13 green. The BBU contains a series of indicator lights to tell the customer whether 14 the service is being powered by the customer's home electricity or the battery. 15 The BBU also contains an audible alarm that sounds when there are any 16 problems. The BBU will shut down approximately one hour before the battery is 17 fully depleted. This is to save some battery life for emergencies. A consumer who 18 needs to make an emergency telephone call will have to press the Battery 19 Emergency Use button once to enable the ONT to reboot for up to one hour for 20 talk time for emergency calls. However, after the button is pushed all remaining 21 battery life is used. The customer is responsible for replacing the battery as needed. The average life of the battery is between one and four years.<sup>4</sup> 22

23

24

25

Q. WHAT CHANGES IN RESPONSIBILITY ARE THERE FOR CUSTOMERS
WHO RECEIVE TELEPHONE SERVICE FROM VERIZON'S FTTP

hours was the lower operating extreme for the BBU under extremely cold conditions (e.g., 20 degrees Celsius or lower). At the other extreme, the BBU can support voice service for as many as 11 hours under normal operating conditions; however, the BBU generally is expected to last eight (8) hours."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Reference Verizon Bates 000167-000170 included with Exhibit No. 5.

1	NETWORK THAT DID NOT EXIST WITH SERVICE SUPPLIED THROUGH
2	THE COPPER NETWORK?

A.

A customer receiving service via Verizon's copper network is responsible for any customer provided equipment ("CPE") and for the repairs and replacement of the inside wiring and telephone jacks. A customer receiving service through Verizon's FTTP continue to bear this responsibility. In addition the FTTP customer must make available an electric outlet in an area where Verizon installs the OPSU and BBU. The customer also is responsible for supplying the electricity to operate this equipment. The customer must learn and understand how the equipment operates and monitor the equipment to ensure electricity is provided. Finally, the customer is responsible for purchasing and replacing the battery in the BBU when the battery is dead.<sup>5</sup>

Q. DOES VERIZON PROVIDE INFORMATION TO FIOS CUSTOMERS ABOUT THE DIFFERENCES BETWEEN PHONE SERVICE PROVIDED OVER COPPER VERSUS FIBER?

A. Verizon does provide information to customers after the customer orders the service and the equipment for the service has been installed. The Verizon technician will give the customer either a FiOS Internet Service Guide and/or FiOS TV User Guide depending on which service had been installed. Included in the Guide, along with information about the new service ordered, is information concerning the differences between FiOS-based phone service and phone service provisioned over the copper network. The Guide also obtains information about the equipment that was installed, and tips for "troubleshooting" problems with the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Per Verizon's answer to Staff DR 2-3, the approximate costs to the customer for replacing the battery is \$16.95. Replacement batteries are available at major electronics outlets and home improvement stores. Verizon will provide customers who contact them with a list of battery replacement vendors.

1		service. After navigating Verizon's website <sup>6</sup> I found similar information on
2		troubleshooting for FiOS telephone service.
3		
4	Q.	DO YOU HAVE ANY CONCERNS REGARDING THE LOCATION AT
5		WHICH VERIZON INSTALLS THE EQUIPMENT NEEDED FOR THE FIOS
6		SERVICE?
7		
8	A.	Electricity is needed to operate all services on the FiOS network. For consumers
9		living in a multi-family dwelling, such as an apartment building or condominium,
10		Verizon may install the ONT and the BBU in an apartment utility room or closet. <sup>7</sup>
11		In some cases Verizon may install the equipment in the consumer's living unit.8
12		Access is needed to monitor the ONT and BBU to ensure electricity is provided.
13		By placing the equipment in an apartment utility room or closet, the telephone
14		customer might not have easy access to this area. Also a misunderstanding may
15		arise as to whether the property owner or the telephone customer, who is the
16		tenant, is responsible for supplying the electricity and replacing the battery when
17		the equipment is located in the utility room or closet.
18		
19	Q.	DOES VERIZON HAVE A TARIFF PROVISION ADDRESSING THE
20		CUSTOMER'S RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE ELECTRIC POWER SUPPLY?
21		
22	A.	Yes. In October 2004, Verizon filed a tariff revision (General Regulations Tariff
23		P.S.C. Md. No. 201, E-9) to address the customer's responsibility to supply
24		electric power and maintain "all necessary power wiring and power outlets at

<sup>6</sup>http://www22.verizon.com/ResidentialHelp/Phone/General+Support/FiOS+Phone/FiOS+Phone.htm.

convenient locations" to operate the FiOS services. The tariff also limits the

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Reference Verizon's Internet Service Guide, page 3 (Bates 000021).
 <sup>8</sup> Reference "About Installation" video included at the following web address: http://www22.verizon.com/content/consumerfios/about+installation/about+installation.htm

1 2		Company's liability for telephone service disruptions in the event of a commercial power failure. <sup>9</sup>
3		
4		
5		ISSUE 1: The adequacy of Verizon's consumer notice and disclosure of
6		information about the functional and operational service differences of FiOS
7		telephone service versus traditional service over copper facilities.
8		The second secon
9	Q.	DOES VERIZON ADVERTISE AND OFFER A PRODUCT CALLED "Fios
0		TELEPHONE SERVICE?"
1		
2	A.	No. The only FiOS products available from Verizon's website are the FiOS
3		Internet and FiOS TV. A consumer cannot purchase a service from Verizon
4		called "FiOS Telephone Service". 10
5		
6	Q.	NAME THE TWO FiOS PRODUCTS THAT VERIZON ADVERTISES AND A
7		CUSTOMER CAN ORDER?
8		
9	A.	A customer may order Verizon's FiOS high speed Internet and/or FiOS TV
0		service.
1		
		second paragraph of Verizon's tariff (P.S.C. Md. No. 201, E-9) states that "In the of a commercial power failure, the Telephone Company shall have no liability,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> The second paragraph of Verizon's tariff (P.S.C. Md. No. 201, E-9) states that "In the event of a commercial power failure, the Telephone Company shall have no liability, including liability for any direct or consequential damages, for the resultant interruption of the customer's service. The Telephone Company shall also have no liability for any damage to the customer's premises resulting from the existence of the Customer-provided power supply, wiring or power outlet."

<sup>10</sup> See Verizon's responses at 1 and 3, Memorandum in Support of Motion for Leave to

Reply filed November 16, 2007. For Item 1 Verizon writes: "Regulated voice service provided over the fiber optic facilities is *not* a FiOS product offering." For Item 3 Verizon writes "As an initial matter, it is plain from Verizon's web site that the *only* FiOS products available for purchase are FiOS Internet and FiOS TV, and that there is no standalone FiOS voice service product available for purchase."

1	Q.	EXPLAIN THE WAYS IN WHICH A CONSUMER MAY ORDER A FIOS
2		SERVICE.
3		
4	A.	A customer may order FiOS Internet or TV service either by calling Verizon's
5		business office or from a Verizon website. In addition, Verizon may enroll new
6		customers via door-to-door sales solicitation; at a kiosk located at select Verizon
7		Wireless stores and at Annapolis, Columbia, Montgomery, and Wheaton Malls;
8		from retailers such as Best Buy, Circuit City and Wal-Mart; and through Verizon
9		sales agent partners such as My Cell, InTouch Concepts, and Atlantic Wireless. 11
10		
11	Q.	HOW DOES VERIZON INFORM POTENTIAL NEW CONSUMERS (1) THAT
12		VERIZON WILL CHANGE THE CUSTOMER'S VOICE SERVICE TO FIBER
13		WHEN THE CUSTOMER ORDERS FIOS INTERNET AND/OR FIOS TV
14		SERVICE; AND (2) THAT A CUSTOMER'S VOICE SERVICE OVER FIBER
15		WILL REQUIRE A BATTERY BACKUP FOR WHICH THE CUSTOMER IS
16		RESPONSIBILE FOR MONITORING?
17		
18	A.	Verizon includes a statement, in very small print, on the advertisement material it
19		mails to consumers about the FiOS Internet or TV services when the service is
20		available in the consumer's area. On some material that I examined, the
21		disclosure was at the bottom on the first page of the flyer or letter addressed to the
22		consumer. On other material, the disclosure is on the reverse side at the bottom.
23		
24		For <u>Bates 000180</u> , included with Verizon's response to Staff's DR, Item 1-19, the
25		disclosure is on the bottom. It states "Verizon FiOS internet customers purchasing
26		Verizon voice service receives both services over fiber. Includes up to 8 hours
27		battery backup (for non-IP voice service only). Customer responsible for power
28		and replacement batteries." For Bates 000181-000182 and 000185-000186 (also

<sup>11 (</sup>Reference Verizon's response to Staff's First Data Request, Item 1-23.)

DR Item 1-19), the disclosure is on the reverse side at the bottom. This disclosure states: "FiOS Internet customers purchasing Verizon voice service receive both services over fiber. Includes up to 8 hours battery backup (for non-IP voice service only.)" However, there is no mention that the customer is responsible for supplying the electric power or the replacement batteries. For <u>Bates 000187</u>, the disclosure is hard to read but appears to be similar to earlier disclosures. A copy of each Bates is included as <u>Exhibit No. 6</u>.

Verizon also includes information on the sales order forms that are used when the company solicits customers via door-to-door sales or enrolls new customers at a Maryland event where the Company has agents selling FiOS services.

Q. DOES VERIZON MAIL TO CONSUMERS, WHO ORDERED A FIOS
SERVICE, ANY ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AFTER SERVICE IS
ORDERED AND BEFORE IT IS INSTALLED INDICATING THAT (1)
VERIZON WILL CHANGE THE CUSTOMER'S VOICE SERVICE TO FIBER
AND/OR (2) THE CUSTOMER WILL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR SUPPLYING
ELECTRICITY AND REPLACING THE BATTERY BACKUP?

A. Based on Verizon's response to Staff's First Data Request, Item 1-20, Verizon does not send printed material to customers <u>after</u> service is ordered and <u>before</u> installing the service. However, Verizon will direct customers to visit its website at <u>www.verizon.net/whatsnext</u> to view the pending order request and review the installation and other information provided during the ordering process.

Q. WERE YOU ABLE TO FIND ANY OTHER INFORMATION ON VERIZON'S
WEBSITE CONCERNING THE MIGRATION OF VOICE SERVICE TO
FIBER FOR CUSTOMERS WHO ORDERED FIOS INTERNET SERVICE?

30 A. If you go to Verizon's website, <a href="http://www22.verizon.com/">http://www22.verizon.com/</a>, click on the <a href="Internet">Internet</a></a>
31 <a href="http://www22.verizon.com/">button</a>, then <a href="FiOS Internet">FiOS Internet</a>, and "About Installation", you find information about

what you can expect when Verizon comes to your home to install FiOS Internet Service. Included is a video that you can watch which provides some basic information about FiOS installation and set up. Also under a section entitled "What's included in a professional installation?" are five listed items under the sentence: "On the date of your <u>installation</u>, a Verizon professional will come to your home and install Verizon FiOS Internet Service. They will need access to your home computer and will perform the following:" Following three bullet items about the Internet installation are the following two sentences about voice service: "Migrate any voice services on the current billing account to the Verizon FiOS network. There is no additional cost for this, and it will not affect your current monthly charges." (Emphasis added.)

Q. ARE YOU AWARE OF ANY CHANGES TO THE INFORMATION ON VERIZON'S WEBSITE SINCE OPC'S AUGUST 9, 2007 FILING TO THE COMMISSION?

OPC included with its filing Exhibit C, which is the FAQs page for A. customers wanting more information about Verizon's FiOS Internet service. On July 20, 2007 (the date printed on the OPC Exhibit) this information included more information than it did on June 2, 2008 when I last checked Verizon's website. On OPC Exhibit C there was a No. 5, which stated "What will happen if I have multiple phone lines at my home that are on the same bill today? Will all my voice services be put on fiber?" (Emphasis added) On June 2, 2008, I visited this "FAQs" page and noticed that Question No. 5 had been removed. On the updated version, Verizon provided information regarding the connection speed and availability of technical support for the FiOS Internet service. However, Verizon removed many of the other questions, including Question No. 5, which addressed voice service for multiple phone lines. On June 2, there was no information on the FAQ page concerning Verizon's policy to convert multiple phone lines to fiber at the time Verizon installs the FiOS service. (See Exhibit No. 7)

Q. WHAT WERE YOUR EXPERIENCES WITH THE "LIVE CHAT" ON VERIZON'S WEBSITE?

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

A.

1

I have mixed feelings about my experience. On the one hand the representative answered my questions and was helpful. However, on the other hand, the representative's answers were vague. When I asked the question "Will there be any changes to my telephone?" if I ordered the FiOS Internet the representative did tell me that Verizon would replace the "older copper line" with a "fiber optic line". However, when I asked him "What does that mean to me?" he clearly stated that "It will not affect your telephone service at all." Had I been a new customer and dropped off the conversation, I would never have learned about the installation of the ONT or BBU. It was not until I specifically asked "Does Verizon have to install new equipment for my telephone service? equipment?" that the representative told me about the ONT and BBU. Also of concern is that the representative never explained that I would be responsible for supplying the electricity needed to operate this equipment or that I would be responsible for replacing the battery in the BBU. I even asked him point blank "Do I have to do anything with this equipment? Is there anything else about the equipment that I need to know?" He did refer me to the "About Installation" video after I asked him if there was more information available on-line. (Exhibit No. 8)

23

24

25

## Q. IN YOUR OPINION IS THE INFORMATION ON VERIZON'S WEBSITE READILY AVAILABLE TO CONSUMERS?

2627

28

29

30

31

A. As stated above, Verizon does include some information on its website regarding the migration of the voice service to fiber. However, the information needs to be more prominent and clearer. The information on Verizon's website is not always easy to find, and it is not readily available. By directing consumers to its website, Verizon places the burden on the consumer to search for information rather than

supplying the information directly to them. Also by directing the consumer to its website, there is an assumption that the consumer already has access to the Internet or that the consumer is going to go to the Internet to read this very important information. New Internet subscribers or consumers placing orders for the TV service only may not have access to the Internet. Whether or not consumers have access to the Internet is not the only concern. Consumers should not have to spend time navigating Verizon's website to find important information about changes that will be made to their telephone service. This information is buried in other terms and conditions about the Internet or TV service. Important information can easily be missed or disregarded as unimportant. Most customers who order the FiOS Internet or TV service are going to be looking for information about packaging, pricing, and installation of the Internet or TV service. They have no reason to look for information about changes to the telephone service unless they have requested a change in their telephone service. Verizon needs to furnish information about the migration of the telephone service to fiber to new customers before the FiOS service is installed, and the information furnished needs to be clear and easy to understand.

Q. WHAT IS THE ESSENTIAL DIFFERENCE TO THE CONSUMER RECEIVING VOICE SERVICE OVER VERIZON'S FTTP NETWORK VERSUS COPPER THAT CONCERNS YOU?

A. The customer is responsible for supplying the electricity to the ONT and replacing the battery as needed. Since this is a new responsibility to consumers, they need to be properly informed so that they can make an informed decision. This is especially critical for consumers who live in areas where they loose electric power frequently. Those consumers need to understand fully that the BBU will only provide a limited amount of talk time during commercial power outages and that they are responsible for monitoring the BBU and replacing the battery as needed.

1 Q. HAS OER RECEIVED INQUIRIES FROM CONSUMERS WHO RAISED
2 ANY CONCERNS ABOUT HOW VERIZON DISCLOSES INFORMATION
3 TO THE PUBLIC REGARDING THE PROVISIONING OF TELEPHONE
4 SERVICE OVER FIBER?

A. OER has received some comments from consumers who requested that Verizon return their telephone service to copper because they claimed that they "did not know" or "were not aware" that the telephone service would be migrated to fiber. A few consumers claimed that they did not understand that the telephone service would not work without electricity or that there was a temporary battery backup unit that they had to monitor.

The following are <u>actual</u> quotes from consumers who expressed an unawareness or lack of understanding about the conversion of their voice service to fiber.

For MPSC#50786310-W, the customer wrote "I did not understand that, when they said they were going to "upgrade" my phone to FiOS too, that meant having my phone on battery backup if the power went down." For MPSC#80788265-W, the customer stated "When I purchased the service, I was under the impression that I was to receive the Direct TV package but I was informed later by a Verizon representative that I would be receiving the FIOS service to which I agreed to. At the time, I was unaware that the telephone service was not available during electrical outages and since my area frequently suffers from electrical outages for indeterminate lengths of time, this was of concern to me." (Emphasis added)

For MPSC# 20893663-O, the customer told the OER representative that he was 80 years old. He stated that he ordered the FiOS TV only, and he was not aware that Verizon would switch the telephone service to fiber. This customer experienced an electrical outage that lasted a day and half. He was concerned because he lost telephone service after the battery backup failed. He wanted his

telephone service returned to copper because he needed 24 hour access to 911 due to his age and health.

Q. DOES OER HAVE RECORD OF ANY CONSUMERS WHO RAISED ANY
 CONCERNS ABOUT THE ONT OR BBU AND WHO REQUESTED THAT
 VERIZON RETURN THEIR TELEPHONE SERVICE TO COPPER?

A.

Yes. OER has heard from several consumers who ordered a FiOS service, and then wanted to cancel because of concerns with the electricity requirement. In addition to <a href="MPSC#'s 80788265-W">MPSC#'s 80788265-W</a> and <a href="20893663-O">20893663-O</a> referenced above, OER has heard from other customers who raised similar concerns about the BBU and loss of telephone service during power outages. Below are <a href="actual">actual</a> customer quotes as noted in OER's files:

For MPSC#30785238-L, the customer sent a letter to Verizon's President, Bill Roberts, about her experience with FiOS service. Specifically the consumer wrote: "On 14 February 2007, as a result of a wide spread ice storm, my home lost power for an aggregate of 25 hours over two days. As a result of the power outage, I lost telephone service for approximately 16 hours." The customer went on to write: "Because the extended loss of telephone connection is directly related to the conversion from analog (copper) telephone connection to FiOS, I have requested that my telephone service be returned to an analog connection. That request was denied by the Verizon Fiber Resolution Board. The reason given for not reverting my telephone connection to copper (analog) was that "you only lost power once with FiOS" is patently ridiculous. After 21 years of Verizon telephone service, my home has had more than ten electrical (missing word) long enough to exhaust a FiOS backup battery. During none of those outages did I lose telephone service."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Verizon technicians are required to identify the customer's issue and reason why they are requesting a return to copper. If the technician cannot find a resolution, they are to escalate to the appropriate Verizon customer support team who is responsible for approving or denying the customer's request to revert the service to copper.

In sum, the customer has noted that prior to the migration of their voice service to fiber they lost power 10 times and never lost telephone service. Shortly after the conversion to fiber, they lost power for two days and were without telephone service for 16 hours. (Exhibit No. 9)<sup>13</sup>

For MPSC#100789974-W, the consumer stated that Verizon was "forcing" him to remove the copper phone service. In addition the customer expressed concern about the BBU. Specifically he wrote: "I'm told by the rep that they will remove my cooper [sic] service and I will only have an 8 hour backup battery for phone service. I THINK THIS IS APPAULING and unsafe. I've had power outages longer than 8 hours. IN the event of an emergency what is my family to do. I would like to see verizon not force it's customers to get telephone FIOS if they only want ty and internet. "

In MPSC# 120791495-L, the customer provided the Commission a copy of a letter they wrote to Verizon. (Exhibit No. 10) This customer expressed concern about Verizon changing the phone service to fiber from copper. Customer stated that he/she suffers extended power outages, lasting three to five days, on a regular basis. The customer expressed concern about not being able to call 911 because of limited life of the battery in the BBU. The customer requested that Verizon restore the telephone service to copper. For MPSC# 30893910-O, the customer told the OER representative that for medical reasons they could not have telephone service on fiber.

## Q. HAVE YOU RECEIVED ANY DISPUTES CONCERNING A CONSUMER'S INABILITY TO SWITCH TO ANOTHER TELEPHONE PROVIDER

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> The customer's comments were noted on pages 1 and 2 of the letter to Mr. William Roberts. Also included in the customer's letter are comments regarding other problems with the FiOS order, installation, and obtaining information about the equipment that had been installed by Verizon.

1		BECAUSE OF THE PROVISIONING OF TELEPHONE SERVICE OVER
2		VERIZON'S FIBER NETWORK?
3		
4	A.	We have received a few disputes from consumers who claimed that they could not
5		switch service to another provider or they expressed concern about whether they
6		would be able to obtain service after Verizon removes the copper service. Below
7		are actual customer quotes as noted in OER's records:
8		
9		In MPSC#10676829-W, the customer writes: "One Touch Communications is not
10		on your list. I have 2 addresses for them P.o. Box 7315, Shrewsbury, NJ 07702
11		and P.O. Box 3000, Hicksville, NY 11802. We have NO dial tone, because this
12		phone company lied to us by saying they could handle FIOS service. This is
13		propreitary to Verizon, yet Verizon disconnected us knowing or should have
14		known that this CLEC couldn't provide the service. NOW Verizon will not
15		reconnect us with either copper wire or FIOS. We have been without Residential
16		phone since 12/22/2005. I think it's punishment for trying to sign with a CLEC.
17		There have been at least 8 orders to reconnect, but they keep getting cancelled. "14
18		(Emphasis added)
19		
20		MPSC#10783835-L was a referral from the Attorney General's Office. The
21		customer wrote a letter expressing concern about Verizon's policy to remove
22		copper service. (Exhibit No. 11) The customer felt that it would affect

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> OER received a response from Verizon, dated January 24, 2006. In the response, Verizon advised "FTTP/FiOS is a Verizon offered service. No other CLEC can offer the service. Spectrotel promised they could supply the service, which was not the case. In working to reinstate the service, copper was no longer available so the service had to be run back in as FiOS and the copper Spectrotel order cancelled." Also on February 2, 2006, OER received a response from One Touch d/b/a Spectrotel. The Company stated that "ONE Touch proceeded with the order based on the fact it was a POTS (Plain Old Telephone Service) line. ONE Touch did not become aware that it was a Fiber Optic line until a Verizon Technician was dispatched out for a no dial tone issue on December 23, 2005. I would like to assure you if the CSR would have revealed Mr. \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ 's line was fiber optic, ONE Touch would have contacted Mr. \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ to advise him that we do not provide service to fiber optic lines and his order will be canceled." This customer was reinstated with Verizon's FiOS voice service.

1		competition, and she wanted to know if Verizon's policy to remove copper was
2		legal.
3		
4		For MPSC#10892695-L, the customer wrote a letter stating that he/she ordered
5		Verizon's FiOS Internet and TV service. The customer had two telephone lines.
6		After the customer lost all services, including the two telephone lines, they
7		discovered that Verizon switched their telephone lines to fiber. The customer
8		requested our office's assistance in having Verizon return the voice service to
9		copper since this was a medical office, and the customer could not risk loosing
10		telephone service. OER was able to assist the customer in having the copper
11		service restored. The customer called OER and stated that the fact her phone was
12		on fiber prevented her from being able to switch to AT&T. She did not file a
13		dispute since her service was returned to copper. However, she claimed that
14		AT&T told her that they could not switch her service once she told them her
15		service was on fiber. (Exhibit No. 12)
16		
17	Q.	ARE THERE ANY ADVANTAGES TO CUSTOMERS RECEIVING VOICE
18		SERVICE OVER THE FTTP NETWORK?
19		
20	A.	Unlike copper, fiber is impervious to water damage. According to Verizon, fiber
21		repairs can be done more quickly, which may reduce the amount of time a
22		customer is out of service. 15
23		
24	Q.	HAVE YOU HEARD FROM ANY CONSUMERS WHO REQUESTED
25		TELEPHONE SERVICE OVER THE FTTP NETWORK TO RESOLVE A
26		REPAIR PROBLEM?
27		
28	A.	OER does have record of a few consumers who either requested that Verizon
29		change the telephone service to copper to improve reliability or who were told
30		that they could "upgrade" the service if they ordered FiOS. For MPSC#90574281-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Reference Verizon's response to question 1-1 of Staff's Data Request.

L, the customer claimed that she was offered "more reliable" service if she subscribed to Internet, as well as future TV through FIOS. (Exhibit No. 13) The customer went on to claim that "If she stays with existing service, she would need to still use the 35 year old wiring." For MPSC #120683444-W, the customer complained about frequent telephone outages. Accordingly the customer said that "Verizon technicians report that the problem is in the wire servicing the neighborhood and will not be corrected until the wire is replaced (reportedly 6 months) or I connect to their fibreoptic [sic] cable which is in the neighborhood." Finally for MPSC# 10892788-W, a customer said that "For the past two years, we have tried to get Verizon to fix a problem with our telephone line. It is an intermittent problem, in which early in a call -- either outgoing or incoming -- you will hear a short buzz and sometimes the call comes back, but usually the call is dropped." Moreover, the customer stated "Two people at Verizon I talked to said the company could replace our line with a FIOS line. Three people at Verizon I talked to said they would only provide FIOS if we got phone, internet and TV service together. Finally, I asked to be transferred to the copper line repair desk. That last person said they would not come out to fix our line unless it completely died."

1819

20

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

Q. DOES VERIZON OBTAIN AFFIRMATIVE CONSENT FROM A CONSUMER PRIOR TO SWITCHING THE CUSTOMER'S TELEPHONE SERVICE TO FiOS?

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

A.

Verizon does not obtain affirmative consent from the consumer prior to switching the voice service to fiber. In response to OPC's Second Data Request, Item 2-3, Verizon acknowledged that there is no standard consent form used by Verizon to document that a consumer consented to the voice migration to fiber. However, Verizon stated that "the fact that residential customers elect to have FiOS Internet or TV services installed after receiving multiple forms of written and verbal notification that their voice service will be migrated to fiber is direct evidence of those customers's consent to the migration." Based on Verizon's statement,

consumer consent is implied upon the consumer's acceptance of the FiOS Internet or TV service and after the installation of the service in the consumer's home or office.

3

1

2

Q. HAS OER HEARD FROM ANY CONSUMERS WHO CLAIMED THEY DID
 NOT GIVE CONSENT FOR VERIZON TO MIGRATE TELEPHONE
 SERVICE TO FIBER?

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

A.

Yes. For MPSC#50786310-W, the customer wrote in its dispute to OER that "I did not understand that, when they said they were going to 'upgrade' my phone to FiOS too, that meant having my phone on battery backup if the power went down. If I'd understood about the battery back up, I never would have allowed the 'upgrade.'" The consumer said "Even assuming that I should have realized from the terms and conditions of FiOS Internet that I was losing the old phone line and getting battery backup, don't I have some sort of 30 days buyer's remorse to rescind my order and get my old phone line back?." For MPSC# 70680604-W, the customer claimed "Verizon implemented an order for fiber (Fios) service on my home phone without my request, permission or knowledge." Also, for MPSC# 80788781-L, the customer stated that he "requested FIOS Television service only. Verizon tech came out installed FIOS television, telephone and Broadband. did not want Internet or phone service." Finally for Customer MPSC#120791440-O, the customer claimed that when she called Verizon to cancel long distance service, Verizon convinced her to switch from her current cable provider to FiOS. She said that "she never signed any contract nor did she receive anything in writing regarding terms and conditions of the service." In addition to wanting to cancel the cable service, the customer wanted Verizon to restore telephone service to copper. She said that "The Verizon tech told her that when the box beeps, she needs a new battery. Customer said she never asked for this. She wants things back the way they were."

2930

1		ISSUE 3: Whether Verizon has engaged in and continues to engage in the
2		tying of FiOS telephone service to the purchase of other FiOS services.
3		
4	Q.	DOES VERIZON OFFER AN OPTION FOR CONSUMERS TO PURCHASE
5		VOICE SERVICE OVER THE FTTP NETWORK ON A STAND ALONE
6		BASIS AND WITHOUT SUBSCRIBING TO A FiOS SERVICE?
7		
8	A.	Not at this time. 16 As stated previously, the only FiOS products that a consumer
9		may purchase from Verizon are the FiOS Internet and TV services. A consumer
10		cannot simply call Verizon and purchase a product called FiOS Telephone
11		service. 17
12		
13	Q.	DOES VERIZON CHANGE A CUSTOMER'S VOICE LINES TO FIBER
14		WHEN THE CUSTOMER ORDERS A FiOS SERVICE?
15		
16	A.	Yes. It is stated on Verizon's website and/or marketing material for FiOS Internet
17		and FiOS TV services that for customers "purchasing Verizon voice service
18		[they] receive both services over fiber." In OPC Exhibit C, included in the
19		Request for Investigation, filed August 9, 2007, are the Frequently Asked
20		Questions (FAQs) Verizon made available on its website. For customers with
21		more than one telephone line, it stated "All fiber-compatible voice services will
22		be migrated to the FiOS network as part of your installation." Verizon
23		acknowledged that the Company does migrate "all of the customer's fiber-
24		compatible voice service to the FiOS network as part of the installation of the
25		FiOS Internet service "18

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup>Verizon's response to Maryland Office of People's Counsel Second Data Request, Item 2-10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Also, Verizon's response at 3, Memorandum in Support of Motion to Leave to Reply, filed November 16, 2007.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> See page no. 9, Item No. 13, of Verizon's Response to Request of Office of People's Counsel, dated August 31, 2007.

1	Q.	HAVE ANY CONSUMERS COMPLAINED THAT VERIZON CHANGED
2		MULTIPLE LINES WHEN MIGRATING SERVICE TO FIBER?
3		
4	A.	For MPSC#10892695-L that was mentioned above, a doctor's office filed a
5		dispute and stated that they lost service to all phone and fax numbers. After
6		reporting to Verizon they discovered that their telephone lines had been switched
7		over to FiOS. In addition, OER received the following dispute in 2006. For
8		MPSC#60680173-W, the customer said that he/she switched to Verizon FIOS
9		service for the main phone number. However, the customer was told by Verizon
10		that the second line had to be switched to fiber also.
11		
12		
13		<b>CUSTOMER'S REQUESTING RETURN TO COPPER SERVICE</b>
14		
15	Q.	WHY MIGHT A CONSUMER REQUEST THAT VERIZON RETURN
16		TELEPHONE SERVICE TO COPPER?
17		
18	A.	A consumer may request that Verizon return their telephone service to copper for
19		a number of reasons. The consumer may experience a technical problem or
20		dissatisfaction with the FiOS service. In addition, the consumer may find that
21		their CPE or alarm system is not compatible with the fiber service. Other
22		consumers may want to return to copper because of concerns with the electricity
23		requirement and battery backup unit.
24		
25	Q.	EXPLAIN HOW VERIZON RESPONDS TO CUSTOMER REQUESTS TO
26		RETURN TO COPPER SERVICE?
27		
28	A.	It is Verizon's preference that once a customer is migrated to the FTTP network,
29		the customer should remain on the fiber network.
30		However, for customers who report a technical issue, a Verizon service
31		technician is to attempt to identify and understand the customer's issue and find a

resolution. In the event the technician cannot resolve the customer's request to revert back to the copper network, the technician is to escalate the issue to an appropriate customer support team that has the authority to approve the customer's request to revert back to the copper network.

Consumers who contacted OER were unable to have their service converted back to copper so they sought our assistance. One consumer stated "Verizon service people told me they'd "forward my complaint through channels" and that I'd hear from them within 30 days. They also said that complaints like this are never resolved in the customer's favor." (See MPSC#50786310-W).

Another customer who ordered FiOS said "When we asked Verizon to remove redundant telephone wiring not being used, workmen come to our home and threaten that once removed, Verizon will never reinstall it if we ever do not like fibre [sic] optic service." (See MPSC#10892575-W)

Q. IS OER AWARE OF ANY CASES IN WHICH VERIZON DENIED THE CUSTOMER'S REQUEST TO RETURN TO COPPER SERVICE?

A. OER is aware of at least two incidents where Verizon denied the customer's request to return to copper. For MPSC# 40894755-L, the customer claimed that he ordered FiOS Internet Service only. Previously the customer had telephone service with a VoIP provider. However the customer had to cancel service with the VoIP provider. He requested that Verizon reinstall the NID so he could obtain service via the copper network. On May 14, 2008, Verizon responded as follows to OER: "After further review of Mr. \_\_\_\_\_\_'s rebuttal Verizon stands by its initial response that once Verizon installs FiOS at an address and phone services connected by us will be on the fiber optic network."

For MPSC#20784357-O, the consumer first contacted OER in February 2007. He requested that Verizon return his telephone to copper service because his ADT

1 Security System was not compatible with the fiber service. On February 22, 2007 2 a Verizon representative stated to OER that "Mr. \_\_\_\_ was informed that his 3 request to have copper reinstalled has been denied by Verizon. It was explained 4 that most security companies including ADT have services and equipment that are 5 compatible with Fiber Optics. A number of security alarm companies offer 6 wireless security systems because technology is changing. It was further 7 explained that Verizon could not be responsible for providing products that are 8 not compatible to Verizon's own products (i.e. security systems). Verizon 9 apologized that this information was not explained to Mr. \_\_\_\_\_ during the sales process." 10 11 12 The same dispute was resubmitted to OER in August 2007 after the customer 13 contacted Congressman Wynn's Office in June 2007. (MPSC# 80788892-L). 14 However, this time when OER contacted Verizon the Company advised that "Mr. 15 telephone services were switched back to copper on July 2, 2007." 16 17 For MPSC# 30893910-W, the customer requested that Verizon restore copper 18 service for medical reasons. On March 11, 2008, Verizon responded to OER. The Company stated that "I had spoken with Mr. \_\_\_\_\_ on 3/5/08 and advised 19 20 that per his request, Verizon had invested in bringing FIOS to his premise. 21 Records show that the Customer had agreed to enroll with FIOS, as well as take 22 advantage of promotions and plans. Verizon advised that the only way we would 23 be able to convert order from FIOS to Copper would be to either switch back to 24 Global or another company of his choice; otherwise, he could accept Verizon 25 FIOS and apply for a change to copper which would possibly have a 30-day delay 26 and could still be denied unless there was a medical emergency." [Emphasis 27 added] 28 29 Q. HOW WOULD OER HANDLE A DISPUTE IF VERIZON DENIES THE 30 CONSUMER REQUEST TO RETURN TO COPPER AND THE CONSUMER 31 CONTINUED TO ESCALATE THE DISPUTE?

1 2 A. OER typically bases its determinations on existing COMAR regulations, tariffs, 3 or PSC decisions. In this case, if no regulations, tariffs or PSC Orders govern the 4 issue at hand, OER would have no choice but to accept Verizon's response as is 5 and tell the consumer we are unable to assist. The consumer would then have the 6 right to Appeal OER's final determination to the Commission under Public Utility 7 Companies Article, §3-102, Annotated Code of Maryland, and COMAR 20.07.03. 8 9 10 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 11 12 Q. IN YOUR OPINION SHOULD VERIZON BE REQUIRED TO OBTAIN 13 AFFIRMATIVE CUSTOMER CONSENT PRIOR TO MIGRATING VOICE 14 SERVICE TO FIBER? 15 16 A. Verizon should be required to obtain a customer's consent prior to switching the voice service to fiber. This is because the only two FiOS services that a consumer may purchase from Verizon are FiOS Internet and FiOS TV. However, for

voice service to fiber. This is because the only two FiOS services that a consumer may purchase from Verizon are FiOS Internet and FiOS TV. However, for consumers who order one or both FiOS service, Verizon will change the consumer's telephone service to fiber. OER does not object to Verizon switching a consumer's telephone service to fiber. However, Verizon should be required to

because:

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

- 1. The customer is required to supply electricity to the ONT;
  - 2. In case of power failure, 911 service (except through VoIP) will only be available until the backup battery expires; and

obtain consent from the consumer prior to switching the voice service to fiber

3. Certain telephones, answering machines and other telephone equipment not meeting industry standards may not work with service provided on the Verizon FiOS network.

1	Q.	WHAT TYPE OF NOTICE SHOULD VERIZON PROVIDE TO CONSUMERS
2		REGARDING (1) THE CONVERSION OF VOICE SERVICE TO FIBER; (2)
3		THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CUSTOMER FOR SUPPLYING
4		ELECTRICITY AND REPLACING THE BATTERY?
5		
6	A.	Verizon needs to provide clear and conspicuous notice to all consumers who order
7		a FiOS service. The notice should be mailed or sent via email to new consumers
8		within three (3) days of their placing an order for a FiOS service and BEFORE
9		the service is installed. The notice is to inform consumers that:
10		1. Verizon will convert all telephone lines from copper to fiber for
11		Verizon telephone subscribers who place an order for FiOS Internet or
12		TV service;
13		2. That a Verizon technician will install an Optical Network Terminal
14		("ONT") and ONT Power Supply Unit ("OPSU"), which is equipped
15		with a Battery Back Up ("BBU") in the consumer's home.
16		3. Electricity to the ONT is needed to operate all Verizon services
17		provided on the FiOS network.
18		4. The customer is required to supply the electricity to the ONT.
19		5. The BBU installed by Verizon was added as a safety feature to supply
20		the customer with approximately eight hours of telephone support time
21		(including access to 911) in the event of a power outage or any time
22		when the customer does not have electricity.
23		6. The customer is responsible for replacing the battery backup as
24		needed.
25		7. Backup battery does not supply power for Internet, VoIP, or TV
26		services.
27		8. In case of power failure, 911 service (except through VoIP) will be
28		available until the backup battery expires.
29		9. Certain telephones, answering machines and other telephone
30		equipment not meeting industry standards may not work with service

provided on the Verizon FiOS network.

1		Verizon should be required to provide the notice as follows:
2		a) The written notice is to be on a page separate from any promotional
3		offering;
4		b) The text of the notice should be followed by a heading stating
5		'IMPORTANT INFORMATION REGARDING YOUR TELEPHONE
6		SERVICE CONVERSION TO FIBER". The heading should either be in
7		all capital letters equal to or greater in size than the surrounding text, or in
8		contrasting type, font, or color to the surrounding text of the same or lesser
9		size; and
10		c) Verizon must use plan language in the body of text that is clear and
11		unambiguous.
12		
13	Q.	DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?
14		
15	A.	Yes, it does.
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

#### **EXHIBIT H**

### STATE OF NEW YORK PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

CASE 13-C-0197 – Tariff filing by Verizon New York, Inc. to introduce language under which Verizon could discontinue its current wireline service offerings in a specified area and instead offer a wireless service as its sole service offering in the area.

# EMERGENCY PETITION OF NEW YORK ATTORNEY GENERAL ERIC T. SCHNEIDERMAN FOR AN ORDER PREVENTING VERIZON FROM ILLEGALLY INSTALLING VOICE LINK SERVICE IN VIOLATION OF ITS TARIFF AND THE COMMISSION'S MAY 16, 2013 ORDER

ERIC T. SCHNEIDERMAN
Attorney General of the State of New York
Jane Azia, Bureau Chief
Keith H. Gordon, Assistant Attorney General
Bureau of Consumer Frauds and Protection
120 Broadway, 3rd floor
New York, NY 10271
(212) 416-8320
(212) 416-6003 - fax
Keith.Gordon@ag.ny.gov

#### Summary

Verizon's request to amend its tariff to permit substitution of Voice Link hybrid wireless service for traditional wireless service beyond Fire Island was explicitly denied in the Commission's May 16, 2013 Order. After permitting Verizon to install Voice Link on western Fire Island as a pilot test of the new technology due to the special circumstances stemming from Superstorm Sandy's damage to the coast, the Commission held specifically that is was "suspending Verizon's tariff amendment regarding its use of Voice Link in other parts of the State subject to further review."

Despite the unambiguous language of the Commission Order, Verizon has proceeded to implement its plans to install Voice Link service to seasonal customers in the Catskills. In clear violation of a Commission directive, and without any valid tariff permitting its use, Verizon has shipped a large quantity of Voice Link devices to its Monticello installation/maintenance center. Whenever a seasonal customer requests that their wireline Plain Old Telephone Service ("POTS") be restored for the summer, but dial tone is not functioning when the line is activated at Verizon's switch, the company has directed its technicians not to repair the existing service, but instead to install Voice Link in its place. Only where a customer forcefully refuses Vice Link will Verizon repair the wireline service.

Verizon's provision of Voice Link outside the confines of western Fire Island is illegal, and its open defiance of the Commission's May 16 Order must be met with effective sanctions.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 1}$  Case 13-C-0197, ORDER CONDITIONALLY APPROVING TARIFF AMENDMENTS IN PART, REVISING IN PART, AND DIRECTING FURTHER COMMENTS, issued May 16, 2013, at 2.

## **Facts**

On May 3, 2013, Verizon New York, Inc. ("Verizon") filed a proposed amendment to Tariff PSC No. 1 "setting forth the circumstances under which Verizon could discontinue its current wireline service offerings in a specified area and instead offer a wireless service as its sole service offering in the area." Verizon specifically sought permission to offer this wireless service alternative, called Voice Link, in the western portion of Fire Island. Verizon also asked to expedite approval sooner than the normal 30-day review period and to waive newspaper publication so it could "move forward to implement its plans to restore service on Fire Island as rapidly as possible."

Verizon's proposed tariff set out two different circumstances where Voice Link might be implemented as a substitute for traditional wireline service. These are where Verizon:

- (a) certifies and demonstrates that a substantial portion of its facilities in the area is destroyed, rendered unusable, or beyond reasonable repair, or
- (b) demonstrates that the use of wireless to serve specified customers, or groups of customers, is otherwise reasonable in light of the geographic location, the availability of competitive facilities to serve those customers or groups of customers, or in light of other criteria acceptable to the Commission.

At its May 16, 2013 Session, the Commission decided to conditionally approve the part of Verizon's tariff applying to western Fire Island "because it is critical that service be available on Fire Island immediately," while it suspended the second tariff provision quoted above "subject to further review" after seeking public comment.<sup>3</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> May 3, 2013 tariff filing, cover letter to the Commission from Keefe B. Clemons, Verizon counsel.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> May 16, 2013 Order, *supra*, at 1-2.

The Attorney General's Office has recently learned that Verizon intends to require customers outside of the Fire Island pilot area seeking to have their wireline service installed accept instead wireless Voice Link service, notwithstanding the Commission's May 16 Order. According to reports by representatives of the Communications Workers of America, Verizon has delivered a pallet load of Voice Link devices to its Monticello Installation/Maintenance Center, and has instructed its technicians in that region to provide summer seasonal customers returning to Catskill vacation homes, who have long been received Verizon wireline service, only Voice Link service. The union's report is corroborated by two complaints of Verizon seasonal customers who have been told Voice Link will be installed instead of repairing their wireline telephone service. Only by firmly refusing Voice Link were both customers able to keep their wireline service.

Many Verizon customers spend their summers in bungalow communities in the Catskills region, often requesting their service be restored *en mass*. Because these dwellings are vacant during the winter and early spring, any wind or snow damage to the distribution facilities is only identified now, as the customers return for the summer season. Based on prior history, it is likely that hundreds of customers will seek to have their wireline service repaired. Thus, if Verizon substitutes Voice Link instead of wireline POTS for its seasonal customers seeking repair in this region, a substantial number of illegal installations will occur contrary to Verizon's tariff.

Unlike Fire Island, wireline network damage from Superstorm Sandy cannot be used as an excuse for substituting Voice Link for wireline service in the Catskills, where

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See e.g., attached Affidavit of Joshua Michaeli.

the storm had limited impact.<sup>5</sup> Instead, it appears that in the Catskills, Verizon has chosen to pursue the company's business strategy in blatant disregard for the Commission's Order.

The Commission's May 16 Order could not have been clearer in limiting

Verizon's substitution of Voice Link for wireline service to western Fire Island, to enable
evaluation of this unproven technology on a pilot basis. Indeed, the Commission directed

Verizon to submit by November 1, 2013 a comprehensive "report evaluating the
provision of Voice Link service on Fire Island" so this pilot can be weighed in
conjunction with the public comments before the service can be expanded elsewhere.

Verizon's attempt to usurp the Commission's authority by installing Voice Link in other
parts of the state without a tariff must be halted immediately.

# **Request for Relief**

The Commission should order Verizon to immediately cease and desist its illegal activities provisioning Voice Link anywhere in New York beyond the authorized western Fire Island pilot area, and also to promptly provision wireline service to any customer improperly connected to Voice Link.

Moreover, Verizon's actions to provide Voice Link outside the western Fire Island pilot area, and efforts to compel customers in the Catskills region to accept Voice Link in place of wireline service is evidence that the company "knowingly fail[ed] or neglect[ed] to obey or comply with ... [a Commission] order." Therefore, pursuant to Public Service Law § 25, Verizon is subject to a \$100,000 "civil penalty for each and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Indeed, even after Hurricane Irene caused extensive damage to Verizon's wireline facilities in 2011, Catskills network facilities were repaired in the months following that extreme weather event.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> May 16, 2013 Order, *supra*, at 12.

every offense, and in the case of a continuing violation, each day shall be deemed a separate and distinct offense." The Commission should commence penalty proceedings against the company citing as separate and continuing violations each customer who has been denied timely installation of wireline service or had Voice Link installed as a substitute for the POTS service authorized by Verizon's lawful tariff.

Dated:

June 26, 2013

Keith H. Gordon, AAG

ERIC T. SCHNEIDERMAN Attorney General of the State of New York

Jane Azia, Bureau Chief
Keith H. Gordon, Assistant Attorney General
Bureau of Consumer Frauds and Protection
120 Broadway, 3rd floor
New York, NY 10271
(212) 416-8320
(212) 416-6003 - fax
Keith.Gordon@ag.ny.gov

cc: Keefe B. Clemons, Esq.
Joseph A. Post, Esq.
Legal Department
Verizon New York, Inc.
140 West Street, 27<sup>th</sup> floor
New York, NY 1007-2109

# AFFIDAVIT OF JOSHUA MICHAELI

STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF SULLIVAN, to wit:

- 1. My name is Joshua Michaeli, and during the summer season I reside in the Catskill Mountains region with my family at 445 Old Liberty Road, unit 16A, Monticello, New York, 12701.
- My family has summered in the unit for several years, using Verizon landline telephone service. Each year, we suspend our seasonal service in the Fall and then have it restored in Spring/Summer when we return.
- 3. When we closed up the home at the end of the 2012 season, I called Verizon and asked to suspend out telephone service until springtime. I also scheduled a date to turn our telephone back on in June 2013 (number 845-791-7092).
- In mid-May, I called Verizon again to confirm when our service would be restored, and was told that there was no record of the installation request I had made last Fall. I then repeated my request to have telephone service turned on in mid-June.
- 5. On June 18, 2013, my family returned to our unit and found that the telephone was not working properly. I then called Verizon to request that our telephone be repaired. The Verizon representative told me that the company wanted to install a wireless service called Voice Link instead of repairing our traditional wireline phone service. I declined Verizon's Voice Link offer, noting that our unit is in a wooded area where wireless communications may not work well. When I was transferred to the repair department to schedule a repair visit, the person making repair appointments again tried to convince me to accept Voice Link instead of having our existing service repaired, and I again said no thanks.
- A short time later on June 18, a Verizon repair technician came to our 6. summer home and in a few minutes repaired the wiring in the box attached to our building. Our wireline telephone service has been working since this repair.

Llooken Michael

Joshua Michaeli

Subscribed and sworn before me this 25 day of June, 2013 by Joshua Michaeli.

Notary Public

KETTH H. GORDON Notary Public, State of New York No. 4841690 Qualified in Westchester County

Commission Expires

# **EXHIBIT I**

# Before the **Federal Communications Commission** Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of	)	
Technology Transitions	)	GN Docket No. 13-5
AT&T Petition to Launch a Proceeding Concerning the TDM-to-IP Transition	)	GN Docket No. 12-353
Connect America Fund	)	WC Docket No. 10-90
Structure and Practices of the Video Relay Service Program	)	CG Docket No. 10-51
Telecommunications Relay Services And Speech-to-Speech Services for Individuals with Hearing and Speech Disabilities	) ) )	CG Docket No. 03-123
Numbering Policies for Modern Communications	) ) )	WC Docket No. 13-97
	)	

## Amended

# PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS

# And PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

# COMMENTS ON TRIALS AND DATA COLLECTION

The People of the State of Illinois	The People of the State of New York
-------------------------------------	-------------------------------------

# By LISA MADIGAN, **Attorney General**

Susan L. Satter **Public Utilities Counsel Public Interest Division** Illinois Attorney General's Office 100 West Randolph Street, 11th fl. Chicago, Illinois 60601 Telephone: (312) 814-1104

Facsimile: (312) 812-3212

ssatter@atg.state.il.us E-mail:

# By ERIC T. SCHNEIDERMAN

Attorney General of the State of New York

Jane M. Azia, Bureau Chief

Keith H. Gordon, Assistant Attorney General New York State Attorney General's Office Bureau of Consumer Frauds and Protection

120 Broadway, Room 3B42 New York, New York 10271

(212) 416-8320 (212) 416-6003

Keith.Gordon@ag.ny.gov

# Table of Contents

I.	Introduc	ction – Scope of the People's Comments	1
		s Proposed Trials, While Limited, Highlight The Challenges Associated With this To Transition Telephone and Broadband Service To An All IP Network	
		Γransition And The Continued Regulation of Providers of Telephone Service arriers.	
Cor	nsumers A	mmission Should Consider The Effect Of The Transition From TDM Service And The Need To Preserve Statutory Core Values As Carriers Transition To	IP
	a. b. c. d. e. f.	Degraded Service Quality Unavailable Service Changed Prices, Terms and Conditions Loss of Telecommunications Regulatory Protections Effect of Loss of Wireline Service and Mandatory Cellular Substitution Conclusion	15 16 23 24
		mmission Should Require Extensive Reporting From The Major Providers Of ervice So The Effects Of The IP Transition Can Be Identified And Addressed	
VI.	Conclus	sion	30

#### I. **Introduction – Scope of the People's Comments**

The People of the State of Illinois, by Attorney General Lisa Madigan, and the People of the State of New York, by Attorney General Eric Schneiderman, submit the following comments and recommendations in response to the Commission's January 31, 2014 Order, Report And Order And Further Notice Of Proposed Rulemaking, Report And Order, Order And Further Notice Of Proposed Rulemaking, Proposal For Ongoing Data Initiative (Initiating Order) in this proceeding. In that Initiating Order, the Commission recognized that the networks that provide telephone, internet access, and video services are incorporating more and more Internet Protocol ("IP") and digital functions and capabilities. The Commission invited carriers to propose experiments or trials to test and illustrate the effect of this transition to IP technology on the provision of communications services.<sup>1</sup>

At the outset, the Commission emphasized the importance of preserving the "network compact" that has been the foundation of national telecommunications policy and consumer protection. As the Commission stated:

> Americans have come to expect secure, reliable, and innovative communications services. The purpose of these experiments is to speed market-driven technological transitions and innovations by preserving the core statutory values as codified by Congress – public safety, ubiquitous and affordable access, competition, and consumer protection – that exist today.<sup>2</sup>

These Comments will address the effect that the shift from the use of time-division multiplexed circuit switch technology ("TDM") to the use of IP-based technology for telecommunications services is already having on consumers – effects that the trials are intended

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Initiating Order, ¶ 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Initiating Order, ¶ 1.

to highlight.<sup>3</sup> While the incorporation of IP technology into America's telecommunications networks is ongoing, 4 it is only recently that efforts to replace legacy TDM services with IP and wireless substitutes have been initiated. The "trials" that are contemplated by the Commission's Initiating Order represent a valuable attempt to monitor this process and to identify potential problems and unanticipated consequences arising from the transition.

Today consumers are already facing the effects of that change. Some of these effects result from certain technological incompatibilities between legacy customer equipment and IP or wireless networks, or the elimination of some properties of legacy networks (e.g., an independent power supply, enabling service in a commercial power outage), while others can be traced to differences in the nature and extent of regulation of legacy versus IP-based services and to various pecuniary factors. In some cases, both conditions may be at play, as regulation has not yet responded to some of the technological effects that the transition may impose upon consumers.

Issues facing consumers include that TDM-based voice telephone service is becoming degraded; carriers refuse or decline to repair existing service lines; consumers face new forms of telephone and Internet access service at both different terms and conditions (e.g., bundling requirements, call restrictions) and higher rates; and the functions available with their communications services change (e.g., access to emergency services such as medical alert services and security services; availability of telephone service and power in the event of commercial power outages; the ability to use a fax machine); and in some cases, consumers are losing the ability to obtain TDM-based or any other wireline telephone service at their residence

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Initiating Order, ¶ 8 ("We emphasize that the goal of all of these experiments and initiatives is to learn about the impact of the technology transitions on the customers – and communities – that rely on communications networks. 2 ("We must act with dispatch. Technology transitions are already underway.")

<sup>4</sup> Initiating Order, ¶ 2 ("We must act with dispatch. Technology transitions are already underway.")

or business from their traditional telephone company, or incumbent local exchange carrier ("ILEC").

Section II of these Comments addresses the scope of the trials proposed by AT&T and their relation to the IP transition. Section III describes the services at issue in the trials and the IP transition generally as services that transmit voice and other data or content without modification or change, and concludes that these services fit the statutory definition of "telecommunications" subject to common carrier regulation under the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

Section IV addresses the effects of the carriers' implementation of technology changes in the major states of Illinois and New York, involving incumbents AT&T and Verizon, respectively. Section V recommends the collection of data in the trials but also more generally so that the Commission, state commissions, and consumers can both recognize the changes being implemented by the carriers and fairly assess their effect on consumers and the public interest. As the Commission stated:

we endeavor to learn in diverse ways how the modernization of communications networks is affecting the achievement of our statutory responsibilities. And for that we need real-world data. These data will fuel the ongoing public dialogue about the technology transitions, ensuring that it is fact-based and data-driven. Having a robust and factually-informed public discussion will help guide the Commission as we make legal and policy choices that advance and accelerate the technology transitions while ensuring that consumers and the enduring values established by Congress are not adversely affected.<sup>5</sup>

The proposed data collection will allow future legal, regulatory, and policy decisions to be based on a well-developed factual record that is more comprehensive than the two proposed trials alone would generate.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Initiating Order, ¶8.

# II. AT&T's Proposed Trials, While Limited, Highlight The Challenges Associated With The Carriers' Plans To Transition Telephone and Broadband Service To An All IP Network.

To date, the only major carrier<sup>6</sup> to propose trials is AT&T, and the two trials proposed are quite limited, calling into question whether they are sufficient to identify and apply lessons-learned to larger urban markets and to the country as a whole. One trial would take place in a rural wire center in Alabama, serving only 4,388 living units<sup>7</sup> and the other is based in a suburban wire center in Florida serving 49,712 living units.<sup>8</sup> Overall, AT&T's network covers 22 states from California to Wisconsin to Florida,<sup>9</sup> with approximately 75 million living units.<sup>10</sup> The trials will only affect 0.07% of the living units in AT&T's service area, and only 2 of its 4700 wire centers. Nevertheless, the trials provide the Commission and interested parties a window into the transition process and are a reasonable vehicle for considering the technical and regulatory issues the transition to IP and to wireless voice and Internet access raise.

In its Proposal, AT&T states that a [confidential] number of living units take wireline services, including both TDM and IP-based service, and asserts that "many customers already

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> For purposes of these Comments, the major carriers are AT&T, Verizon, Comcast. Each of these carriers except Comcast is an incumbent local exchange carrier or is a successor to an ILEC that developed its network as a protected monopoly. The state and federal statutory obligation to serve all customers persists in most but not all states. Comcast started as an incumbent cable television provider, providing video service under municipal franchises to provide video service universally. It expanded into telephone and Internet service as IP technology developed. It now sells telephone, Internet and television services over the network originally installed when it had a protected monopoly.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> AT&T defines living units as: "business, residential, vacant and under-construction locations. Living units are the units network engineers use when designing and building communications networks because each living unit is a separate location that AT&T historically has been required to serve upon request." AT&T Wire Center Trial Operating Plan at 3, fn. 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> AT&T Proposal for Wire Center Trials at 13, 15 (filed Feb. 27, 2014)(hereafter cited as ÁT&T Proposal")

<sup>9</sup> AT&T is the successor of the incumbent local exchange carrier in the following states: California, Nevada, Texas, Oklahoma, Kansas, Missouri, Arkansas, Louisiana, Mississippi, Alabama, Tennessee, Kentucky, Illinois, Indiana, Wisconsin, Michigan, Ohio, Georgia, North Carolina, South Carolina, Florida, and Connecticut.

<a href="http://www.att.com/Common/merger/files/pdf/22\_state\_map.pdf">http://www.att.com/Common/merger/files/pdf/22\_state\_map.pdf</a>
AT&T has entered into a contract to sell its Connecticut service area to Frontier Communications, which is now subject to regulatory review.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> This figure is a rough estimate, based on AT&T's statement that it expects to reach 75% of the living units in its 22 state service area, and that it expects to reach approximately 57 million customer locations with it expanded wireline IP-broadband service. See AT&T Proposal at 5-6.

have made the choice, even in rural areas, to transition away from the traditional TDM telephone network and services." 11 As will be discussed below, there are many factors leading consumers to end their subscriptions to traditional landline telephone service. The factors that drive consumers from traditional service should be considered in assessing both the trial in general and the number of wireline as opposed to wireless telephone subscribers at the trial starting gate.

AT&T's description of both the trial and its plan for its own IP transition provides an important context for the Commission's evaluation of the trials and the transition in general. AT&T concedes at the outset that its "wireline IP network will reach approximately 75 percent of customer locations in our 22-state wireline footprint." That means that 25% of the customer locations in that 22 state area - both business and residence - will be without an AT&T wireline option for either telephone or Internet access. A key question before the Commission is what options are left for this 25%, which could affect close to 20 million residential and business locations? How should this result be assessed in light of the core statutory values governing the provision of telecommunications?

AT&T cites a wireless option for both telephone and Internet access in those areas where it does not intend to continue wireline service. Key questions are: (1) have consumers found the wireless home phone option to be a true and acceptable substitute for wireline telephone and Internet access services in other parts of the country; (2) in how many areas are there other wired options available, e.g., from municipal networks or cable networks, and are consumers using those options; and (3) what will be the effect on competition, even if it is only between two access providers, if AT&T withdraws wireline telephone and Internet access from 25% of the households in its 22-state service area?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> AT&T Proposal at 13-15. <sup>12</sup> AT&T Proposal at 6.

Another set of issues highlighted by the transition and AT&T's filing is that the IP network and the wireless network do not provide the same functionalities as TDM service. <sup>13</sup> Functions that cannot presently be provided by one or both of these networks include the display of addresses when a call is made to 911 (wireless), or in some cases, the failure to route a 911 call to the nearest emergency call center; the use of home security systems, medical monitoring alert services, fax services, and credit card authorizations; and the availability of power for telephone service in the event of an extended commercial power outage. <sup>14</sup> AT&T asserts that it is developing enhancements to its wireless services to address these services, and will not discontinue TDM service until those enhancements are achieved. <sup>15</sup>

If there were few or no functional limitations, consumers would ordinarily be indifferent to the technology that underlies their telephone and Internet access services. Just as television consumers view essentially the same content regardless of whether they use over-the-air, cable, IP TV or satellite technology, telecommunications <sup>16</sup> consumers are purchasing the ability to make telephone calls and to access the Internet in order to send and receive conversation and content without modification or interference from the carrier. Consumers purchase the

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> The limitations of both AT&T's IP based service and its wireless service are acknowledged by AT&T in its trial proposal. AT&T Trial Proposal at 19-20. AT&T's web site includes information about battery backup and an option to check to see if the consumer's security system or medical alert will work with Uverse Phone. *See* att.com – home phone – uverse voice – learning center at <a href="http://www.att.com/shop/home-phone.html#fbid=WHQuKlAVYQq?tab3">http://www.att.com/shop/home-phone.html#fbid=WHQuKlAVYQq?tab3</a> (battery backup) and <a href="http://www.att.com/shop/home-phone.html#fbid=WHQuKlAVYQq?tab3">http://www.att.com/shop/home-phone.html#fbid=WHQuKlAVYQq?tab3</a>

phone.html#fbid=WHQuKIAVYOq?tab3

14 AT&T Proposal at 19-20 (AT&T's "wireless and wireline IP-based services ...will support the vast majority of the devices and applications enumerated in Appendix B of the Transitions Trial Order." AT&T states that it is currently developing a wireless service so businesses can use existing customer premises equipment; wireless home phone does not work with analog data devices and services, "e.g., home security systems, fax machines, and dial-up Internet service"). These deficiencies and differences are expressly addressed by AT&T notwithstanding its statement: "But make no mistake, whether a customer subscribes to a wireless or a wireline broadband product, the capabilities of both of those services far exceed what is available in the circuit switched POTS environment." *Id.* at 9

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> *Id.* at 20-21.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> The term "telecommunications" is defined in the Telecommunications Act of 1996 as follows: "The term "telecommunications" means the transmission, between or among points specified by the user, of information of the user's choosing, without change in the form or content of the information as sent and received." 47 U.S.C. 153(50).

transmission service provided by the network – not the underlying TDM or IP technology. However, consumers are sensitive to changes in capabilities, price, terms and conditions, and service quality. The two proposed trials will provide a window into how consumers respond to these changes, but due to the very small sample represented by the trials, the Commission should include in its analysis a consideration of how consumers throughout the country are being affected by the transition to IP-based service and the withdrawal of wireline telephone service in those areas where IP telephony is not being offered.

# III. The IP Transition And The Continued Regulation of Providers of Telephone Service As Common Carriers.

The Telecommunications Act of 1996 was passed against the backdrop of extensive common carrier regulation of telephone service and Internet access. *Verizon v. FCC*, 740 F.3d 623, 638-639 (D.C. Cir. 2014)(addressing the Commission's "long history of subjecting to common carrier regulation the entities that controlled the last-mile facilities over which end users access the Internet"). While inviting competition in the provision of telecommunications services, including basic telephone service, Congress preserved the regulation of "telecommunications" under traditional common carrier regulation. The Telecommunications Act of 1996 defines telecommunications as "the transmission, between or among points specified by the user, of information of the user's choosing, without change in the form or content of the information as sent and received," and directed that a provider of telecommunications "shall be treated as a

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> 47 U.S.C. 153(50).

common carrier under this chapter only to the extent that it is engaged in providing telecommunications services."<sup>18</sup>

The Commission should assess the IP transition trials relative to how IP and TDM services meet essential telecommunications needs. The trials should identify specific technical differences and issues raised by IP and TDM capabilities, and provide guidance as to how these can best be addressed and overcome. While the Commission has indicated that it is not "seeking to resolve the legal and policy questions arising from the technology experiments," <sup>19</sup> the Commission should take care to assure that differences in regulatory approaches do not affect its assessment of the transition.

The complaints discussed below respond to the Commission's request that the trials and data collection should address "how the modernization of communications networks is affecting the achievement of our statutory responsibilities." A key issue that repeatedly arises is whether providers of telephone service continue to be subject to state rules governing service and should be treated as common carriers. Federal law describes the obligations of a common carrier as including the regulatory obligations (1) to furnish communications services upon reasonable request, 21 (2) to provide service at just and reasonable rates, 22 and (3) to provide service without undue or unreasonable discrimination, preference or disadvantage. While the IP transition includes the use of Internet Protocol technology, the carriers providing telephone and Internet

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> 47 U.S.C. 153(51). The full text defines telecommunications carrier as follows: Telecommunications carrier. The term "telecommunications carrier" means any provider of telecommunications services, except that such term does not include aggregators of telecommunications services (as defined in section 226 of this title). A telecommunications carrier shall be treated as a common carrier under this chapter only to the extent that it is engaged in providing telecommunications services, except that the Commission shall determine whether the provision of fixed and mobile satellite service shall be treated as common carriage.

<sup>19</sup> Initiating Order, ¶8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> 47 U.S.C. 201(a).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> 47 U.S.C. 201(b).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> 47 U.S.C. 202(a).

access services continue to provide "telecommunications," *i.e.* the transmission of information "without change in form or content."<sup>24</sup> If an IP-based telecommunications service is offered as a functional replacement for a traditional TDM telecommunications service, its regulatory status and obligations should not change.

In *Verizon v. FCC*, the Court held that a service that the Commission classifies as an "information service" cannot be subject to common carrier obligations as a matter of law. <sup>26</sup> The extent of both state and federal power to ensure fulfillment of the statutory goals of universal and affordable service, public safety, competition, and consumer protection<sup>27</sup> require that the public interest in unfettered access to communications services without discrimination or preference be protected. The Commission should consider how the provision of telephone service is being treated by carriers and the states, and ensure that it continues to be treated as a statutory telecommunications service with all of the consumer and network protections of common carrier regulation. <sup>28</sup>

Telecommunications services have historically been subject to federal common carrier regulation as well as state regulation<sup>29</sup> and consequently concerns about network functions and

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> As defined in 47 U.S.C. 153(50).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> 47 U.S.C. 153(24) Information service. The term "information service" means the offering of a capability for generating, acquiring, storing, transforming, processing, retrieving, utilizing, or making available information via telecommunications, and includes electronic publishing, but does not include any use of any such capability for the management, control, or operation of a telecommunications system or the management of a telecommunications service." The definition of an "enhanced service" is a service that does not "employ computer processing applications that act on the format, content, code, protocol or similar aspects of the subscriber's transmitted information; provide the subscriber additional, different, or restructured information; or involve subscriber interaction with stored information" 47 C.F.R. §64.702.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> *Verizon v. FCC*, 740 F.3d at 649.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> Initiating Order at ¶ 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> 47 U.S.C. 153(51).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> While there is no question that telephone services provided over the TDM network are subject to common carrier regulation under Title II of the Communications Act, the Commission currently has before it the question of whether broadband Internet access should be classified as a telecommunications service under Section 153(50). See (see footnote 16 above for statutory text) or as an information service under Section 153(24)("The term "information service" means the offering of a capability for generating, acquiring, storing, transforming, processing, retrieving, utilizing, or making available information via telecommunications, and includes electronic publishing, but does not include any use of any such capability for the management, control, or operation of a telecommunications system or

price, terms, and conditions of service have been subject to federal and state regulatory oversight. As part of its examination of the IP transition, the Commission should address the following specific questions: (1) do IP telecommunications services retain the same regulatory status and oversight as the TDM services they replace, or have the carriers treated them as unregulated information services, and (2) what has been the effect on price, terms and conditions, and service quality when a consumer accepts a change to IP telecommunications services.

# IV. The Commission Should Consider The Effect Of The Transition From TDM Service On Consumers And The Need To Preserve Statutory Core Values As Carriers Transition To IP Networks.

Regardless of the fact that AT&T has proposed only two small trials and no other large carrier has proposed a trial, due to the business plans of incumbent carriers, consumers throughout the country have been facing the effects of the transition away from universal telephone service provided over the TDM-based network. As demonstrated by AT&T's proposed trial, these business plans not only incorporate IP technology, but would redefine the carriers' fundamental obligation to provide wireline service to all households universally and deviate from the "core statutory values" that have governed the telecommunications industry since the Communications Act was enacted in 1934.

The trials and the Commission's review provide a welcome opportunity to directly and comprehensively address changes that have been ongoing on a customer-by-customer basis for several years. In addition to gathering information and conducting analyses about the small scale

1

AT&T trials, the Commission and the States need to consider information from other locations and other incumbent carriers who today are incorporating IP technology into their networks to provide interstate and local telephone service.

As state offices that regularly receive consumer complaints, the Offices of the Attorneys General have a window into how consumers are experiencing the IP transition. While other offices may have express jurisdiction to enforce state or federal telecommunications law, 30 consumers come to their Attorney General when they need help. These complaints warn us about how the changes being implemented by the carriers are affecting consumers and give regulators the opportunity to address the real-world effects of carriers' approaches to the transition to IP technology.

While consumers also have the right to lodge complaints about telecommunications with the Commission,<sup>31</sup> the Attorney General Offices of New York and Illinois receive a significant number of telecommunications related complaints annually.<sup>32</sup> In addition, state public utilities commissions receive another set of complaints that in recent years approximate the number of consumer contacts associated with telecommunications service reported by the Attorney General

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> See, e.g., <a href="http://www.fcc.gov/complaints">http://www.fcc.gov/complaints</a> (the Commission online site for accepting complaints); 220 ILCS 5/4-101 et seq (General Powers and Duties of the Illinois Commerce Commission); 83 Ill. Admin. Code 730 and 735 (Illinois Commerce Commission regulations governing telephone service); 220 ILCS 10/2 (Citizens Utility Board created to "promote the health, welfare, and prosperity" of residents "by providing for consumer education on utility service prices and on benefits and methods of energy conservation. Such purpose shall be deemed a statewide interest and not a private or special concern." ). The New York Public Service Commission also receives telecommunications complaints, as does the New York Consumer Protection Board and the New York Attorney General.

<sup>31</sup> http://www.fcc.gov/complaints.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> In 2013 and 2012, the Illinois Attorney General's Office received 1,870 and 2,240 telecommunications-related complaints, respectively, consistently ranking the third or fourth highest number of complaints. <a href="http://illinoisattorneygeneral.gov/pressroom/2014\_02/20140211.html">http://illinoisattorneygeneral.gov/pressroom/2014\_02/20140211.html</a> (2013); <a href="http://illinoisattorneygeneral.gov/pressroom/2013\_03/20130305b.html">http://illinoisattorneygeneral.gov/pressroom/2013\_03/20130305b.html</a> (2012). In 2012, the New York Attorney General received 804 telecommunications related complaints, and 1,141 telecommunications related complaints were received in 2013.

Offices.<sup>33</sup> The Commission reported 3,805 wireline related consumer complaints in the first quarter of 2013.<sup>34</sup>

In most cases, consumers are moved to contact the government for help only after they have tried, but failed, to resolve a problem with their carrier directly. Consumers generally do not understand the intricacies of telecommunications policy and law, but they know that they are entitled to secure, reliable and affordable service. Consumers also file complaints when they believe they have been treated unfairly, or when they believe that the carrier has made mistakes that it fails or refuses to correct.

When these expectations are frustrated, they may turn to our offices. This is what we are seeing:

# a. Degraded Service Quality

Consumers contact our offices when requests for the repair of their TDM service are delayed or not completed as expected. In many parts of Illinois, the incumbent carrier is AT&T. AT&T elected statutory "market regulation" in 2010. <sup>35</sup> While Illinois law requires AT&T to continue to provide certain basic landline telephone services in its service area, AT&T is also free to market other services, such as IP telephony under its U-verse brand and its wireless service. <sup>36</sup> As the Commission's Local Telephone Competition Report, Status as of December 31, 2012 shows, nationwide there were 42 million interconnected Voice over Internet Protocol

The Illinois Commerce Commission reports that in 2012 and 2011 it received 1,988 and 1,808 telecommunications-related complaints. The numbers for 2013 have not yet been reported. ICC Consumer Services Division Annual Report for 2012 at page 25, <a href="http://www.icc.illinois.gov/reports/report.aspx?rt=13">http://www.icc.illinois.gov/reports/report.aspx?rt=13</a>
The New York PSC reports consumer complaints on a monthly basis. See Complaint Statistics – Office of Consumer Services,

http://www3.dps.ny.gov/W/PSCWeb.nsf/All/448C499468E952C085257687006F3A82?OpenDocument

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> Summary of Top Six Consumer Informal Complaint Subjects Processed by the FCC's Consumer & Government Affairs Bureau, First Quarter – Calendar Year 2013.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> 220 ILCS 5/13-506.2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> *Id*.

(VoIP) telephone lines and 96 million end-user switched access lines in service in 2012. 37 According to the 2012 Report, over the period from December, 2009 through December, 2012, "interconnected VoIP subscriptions increased at a compound annual growth rate of 17%, mobile telephony subscriptions increased at a compound annual growth rate of about 4%, and retail switched access lines declined at about 9% a year." From December, 2011 to December, 2012, for ILECs only, nationwide, the number of interconnected VoIP lines increased by 46% while the number of switched access lines decreased by 14.75%.<sup>39</sup> The reports for 2011 and 2012 show substantial increases in ILEC VoIP, particularly bundled with Internet (e.g., Alabama: 54% increase; Florida: 42% increase; Illinois: 30% increase; and New York: 87% increase). 40 At the same time, the number of TDM, or switched access lines, is shrinking. (e.g., Alabama: 11% decrease; Florida: 14% decrease; Illinois: 11.6% decrease; and New York: 13% decrease). 41 Are all of these customers making the move voluntarily?

Consumer complaints indicate that at least some consumers are being moved off TDM service when the quality of service deteriorates, and some are being told that TDM, or traditional telephone service, is no longer available to them. For example, one complaint received by the Illinois Attorney General indicated that when the consumer's line needed repair due to static so severe that it interfered with the ability to use the line, the consumer was shifted to IP U-verse Voice service. 42 While the consumer received clear service, she was charged a \$99.00

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> Local Telephone Competition: Status as of December 31, 2012, Industry Analysis and Technology Division, Wireline Competition Bureau, November 2013, http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs\_public/attachmatch/DOC- $\frac{324413A1.pdf}{^{38}}$  *Id.* at 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> *Id.* at Table 9 and Local Telephone Competition: Status as of December 31, 2011, Industry Analysis and Technology Division, Wireline Competition Bureau, January, 2013 at Table 9, http://www.fcc.gov/document/fccreleases-new-data-local-telephone-competition-1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> *Id*. <sup>41</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> Illinois OAG File No. 2014 CONSC 00370133. The Utility Reform Network, a California based consumer advocate, filed a complaint before the California Public Utilities Commission on March 17, 2014, alleging that

connection fee for the "new" service, and saw her bill increase substantially, due in part to new terms and conditions that were not explained to her when her service was switched.

Other consumers have complained to the Illinois Attorney General's Office about stranger service quality problems, such as noise and static, lack of dial tone, phantom outbound calls, and inaccurate or inoperable special features and multiple people on the same line.<sup>43</sup> While those complaints appear limited to TDM service, the reliability and quality of the IP-based service have also been questioned. Some consumers find the new U-verse Voice service to be unreliable 44 and there are reports that the voice service is less clear than their former TDM service and more like a cell phone. 45 While it is undisputed that IP-based telephone service may provide more functions than TDM service, the Commission should take special steps to assess the quality of service provided by IP voice. The clear quality of traditional TDM service is well known. The Commission and the carriers should require no less of IP based service.

In New York, Verizon consumers whose TDM lines need repair have found their requests for repair either go unanswered or Verizon responds by trying to sell them its IP FiOS service or its wireless Voice Link service. Many months before Verizon's facilities along the Atlantic seaboard were damaged by Hurricane Sandy, Verizon publicly stated its intention to migrate customers from copper to FiOS, its IP product, wherever the company installed fiber, and to migrate customers to wireless service everywhere else.

Verizon was refusing to repair TDM service, and effectively forcing consumers to accept its VoIP product, knowingly or not. Emergency Motion of The Utility Reform Network (TURN) Urging the Commission to Take Immediate Action To Protect Verizon Customers and Prevent Further Deterioration of Verizon's Landline Network, CA PUC No. R-11-12-001, TURN Emergency Motion re Verizon. Verizon denies that consumers are being "forced" off its TDM network. See http://bgr.com/2014/03/24/verizon-fios-migration-accusations/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup> Illinois OAG File Nos. 2014 CONSC 00369693, 2014 CONSC 00369069, 2014 CONSC 00369668.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup> Illinois OAG File Nos. 2013 CONSC 00368429 (elderly customer left without service due to repeated IP telephony outages); 2013 CONSC 00357899 (reliability).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup> Illinois Citizens Utility Board Case ID 00208538 (6/27/2013) ("the telephone service is now very, very noisy with a continuous load [sic] buzz."); Case ID 00210560 (11/21/2013)("phone line has poor reception, drops calls or has static noise.")

Verizon CEO Lowell McAdam announced this new corporate strategy as follows:

(T)he vision that I have is we are going into the copper plant areas and every place we have FiOS, we are going to kill the copper. We are going to take it out of service and we are going to move those services onto FiOS. We have got parallel networks in way too many places now, so that is a pot of gold in my view.

And then in other areas that are more rural and more sparsely populated, we have got LTE built that will handle all of those services and so we are going to cut the copper off there. We are going to do it over wireless, not have a FIOS network, and force customers to accept wireless Voice Link. 46

After Hurricane Sandy, and in response to residents' complaints about the lack of telephone service, the New York Public Service Commission held public hearings on Fire Island, New York. At that hearing, no fewer than eight people testified that when they asked Verizon to repair their service, it was not done.<sup>47</sup> These residents were left to put up with degraded wired telephone service, and when that failed, offered the wireless substitute for both voice and data.

## b. Unavailable Service

Illinois and New York consumers have already been told that the incumbent local exchange carrier will no longer provide service to various customer locations. For example, an Illinois customer complained that he was told that ordinary telephone service was unavailable at his home in a dense area on the north side of Chicago. He reported that "in order to get a landline, it would be required to also bundle with another service, e.g. cable tv, Landline regular phone service was not available by itself." <sup>48</sup> Since a bundled package "did not fit my

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>46</sup> See Thompson Reuters Street Events Edited Transcript of June 21, 2012 1:00 P.M. G.M.T interview of Verizon Chairman and CEO Lowell Mc Adam at Guggenheim Securities Symposium.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup> Several witnesses testified that they were told by Verizon service personnel: "I can't fix your line. I have been told I can't fix your line." See Temporary Use of Verizon's Voice Link Service on Fire Island, Transcript of August 24, 2013 Public Hearing at Ocean Beach Community House, Ocean Beach, New York, NY PSC Case No. 13-C-0197, *Tariff filing by Verizon New York Inc. to discontinue its current wire line service offerings in a specified area and instead offer a wireless service as its sole service offering in the area,* at 37. See also 42 ("four people are supposed to show up [for repair], never did,"), 45 ("they didn't come"), 54, 72 ("several repairs for people that have never showed up."), 78, 82, 91 ("We have been told that if the landlines fail we will not get them fixed.").

<sup>48</sup> Illinois OAG Complaint 2014 CONSC 00370650.

need/preference" he declined service. 49 However, he was later billed for service, and complained when the charges rose to \$96.32, including \$7.00 late payment charges.<sup>50</sup> Another consumer in suburban DuPage County, Illinois reported receiving a bill for \$162.00 from the ILEC for telephone service he never received.<sup>51</sup> While these consumers were moved to contact the Attorney General after being billed for services they did not receive, they would have been landline telephone service customers had they not been told that service was not available. When assessing the assertion that consumers are leaving landline service "in droves," 52 the Commission should investigate whether consumers are being told that stand-alone landline service will not be provided upon request, or must be bundled with Internet or video service.

The situation in Fire Island, New York, where Verizon sought to discontinue landline telephone service after Hurricane Sandy, further highlights the problem of consumers being refused or discouraged from obtaining landline telephone service in areas where the incumbent, *i.e.*, Verizon, has not deployed its IP service <sup>53</sup> and stopped repairing its TDM network. Consumers' experience with the wireless substitute offered in place of TDM and IP telephony, is discussed below.

## **Changed Prices, Terms, and Conditions**

For about 100 years traditional telephone service has been subject to state regulation over prices as well as terms and conditions to assure that service is provided on just and reasonable terms. With the change to IP enabled service, carriers have changed both prices and terms and conditions. Consumers in some instances reach out to state Attorney General offices when their

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>50</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>51</sup> Illinois OAG Complaint 2014 CONSC 00371500.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>52</sup> AT&T Proposed Trials at 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>53</sup> Verizon stopped FIOS expansion in 2012. However, some commentators suggest that it should restart its buildout. See http://www.dslreports.com/shownews/Verizon-Again-Confirms-FiOS-Expansion-is-Over-118949; http://www.speedmatters.org/blog/archive/verizon-ceo-hedges-on-fios-expansion/ (March 11, 2014); and Verizon Might Someday Look At Expanding FIOS Further, <a href="http://www.dslreports.com/blog?cat=92">http://www.dslreports.com/blog?cat=92</a> (March 12, 2014).

service does not match their expectations, because of a lack of disclosure or notice, because the changed terms were not clearly understood, or because the consumer finds the changes unfair or unreasonable. Some of the concerns expressed by consumers are as follows:

- 1. <u>Voluntary Change</u>. When assessing the rate at which customers are transitioning to digital service, and whether those changes are voluntary, the Commission should be aware that the carrier's marketing may lead consumers to believe they have no choice but to change their service. For example, an AT&T marketing letter dated May 3, 2013 informed the customer: "We are excited to tell you that within the next 45 days, we'll be moving your Internet service to the AT&T U-verse network!" (bold in original.) <sup>54</sup> When the customer contacted AT&T to arrange for new equipment, he resisted the change, and was then told that the letter was only "marketing" and while he would not be automatically switched, his service would have been interrupted had he not called. <sup>55</sup> Another consumer expressed concern that if she moved to U-verse, her price would remain the same for one year, but thereafter it would increase to the new U-verse data rate. She wanted to avoid the price increase and resisted the change. <sup>56</sup>
- 2. <u>Increased Charges.</u> The most common complaint from consumers is that telephone service charges are not what the consumer expected after a switch to IP-based service. Consumers have complained that when they call the carrier to see if they can reduce their telephone service charges, they are encouraged to take IP-based service, in AT&T's case, U-verse Voice.<sup>57</sup> Another customer stated: "every month they try to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>54</sup> Illinois OAG Complaint 2013 CONSC 00357797.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>55</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>56</sup> Illinois OAG Complaint 2013 CONSC 00368390.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>57</sup> E.g., Illinois OAG Complaint 2013 CONSC 00365916.

charge me more and more." The carrier charged the consumer a \$99.00 installation fee to switch her service to U-verse Voice, and the terms and conditions changed significantly, resulting in an unstable and higher than expected bill. Upon investigation, it became clear that instead of being charged on a *per call* basis for local calls, she was charged on a *per minute* basis for local calls, although this billing practice was not explained to the customer at the time that she was switched to U-verse. This is a major change from the way local calls are billed in Illinois, and resulted in the unstable and increasing charges she experienced.

Changes to the terms and conditions of service are not always clearly communicated to consumers either online, in online "chat" conversations, or in direct conversations with customer service representatives. AT&T describes of AT&T U-verse Voice 200 as providing 200 minutes of use, as follows:

Unlimited calling to other Uverse Voice customers, plus 200 minutes of anytime calling to anyone else in the U.S., Puerto Rico, the U.S. Virgin Islands, Guam, and the Northern Marianas. Additional minutes billed at 7¢ per minute. 60

In other words, U-verse Voice is unlimited to some customers, but other calls, local or long distance, are treated like "toll calls" subject to minutes of use charges. This term of service treats calls to U-verse Voice customers more favorably than it treats calls to other numbers, raising the question of unreasonable or undue discrimination and preference. While calling plans are not new, the cost for the call is ordinarily linked to location or distance rather than which carrier serves the called party, a factor that is not generally known to most consumers.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>58</sup> Illinois OAG File No. 2014 CONSC 00370133.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>59</sup> *Id.* 

<sup>60</sup> See <a href="http://att.com/shop/home-phone.html?tgccParam=1">http://att.com/shop/home-phone.html?tgccParam=1</a>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>61</sup> See 47 U.S.C. 202(a).

Treating all local calls as toll calls subject to minutes of use charges may be a significant change in the way consumers are charged for local calls. This change can be expected to either result in consumers limiting their use of the network to save money, or in increased bills. The AT&T trial wire centers both serve populations that can be expected to be sensitive to price changes. AT&T reports that 51% of the population in the King's Point, Florida wire center are over 65 years, and 21% of the households in the Carbon Hill, Alabama wire center are below the poverty level. The effect of this change in pricing on senior citizens and those of modest incomes should be closely reviewed in the proposed trials. In addition, the Commission should consider (1) whether consumers in these wire centers and in other states and wire centers currently have untimed *local* calling, (2) the effect of a change to toll, minute of use charges on both usage and total cost to the consumer, and (3) whether there is a cost basis for the resulting increase in charges.

In New York, Verizon advertises many different prices for FiOS voice only service. For example, the unbundled phone service, Digital Voice, is shown as \$59.99 for "unlimited calling" in New York City. 63 Elsewhere on its site, Verizon identifies a FiOS Digital Voice service for \$14.99 plus \$0.05 per minute for all calls. 64 In Lansing, New York, regional home phone service is advertised at \$37.04, and bundled with

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>62</sup> AT&T Wire Center Trial Operating Plan at 7 (Feb. 27, 2014).

<sup>63</sup> On Verizon's web site, the price for telephone only service in New York City is \$59.99 for one year. http://www.verizon.com/home/shop/shopping.htm (deselect TV and Internet for Telephone only price). The price for telephone and Internet is lower, ranging from \$34.99 to \$54.99, but requiring a two year contract. *Id.* (select Internet and Telephone only). However, Verizon notifies consumers that Internet service and speeds are not guaranteed as follows: "Speeds and service availability vary. High Speed Internet Enhanced service will be provisioned based on customer location and Verizon line qualification requirements. Most will qualify at 1.1–3 Mbps speed tier. The 3.1–7 Mbps and 7.1–15 Mbps service tiers ranges are available in select locations only. Availability subject to final confirmation by Verizon." *Id.* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>64</sup> See http://deals.servicebundles.com/verizon-home-phone/new-york

Internet is shown at \$39.99.<sup>65</sup> However, these offerings are higher than the price for "plain old telephone service" or POTS, in Binghamton, New York which is listed at \$15.80 per month with untimed local calls at \$0.09 per call (not per minute). <sup>66</sup> Additionally, the FiOS device runs on house power, costing consumers for electricity and in the event of a power outage, runs on battery backup for a limited time only. <sup>67</sup> By comparison, the wireline service is self-powered. <sup>68</sup> Verizon does not disclose the typical electrical consumption of its FiOS device, a significant cost in parts of New York which have some of the highest electricity rates in the country, especially on Long Island and the New York City region.

3. <u>Connection charges</u>. Consumers who are encouraged to change from traditional landline service to U-verse IP-based service do not appear to be told that they will also be charged a \$99.00 installation fee for voice service. While AT&T is willing to allow the consumer to pay this fee over three months upon complaint from the consumer, the additional charge for a change the Company initiated for the same functional service,

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>65</sup> The Internet speed is shown as only up to 1 Mbps. <a href="http://deals.servicebundles.com/verizon-home-phone/new-york/lansing#">http://deals.servicebundles.com/verizon-home-phone/new-york/lansing#</a>

<sup>66</sup> See http://www.verizon.com/FORYOURHOME/GOFLOW/OrderNew/BuildBundle.aspx

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>67</sup> There was also an issue where a PSC audit found that half of FiOS installations failed to properly ground the device, exposing consumers to electrocution/fire risk. This was resolved by forcing the company to go back and check every installation and correct them to meet National Electrical Code standards. <a href="http://documents.dps.ny.gov/public/MatterManagement/CaseMaster.aspx?MatterCaseNo=08-V-0835">http://documents.dps.ny.gov/public/MatterManagement/CaseMaster.aspx?MatterCaseNo=08-V-0835</a> (see Orders posted February 18, 2011, January 29, 2009, November 3, 2008).

Werizon points out that factor in its description of its telephone service in Lansing, NY: Unmatched Peace of Mind and Convenience There are a lot of reasons to have home phone service from Verizon. You won't drop calls, your phone will almost always work, and when you're at home, you are always reachable. Here are just a few more reasons a home phone in Lansing is a good idea:

<sup>•</sup>FCC recommends a land line in an emergency

<sup>•</sup>Will work in a power outage

<sup>•</sup>Multiple phone on one line

<sup>•</sup>A clear connection, every time. http://deals.servicebundles.com/verizon-home-phone/new-york/lansing#

*i.e.* telephone service, raises the question of unjust and unreasonable charges as well as notice.<sup>69</sup>

4. <u>Early termination charges</u>. Consumers who have been encouraged or directed to change their DSL service for U-verse data have complained of being charged an early termination charge when they terminate U-verse service in less than a year. AT&T's web site states that a one-year commitment is required for U-verse data service, although the size of the early termination fee is only included in the "see offer details" link for 13 various offers. Notice of this fee is often not clearly communicated to the customer, and raises equity concerns when the consumer is directed to change from DSL to U-verse. As one consumer stated in his complaint to the Illinois Attorney General:

At the end of May [2013] ATT sent me a letter stating that I was REQUIRED [to] change to their U-verse Network. I did not ask for the change. The result was a disaster. I cancelled my service and moved to another provider. However, when I cancelled I was informed that I had a new CONTRACT when I changed to U-verse. I was never told about a contract, did not agree to one, was not requesting anything but rather was required to make a change. ... ATT waived the cancellation costs when I 'reacted' so I do not have anything to recover but this practice cannot be permissible under the law."

The imposition of early termination fees for a new service that the customer has not requested raises significant equity concerns because not all customers have the time or ability to dispute the charges in the event they encounter problems with the new service.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>69</sup> Illinois OAG Complaint 2014 CONSC 00370133, 2013 CONSC 00365916 (customer refused to pay the \$99 installation fee for Voice and the \$100 installation fee for Internet access and ultimately lost all service despite calling to reduce her bill).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>70</sup> The "see offer details" link on AT&T's website contains terms and conditions for service bundles and is several pages long. The early termination charge states: "Offers available for new residential AT&T Internet customers only. 12-month term required. After 12 months, standard rate applies unless cancelled by customer. Qualifying AT&T home phone service required. Promotional rates may no longer apply if customer changes their speed tier during term. Up to \$180 early termination fee applies." Link available at <a href="http://www.att.com/shop/internet.html#internetvoicebundles">http://www.att.com/shop/internet.html#internetvoicebundles</a>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>71</sup> The complainant discussed in this paragraph enclosed two pieces of correspondence from AT&T to the customer. One letter dated May 13, 2013 references the one-year term, but only discloses that a \$180 early termination fee "may apply if U-verse services are terminated" in the third of three endnotes. The second letter dated May 20, 2013 does not mention an early termination fee. Illinois OAG Tracking No. 13-145961.

This practice can also raise competitive concerns because it results in substantial transaction costs to consumers in the event they seek service from an alternative provider or simply choose to go without unsatisfactory service.

Customers who might not have IP service available and are offered the option to replace their TDM service with a wireless home phone face connection fees as well as activation fees. While a customer can avoid the \$99.00 connection fee and the \$36.00 activation fee by signing a two-year contract for AT&T's Wireless Home Phone, if the service proves unsatisfactory because the strength of the signal is insufficient, or the voice quality is not acceptable to the customer, the customer will face a \$150 early termination fee. Customers moving from AT&T's TDM service into a Wireless Home Phone face the significant risk of a two year contract on an untried wireless service or significantly higher initial charges.<sup>72</sup>

5. <u>Loss of legacy functions</u>: Medical monitoring, security monitoring, building security/ "doorbell" function, fax machine usage, and other legacy functions may be impaired or lost by the transition to wireless or IP service. While a telephone company cannot be expected to know the needs of each of its individual customers, the failure to disclose changes in these services can cause dangerous situations. An Illinois consumer wrote to the Attorney General to point out that "if I had installed the system [U-verse Voice] and needed my emergency system it wouldn't have worked – no one ever asked me that question because I never would have ordered it." She did not go forward with the switch to U-verse because the Company had placed additional

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>72</sup> See Offer Details link at: <a href="http://www.att.com/shop/wireless/devices/att/wireless-home-phone-silver.html">http://www.att.com/shop/wireless/devices/att/wireless-home-phone-silver.html</a>

conditions on her purchase of the service due to "an assessment of your credit history."<sup>73</sup>

Illinois regulations regarding satisfactory credit requirements is discussed below.<sup>74</sup>

Customers of wireless substitutes, such as AT&T's Wireless Home Phone and Verizon's Voice Link also lose many legacy functions. AT&T's web site acknowledges that Wireless Home Phone "is not compatible with home security systems, fax machines, medical alert and monitoring services, credit card machines, IP/PBX Phone systems, or dial-up Internet service." Verizon customers who subscribe to Verizon Home Phone Connect will find the same limitations. The experiences and concerns of Fire Island, New York residents and businesses who were limited to Verizon's Voice Link service when Verizon declined to repair traditional telephone service in their area are discussed below.

## d. Loss of Telecommunications Regulatory Protections.

As the example above demonstrates, a customer seeking IP based or wireless home phone service may be required to provide financial performance guarantees or other charges beyond those allowed under Illinois or other state regulations. Consistent with the goal of protecting consumers' option to be connected to the telephone network, 77 Illinois regulations limit the requirements that can be placed on new customers to posting deposits or prepayment. For example, a deposit is limited to two months service charge for residential customers, and can

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>73</sup> Illinois OAG Complaint 2013 CONSC 00358957.

See page 23, below. A customer with current service that is not past due is entitled to service without a deposit under Illinois rules. 83 Ill. Adm. Code 735.110(e)(2)(A): "If the applicant has verifiable previous service with any telephone company for at least twelve months and the payment record on the account was satisfactory, the applicant would obtain service without a deposit."

http://www.att.com/shop/wireless/devices/att/wireless-home-phone-silver.html

http://www.verizonwireless.com/b2c/device/home-phone-connect?selectedContractTerm=2

The public interest in widespread connection to the communications network is reflected in Section 201 of the Telecommunications Act. "It shall be the duty of every common carrier engaged in interstate commerce or foreign communication by wire or radio to furnish such communication service upon reasonable request therefor; ... All charges, practices, classifications, and regulation for and in connection with such communication service, shall be just and reasonable.... The Commission may prescribe such rules and regulation as may be necessary in the public interest to carry out the provisions of this chapter." 47 U.S.C. 201.

only be required under specified circumstances.<sup>78</sup> By contrast, it appears that AT&T has asked for deposits as high as \$450 for U-verse service, although the credit requirements for Voice alone are unclear. <sup>79</sup> Other consumer protections include notice prior to disconnection and the postponement of disconnection for 30 days in the event of illness.<sup>80</sup> Customers who only have wireless home phone options will lose the protections provided by traditional telephone regulation.

# e. Effect Of Loss Of Wireline Service And Mandatory Cellular Substitution.

AT&T has stated that "some locations lack a positive business case for private sector investment" and it has indicated its intention not to provide wireline service over its IP network to 25% of its customer locations. <sup>82</sup> In its Carbon Hill, Alabama trial, it intends to provide Wireless Home Phone service and discontinue the TDM network to a [confidential] portion of its Carbon Hill customers. AT&T suggests that "universal service support may be necessary to solve the broadband equation for everyone," implying that it is not willing to offer IP-based service in areas where the expected revenue from an individual wire center does not meet the company's expectations unless it receives subsidies from the Universal Service Fund.

In addition to reviewing the sufficiency of the wireless telephone and Internet access that AT&T (and Verizon) promote(s) as a substitute for wireline service, the Commission should

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>78</sup> 83 Ill. Adm. Code 735.100-735.120. For example, Illinois regulations provide that a new customer can be asked for a deposit if the customer does not meet two of seven credit criteria, such as existing service, home ownership, employment for two or more years, or having a credit card or bank account. *Id.* at 735.110(e).

<sup>79</sup> Credit requirements are not conspicuously presented on ATT.com. The Illinois Attorney General has received informal inquiries about the \$450 deposit requirement for U-verse Video. The AT&T customer forum includes a report of similarly high deposit requirements for video at <a href="http://forums.att.com/t5/U-verse-General-Care-and-Support/Required-Deposit/td-p/3681371">http://forums.att.com/t5/U-verse-General-Care-and-Support/Required-Deposit/td-p/3681371</a> (customer complained of a \$449 deposit requirement on AT&T customer forum, 10-12-2013). See also <a href="http://www.complaintsboard.com/complaints/att-u-verse-charlotte-california-2276947.html">http://www.complaintsboard.com/complaints/att-u-verse-charlotte-california-2276947.html</a> (2009-2011 - customer informed of required \$450 deposit and comments).

<sup>80</sup> *Id.* at 735.130 & 735.140.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>81</sup> AT&T Proposed Trials at 17.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>82</sup> *Id.* at 6 ("Our wireline IP network will reach approximately 75 percent of the customer locations in our 22-state wireline footprint, with many experiencing faster speeds." AT&T asserts that 99% of its customer locations will have access to 4G LTE wireless services.).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>83</sup> *Id.* at 17.

independently assess the costs associated with expanding IP service to a carrier's entire existing footprint. AT&T and Verizon, as well as Comcast and other carriers, are multi-billion dollar companies that are providing service in the densest parts of the country as well as in the less dense areas. He are providing service in the densest parts of the country as well as in the less dense areas. Further, these companies tend to have uniform rates nationally, raising the question of whether the revenues from the areas that are less costly to serve are sufficient to expand service to the more costly per customer areas. The Commission cannot assess the assertion that it is not economical to serve a small area like Carbon Hill, Alabama without knowing both the cost to provide wired IP service to that area, and how that cost compares to the overall profitability of the firm. From a state and local point of view, profitability should be considered on the state level, rather than on the level of a wire center. Using the wire center as the measure of profitability ignores the economies of scale that are the essence of the network, and the benefits that result when high cost and lower cost areas are combined to provide universal access to the network at reasonable prices.

In its trial proposal, AT&T asserts that wireless networks are sufficient to replace both wireline voice and Internet service. Nevertheless, the Company cautions that it is still developing certain enhancements or capabilities not yet available, such as compatibility with home security systems, fax machines, medical alerts, and credit card applications (which are essential to business customers). As described above, Verizon's "home phone" wireless product also has these limitations.

In New York, Verizon offers to substitute wireless Voice Link for wireless facilities when it informs customers that repair of their wireline service will no longer be available. Even in areas far beyond Fire Island that were unaffected by Hurricane Sandy, Verizon has asked

85 *Id.* at 20.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>84</sup> AT&T Wire Center Trial Operating Plan at 5.

customers to substitute wireless Voice Link for wireline service without clearly disclosing all of the significant differences in service such as Voice Link's inability to support DSL, alarm systems, medical alert devices, or fax machines. According to Communications Workers of America (and confirmed by complaints to the New York Attorney General), Verizon is rolling out Voice Link from New York City to the Catskills region, and all the way to Buffalo.

New York consumers have attended multiple public hearings to express their disappointed expectations with Voice Link service. <sup>87</sup> In the absence of IP investment by the incumbent carrier, consumers lost both reliable telephone service and high speed Internet service (i.e. faster than 4 mbps). <sup>88</sup> They were left with wireless service that in some cases was unreliable, <sup>89</sup> lacked clear voice quality that made it impossible for a person with hearing loss to use the service, <sup>90</sup> and was insufficient for expected Internet business functions such as credit card transactions or simply taking restaurant reservations. <sup>91</sup>

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>86</sup> See NYSPSC Case 13-C-0197, Emergency Petition Of New York Attorney General Eric T. Schneiderman For An Order Preventing Verizon From Illegally Installing Voice Link Service In Violation Of Its Tariff And The Commission's May 16, 2013 Order, filed June 26, 2013, <a href="http://documents.dps.ny.gov/public/common/view">http://documents.dps.ny.gov/public/common/view</a> doc.aspx? DocRefld={A3FOA269-8613-4437-AEB3-35ACCF6E5A47}. Verizon's web site, in suggesting that consumers subscribe to wireline telephone service in Lansing, NY states: "You may not know it, but as many as 12% of cell phone calls are dropped. In Lansing there are currently 3,680 people. Taking a daily average, this means there are 3,533 dropped calls. Don't get disconnected on the calls that matter most. Verizon home phone delivers 99.9% network reliability. As a subscriber you also get: A clear connection." <a href="http://deals.servicebundles.com/verizon-home-phone/new-vork/lansing#">http://deals.servicebundles.com/verizon-home-phone/new-vork/lansing#</a>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>87</sup> See NY PSC Case No. 13-C-0197, *Tariff filing by Verizon New York Inc. to discontinue its current wire line service offerings in a specified area and instead offer a wireless service as its sole service offering in the area.* Verizon later withdrew this request in a letter dated September 11,

<sup>2013</sup>http://documents.dps.ny.gov/public/MatterManagement/CaseMaster.aspx?MatterCaseNo=13-C-0197

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>88</sup> See *id.*, Public Comment tab (indicating 1,740 comments as of 12/6/2013) and Temporary Use of Verizon's Voice Link Service on Fire Island, Transcript of August 24, 2013 Public Hearing at Ocean Beach Community House, Ocean Beach, New York, NY PSC Case No. 13-C-0197, *Tariff filing by Verizon New York Inc. to discontinue its current wire line service offerings in a specified area and instead offer a wireless service as its sole service offering in the area.* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>89</sup> *Id.* Many people testifying at the hearing described unreliable service, including interruptions by recorded message, dropped calls, inability to be heard, lack of connection when making calls, and lack of connection when called.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>90</sup> *Id.* at 68 ("I was able to hear quite well I would say on a land line and hold a long conversation. And with the Voice Link service that I now have my telephone is useless to me. ... Communication is a treasure and if you're losing your hearing you experience that more than ever.").
<sup>91</sup> *Id.* at 6-8.

Consumers on Fire Island, New York, where Verizon sought to replace wireline telephone and Internet access with its wireless Voice Link service for a period of time before agreeing to restore wireline service, provided written comments to the New York Public Service Commission and attended hearings describing how they were experiencing the IP transition.<sup>92</sup> Consumers raised the fundamental question of whether it is legal or fair to allow an incumbent carrier to disinvest in a community where it has provided service, mostly on a monopoly basis, for years. 93 One consumer stated: "We are not that many people. We're here just mainly during the summer months, but around the country there are many, many other places that are far away that are inconvenient for Verizon. And if they can get away with not servicing their customers, they're certainly going to get away with it." The consumer concluded with asking that Verizon be required to continue to provide landline telephone service to his community on Fire Island in New York.<sup>94</sup>

In addition to consumer frustration with inadequate wireless telephone and Internet access service, the Commission must assess the capacity of the nation's wireless system. In its Report to the President on Communications Resiliency (April 19, 2011) the President's National Security Telecommunications Advisory Committee pointed out that mobile data use is a tiny percentage of overall data use, with the vast majority of data going over the wired network. It concluded that wireless data use "will remain a small percentage of overall data traffic given the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>92</sup> See NY PSC Case No. 13-C-0197, Tariff filing by Verizon New York Inc. to discontinue its current wire line service offerings in a specified area and instead offer a wireless service as its sole service offering in the area. Verizon later withdrew this request by letter dated September 11, 2013. See also Public Comments tab indicating 1746 public comments.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>93</sup> Id. at 73, 95-96 ("I don't understand why the Public Service Commission gave Verizon the authority not to fix our lines. A public utility is mandated to service customers. It's not optional. Some years you make a lot, some years you don't make so much, but you can't say 'Oh, we're really not going to do it because we're not making enough.' It's just not an option. Because you're a monopoly, because you are a public utility, that's not something you can say.").

94 *Id.* at 97.

spectrum limitations inherent in wireless infrastructure." <sup>95</sup> In considering whether wireless service, both telephone and data, is a fair substitute for wired service, the Commission must assess not only the legacy functions of the TDM network, but the capacity of the wireless network in light of these spectrum limitations.

## f. Conclusion

Consumers today are facing the real changes associated with the IP transition. AT&T is correct when it states that "[t]he IP transition is well underway." While "the fourth network revolution" described by Chairman Wheeler<sup>97</sup> has the potential to bring improved and innovative services, today too many consumers are describing poorer service, increased prices and fees, and misleading communications. The Commission should address the transition, both in terms of the trials proposed in this proceeding and in addressing the ongoing IP transition with an eye firmly on the statutory core values of universal and affordable service, public safety, accessibility to people with disabilities, and competition. While the transition from TDM based communications services to IP based communications services involves a shift in underlying technology, it is also involving substantial changes to consumers' access to quality communications services, costs, and available functions. The experiences of consumers as reported to States and other regulatory agencies provide valuable insight into how consumers are experiencing the IP transition and how they can be expected to respond to the possibility that they will lose the option of landline telephone service and Internet access. These are issues that the Commission should expressly examine as part of the trials and its review of the IP transition.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>95</sup> Report to the President on Communications Resiliency, the President's National Security Telecommunications Advisory Committee at 4-5 (April 19, 2011)(emphasis added.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>96</sup> AT&T Proposed Trials at 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>97</sup> *Id.*; Tom Wheeler, *Net Effects: The Past, Present and Future Impacts of Our Networks*, ebook (Kindle) at location 103.

# V. The Commission Should Require Extensive Reporting From The Major Providers Of Telephone Service So The Effects Of The IP Transition Can Be Identified And Addressed.

The Commission has invited proposals for data collection in connection with the technology and service trials authorized in this proceeding. The trials are intended to include "a diverse set of experiments and data collection initiatives" to evaluate the effect of the transition from TDM circuit-switched voice services to an all- IP network using copper, co-axial cable, wireless, and fiber as physical infrastructure. 98

The Commission and commenters have laid out what is at stake in this proceeding. The proposals to "transition" to IP do not just replace one technology with another – like going from rotary dial telephones to touch tone. Rather, AT&T's proposed trial as well as Verizon's proposal to discontinue TDM service and replace it with wireless home phone service in New York demonstrate that incumbent telephone companies' obligation to provide universal, reliable, and affordable telephone service to all locations in its service territory is in the balance.

In order to enable the Commission to identify the issues and their potential resolution, it is crucial that comprehensive and consistent information be produced by each trial and that the Commission reach out to major carriers to gather needed information. The two AT&T trials that have been proposed are too small to enable the Commission to assess the effect of the IP transition on our communications networks and needs. A broader data collection effort is needed. A list of proposed questions to facilitate gathering data to allow an accurate assessment of how the IP transition is affecting consumers and their communications needs is included as Attachment 1 to these Comments.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>98</sup> Initiating Order, ¶ 1.

In connection with the IP transition, the Commission should require the telephone and Internet access providers who provide service in areas with more than 1 million customer locations and originated as regulated cable or telephone carriers to provide the information identified on Attachment 1 to these Comments. In addition, the Commission should hold public hearings in the areas where the trials are being conducted, after providing consumers with specific information, approved by the Commission and the local regulatory commission, about the service changes that are expected, including changes in functions, service quality, reliability, price, and other terms and conditions.

#### VI. Conclusion

Wherefore, for the above reasons, the People of the State of Illinois, by Attorney General
Lisa Madigan, and the People of the State of New York, by Attorney General Eric
Schneiderman, request that in evaluating the effects of, and responding to, the transition from
TDM, circuit switched telecommunications services to IP based telecommunications services,

the Commission require the major incumbent carriers (or their successors) to provide the information identified herein and in Attachment 1 to these Comments, and further, that the Commission invite the State regulatory commissions and State Attorneys General to share their experiences with telecommunications consumers so that the Commission can be informed about the day-to-day effect of the IP transition on consumers.

Respectfully submitted,

#### The People of the State of Illinois

#### By LISA MADIGAN, Attorney General

/s/	/

Susan L. Satter
Public Utilities Counsel
Public Interest Division
Illinois Attorney General's Office
100 West Randolph Street, 11th fl.
Chicago, Illinois 60601

Telephone: (312) 814-1104 Facsimile: (312) 812-3212

E-mail: ssatter@atg.state.il.us

#### The People of the State of New York

#### By ERIC T. SCHNEIDERMAN

Attorney General of the State of New York Jane M. Azia, Bureau Chief Keith H. Gordon, Assistant Attorney General New York State Attorney General's Office Bureau of Consumer Frauds and Protection 120 Broadway, room 3B42 New York, New York, 10271 (212) 416-8320 Fax (212) 416-6003

Keith.Gordon@ag.ny.gov

#### Before the Federal Communications Commission Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of	)
Technology Transitions	) GN Docket No. 13-5
AT&T Petition to Launch a Proceeding Concerning the TDM-to-IP Transition	GN Docket No. 12-353
Connect America Fund	) WC Docket No. 10-90
Structure and Practices of the Video Relay Service Program	) CG Docket No. 10-51
Telecommunications Relay Services And Speech-to-Speech Services for Individuals with Hearing and Speech Disabilities	) CG Docket No. 03-123
Numbering Policies for Modern Communications	) WC Docket No. 13-97 )

#### Attachment 1 To The People Of The State Of Illinois Comments On Trials and Data Collection

Data should be collected by the Commission so that the following questions can be answered to assess the effect of the IP transition on consumers. This information should be gathered at public hearings, from the Commission's Consumer and Government Affairs Bureau, and solicited from state public service commissions, from state Attorneys General, and from non-for-profit associations and organizations (e.g. AARP, Citizens Utility Boards) that have regular contact with consumers.

The issues raised by the trials are not limited to the two small wire centers selected by AT&T for its technical trials. In order to assess the effects of the IP transition, the Commission needs to look beyond these two small trials, and evaluate the experiences of the states and consumers where the shift from traditional TDM service to IP based and wireless telephony and Internet access is occurring.

The Commission should gather information sufficient to enable it to answer the following questions related to the IP transition:

#### 1. Service Availability

#### A. Universal Service.

- 1. What has been the effect of the IP Transition on universal service?
- 2. Are telephone and Internet access services becoming more or less available to Americans as a result of the IP transition and associated wireless substitution?
- 3. Are there differences in availability of services among rural and urban areas, among states, and within and among ILEC service areas?
- 4. Is there a cost basis for a reduction in service availability?
- 5. Is it reasonable to ask a carrier that provides telephone service to provide universal service without subsidy?
- 6. What factors should be considered in determining whether a universal subsidy is appropriate, such as whether the carrier charges a unified rate for all areas nationally or on a state basis, and the extent of the financial and investment burden of universal service?

#### B. Mandatory Bundling.

- 1. At any time over the last five years, have residents seeking to order new residence telephone service been told that stand-alone landline telephone service will not be provided upon request, or must be bundled with Internet or video service?
- 2. At any time over the last five years, have customers with existing stand-alone landline services been advised that they will be required to accept a bundle in order to retain their basic telephone service?

#### C. Connection Fees.

- 1. Are consumers being charged a connection fee to switch from TDM to IP based service (a) when the TDM to IP migration is at the request of the customer and (b) when the TDM to IP migration is involuntary or at the suggestion of the carrier in response to an inquiry about service quality, repair, or price?
- 2. How much is the fee and is there a cost basis for the connection fee?

#### D. Early Termination Fees.

1. Are early termination fees assessed for voice or data service (a) when the TDM to IP migration is at the request of the customer and (b) when the TDM to IP migration is involuntary or is at the suggestion of the carrier in response to an inquiry about service quality, repair, or price?

2. If so, what is the amount, what notice is provided to the consumer, and is there a cost basis for the charge?

#### 2. Service Quality

#### A. Voice Quality

- 1. Are customers satisfied with TDM service?
- 2. Are customers satisfied with IP voice services? Does customer satisfaction with IP service vary based on distance from the wire center?
- 3. Have consumers found the wireless "home phone" option to be a true and acceptable substitute for wireline services where a wireline service is no longer available?

#### B. Repair experience

- 1. How are consumer requests to repair local telephone service and Internet access service handled?
- 2. Are repair requests incorporated into the IP Transition, and if so, how are consumers being informed of the associated changes in service?
- 3. Does the carrier migrate a customer from TDM service to IP service in response to repair requests made with respect to voice telephone service? If so, what percentage of repair reports results in the replacement of TDM service with IP based service?
- 4. Have repair requests with respect to existing TDM services resulted in the termination of voice telephone service for that customer? If so, what percentage of repair reports result in the termination of telephone service?

#### 3. Prices, Terms, and Conditions

#### A. Prices

- 1. What are the prices for TDM based local telephone service and what are the prices for the IP based local telephone service to which the transitions are occurring?
- 2. Are TDM telephone services tariffed in the state? Which ones?
- 3. Are IP-based telephone services tariffed in the state? Which ones?
- 4. Are stand-alone voice services available? Is stand-alone local service available?
- 5. Are untimed local calls currently available in the trial areas or in other states?

- 6. How are local IP voice services priced? Are they priced per minute, per call, unlimited, or otherwise? What is range of calling?
- 7. What is the effect of a change to toll, minute of use charges for local usage on use of the network and total cost to the consumer for residence TDM services that are migrated to IP?
- 8. Is there a cost basis for minute-of-use charges?
- 9. Are preferences shown to some carriers' customers?
- 10. How do these practices comport with the basic principles of common carriage?

#### 4. Competition

- 1. In how many areas are there other wired options available, e.g., from municipal networks or cable networks, and are consumers using those options?
- 2. What will be the effect on competition if AT&T withdraws wireline telephone and Internet access from households in in the trial areas?
- 3. What will be the effect on competition and consumer choice if AT&T, Verizon or another incumbent carrier withdraws wireline telephone and Internet access from households in parts of their service area?
- 4. What consumer protections will be necessary if the IP transition results in only one, or at most two, wireline telephone and Internet access provider(s) in a given part of the country?

#### 5. Consumer Protection

- 1. Do the carriers treat IP telecommunications services as telecommunications services or as information services?
- 2. Are IP-based services subject to different state regulatory treatment than TDM-based services? If so, what are the differences?
- 3. What is the effect on price, terms and conditions, and service quality if IP telecommunications services are treated as an information service?
- 4. Does a consumer's shift to IP technology for telecommunications services such as telephone service and Internet access remove those services from state or federal regulatory or other consumer protection?

#### 6. Internet access

- 1. Will high speed access to the Internet become more or less available when areas are transitioned to IP?
- 2. Will the charges for Internet access increase or decrease when areas are transitioned to IP, and how will prices be affected by bundling requirements?
- 3. In areas without IP wireline service, are the terms of wireless Internet access comparable to wireline Internet access in terms of price, usage caps, speed and other factors?
- 4. In areas without IP wireline service, are there spectrum limitations that will reduce the capabilities or capacity of wireless Internet access compared to wireline Internet access?

#### 7. 911

- 1. Will 911 calls dialed from IP-based or wireless substitutes for legacy TDM landline services be directed to the same emergency center, or "public safety answering point" or PSAP that would customarily serve the caller's location at the time the call is placed?
- 2. Will 911 calls dialed from IP-based or wireless substitutes for legacy TDM landline services be identified to the PSAP or other answering point with the precise address and, in the case of multi-dwelling unit (MDU) buildings, the unit number of the caller?

## **EXHIBIT J**



## The Phone Network Transition: **Lessons From Fire Island**

In October 2012 Superstorm Sandy struck the northeast United States, causing over \$60 billion in damage and over 70 deaths. The storm devastated many public utilities, leaving people without electricity, water, and phone access.

In Fire Island, NY, Verizon responded to the storm's damage by replacing its landline copper phone and DSL network with a new fixed wireless service called Voice Link. The proposed permanent switch to Voice Link prompted unprecedented consumer outcry, particularly after the New York State Public Service Commission (NYPSC) required Verizon to publicly file its Voice Link Terms of Service. As hundreds of customer complaints revealed, Voice Link does not provide its own power—as the copper network had and is not compatible with alarm systems, medical monitoring services, fax machines, credit card machines, collect calling services, and some international calling cards. Unlike the copper network, Voice Link does not provide internet access, and Voice Link's Terms of Service disclaimed liability if 911 calls failed to go through due to network congestion.

After a barrage of consumer outrage and investigations by the NYPSC and the Federal Communications Commission (FCC), Verizon announced that it would replace its Fire Island copper network with a fiber network instead, leaving Voice Link available for those customers who choose to use it.

As more communities across the US find themselves facing the advent of new communications networks that may not have all of the capabilities and protections consumers are accustomed to on the traditional phone network, users, regulators, and carriers must remember the lessons we can learn from the events in Fire Island. The phone network transition holds great potential, but it is up to the public and their representatives in government to make sure the network continues to serve users first.

#### **New Communications Technologies**

#### Lesson 1: Customers can recognize when new services are inferior to what they had before.

Even though Verizon originally lauded Voice Link as a next-generation technology that would provide better service for lower prices, customer outcry revealed that the real, everyday Americans using Voice Link were utterly dissatisfied with it. The comments consumers submitted to the NYPSC were clear: Fire Island residents viewed Voice Link as an inferior service that failed to meet their needs.

As a basic matter, residents found Voice Link's lower quality of service made it difficult to understand the person on the other end of the phone. Ellen Anderson wrote, "The most basic measure of any phone service is Clarity and on this measure, VoiceLink is a non-starter. Imagine all the garbled messages of your personal cell phone and multiply by a factor of 20! That is VoiceLink. People can accept a certain level of



garble and dropped calls from their cell phone as a trade-off for cellular convenience. But this is intolerable on a home phone. Imagine not being able to hear or understand emergency calls!"

Residents also complained they could not use services on Voice Link that had previously worked on the copper wireline network. Phyllis and Herbert Hildebrand wrote, "We need Life Alert systems, our home alarm system and communication with the outside world, especially in times of weather disasters such as the recent Hurricane Sandy. During that storm, which caused electrical power outages, our cell phone also failed. Our landline made it possible for us to contact our son and daughter, as well as emergency sources, should it become necessary."

Those who had been relying on the wireline network were also left without an adequate internet connection. Keith B. Stein noted that he had previously subscribed for unlimited DSL internet access service for \$30 per month, while wireless data service cost \$80 for 10 GB per month. He wrote: "Those 10 GIGs just get me and my family through a month of email, normal levels of work related internet use, and basic household internet usage.... One could easily spend hundreds of dollars or more per month, at Verizon's rates, in order to regain the amount of data we previously had pre-Sandy."

Fire Island residents' response to the limitations of Voice Link make it clear that customers are paying attention when carriers transition their networks, and customers know when new "next generation" networks don't actually serve all of their needs as well as the previous networks did. Americans relying on the phone service they've used for decades have a right to expect a communications network as good, or better, than what they had before the transition.

#### Lesson 2: New, untested services can have serious problems.

When Verizon first rolled out Voice Link to Fire Island, Verizon was eager to tell subscribers that Voice Link offers "the same 911 support" and "many of the same voice features and functions" as their old landline phones did. When Verizon did the same in New Jersey, it even sent around a mailer saying "Our technicians connect Voice Link into the telephone lines in your home, allowing you to use your home telephones to make and receive calls just like you did before" to impress upon customers how little difference they would notice between Voice Link and their landline phone service. However, as the service reached customers in the real world it became clear that Voice Link had many serious limitations that apparently had not been sufficiently examined before Verizon tried to replace landline phone service with Voice Link.

Before the summer of 2013, the NYPSC required Verizon to submit a filing that belied Verizon's frequent public pronouncements that its Voice Link service is basically the same as its former copper network service. In that filing, Verizon revealed that Voice Link service would be significantly limited compared to the wireline service Fire Island residents were used to. The following is a list of some of the limitations and problems revealed in the Voice Link Terms of Service:









- Verizon specifically stated that users should expect that 911 calls may be blocked by congestion on the network, or subjected to slower routing or processing speeds. Even if the 911 failure was caused by Verizon's negligence, Verizon limited its own liability for any resulting damage.
- The customer was responsible for maintaining power to the Voice Link device, in addition to making sure their actual phone was powered. The user was responsible for recharging the back-up batteries. or buying more commercial batteries if they had an updated Voice Link device. The Voice Link device battery would only last for 2.5 hours of talk time, and 1.5 days if left unused.
- Voice Link would not work with medical alerts or other monitoring services.
- Customers would not be able to use Voice Link for internet access, unlike the DSL offering that was available over the copper network.
- Voice Link was not compatible with fax machines, DVR services, or credit card machines, and might not be compatible with home security services.
- Voice Link customers would not be able to receive collect calls.
- Customers must buy a separate international calling plan to make international calls, and Voice Link won't allow customers to use calling cards to make international calls.
- Voice Link did not allow customers to make 500, 700, 900, 950, 976, 0, 00, 01, 0+, calling card or dial-around calls.
- Voice Link required 10-digit dialing, so users would need to dial an area code even when making a local call.

One common theme among all of these new limits on Verizon's Fire Island voice service was that Voice Link's failings all hit the most vulnerable the hardest. Users trying to reach 911, customers with no electricity, sick or elderly patients using medical alerts, subscribers with families living abroad, and the loved ones of prisoners making collect calls would all feel the consequences of Verizon's experiments the most.

#### Lesson 3: Supposedly "outdated" technologies can still have a significant number of people depending on them.

It is also important not to dismiss the value of pre-existing technologies simply because the percentage of the population depending on them is below some arbitrary threshold of importance. A minority of the population uses wireline phone service to support their Life Alerts, but that percentage will fight for Life Alert support as if their lives depend on it—because they do. And while the percentage of the population solely using landline phone service for their communications has decreased, those users have stuck with the copper network precisely because it offers benefits to them they can't find anywhere else.

The public outcry against Voice Link in Fire Island showed that the consumers who still use copper-based services think that copper-based services still matter. There is a "long tail" of services from Life Alerts to calling cards to security systems that many people use. No one of these may have a lot of customers, but when you add them all up it translates into millions of people depending on copper who will be left out in the cold—and outraged about it—if the replacement service does not do the same thing, especially when they are forced to migrate rather than given an option.











The fact that a service is newer does not in itself mean that service is better in all respects than the preceding technology. Fire Island's experience reminded us all that fixed wireless services can vary significantly in reliability, quality of service, and supported features if regulators do not take active steps to make consumers whole. This is not to say that new technologies can't be upgraded to serve those same needs, but we cannot assume that we can simply force entire populations to convert to new technologies without understanding why and how those users depend on the existing infrastructure.

#### **Transitioning to New Services**

# Lesson 4: Do not use natural disaster victims as guinea pigs for a new type of communications network.

Post-Sandy Fire Island was the first time and place Verizon decided to use Voice Link to completely replace the copper network. No one had any information about whether Voice Link was robust enough to be the only option for basic phone service, or any real-world information for how customers would respond to Voice Link when they suddenly had no choice to use a wireline option instead.

To make matters worse, Voice Link was imposed on Fire Island residents while the community was still recovering from a devastating natural disaster. When residents are rebuilding or repairing their homes and local businesses are deciding whether it is worth it to rebuild their presence in a community, reliable access to voice and internet services is a prerequisite for a strong recovery.

#### Lesson 5: Forcing conversion to new services upsets consumers.

When a carrier unilaterally decides to retire an existing service and replace it with a new one, customers are cut out of the decision-making process completely. No one likes feeling abandoned and having no other option but to take a new service, especially when that service is the platform for their business, personal, and emergency communications.

The fact that some users have voluntarily switched to new services or added new services onto their existing ones does not mean that the customers who have chosen to remain on the existing service simply forgot to switch over. A forced migration makes all users accept the new service, regardless of whether that service actually meets their needs.

As explained above, forcing people off of the copper network impacts their ability to reliably access services like health monitoring or 911, in addition to affecting their access to other features like credit card processing, security alarms, and fax machines. The best way to transition these customers onto a new network is to offer them compelling solutions that continue to serve the same needs as the previous network, not forcing customers to migrate to new services without knowing whether their needs will be met on the new network.









#### Lesson 6: Carriers need guidance on how to repair or replace their networks after natural disasters.

Part of the difficulty for Verizon's Voice Link deployment in Fire Island was the dearth of guidance for a carrier seeking to replace a damaged network with a new service instead of repairing the existing infrastructure after a natural disaster. It was—and still is—unclear what a carrier's obligations are when it seeks to rebuild after a disaster. This is why Public Knowledge and 18 other public interest organizations have filed a letter with the FCC asking the FCC to start a proceeding to provide this guidance, so that all carriers—and more importantly, all Americans—know what to expect when rebuilding their communities.

Even as Fire Island moves on, many questions remain for the next community to face this situation. When a disaster strikes, when must a carrier notify customers of its plans for the network? How should it contact them? When should it notify the relevant state and federal agencies? How should a carrier and the agencies determine whether a new service is an adequate replacement for the traditional network? When and how should actual consumers be involved in the decision-making process? If the new service can't replicate the features of the old network, how should users be made whole?

#### The Role of Regulators

#### Lesson 7: Both federal and state agencies need to be able to protect consumers.

It is important to acknowledge that without the NYPSC and the FCC providing regulatory oversight, nothing would have stopped Verizon from rolling out whatever service they thought "good enough" for a local community with no other provider, regardless of what the customers wanted or needed.

The NYPSC proved critical in making Verizon disclose more details about Voice Link publicly and in establishing that Voice Link would not become a permanent solution unless it could demonstrate to the NYPSC that it would serve residents' needs. The NYPSC also collected hundreds of public comments in which real customers described the ways that Voice Link failed to meet their needs and did not live up to their expectations compared to the copper network.

Importantly, the NYPSC could not have played such an important role if New York had deregulated its treatment of phone services, as many states already have. If the NYPSC had been hamstrung by deregulatory legislation, it never could have opened an inquiry that provided a platform for outraged citizens, which eventually caught the attention of the press. Without this pressure and the threat of enforcement, Voice Link might still be the only option for Fire Island residents.

For its part, the FCC held strong in making sure that Verizon filed an application to change its network under Section 214(a) of the Communications Act, and also opened a public docket for stakeholders to voice their

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> http://www.publicknowledge.org/files/ConsumerHurricaneGuidanceLetter.pdf













concerns. The FCC also rightly removed Verizon's application from the default streamlined treatment to make sure it had time to thoroughly consider the issue before the application was approved.

Without these important public forums to provide a focus for these complaints, and without the threat of regulatory backlash, no one would have any reason to believe that customers were unhappy, and Verizon could have simply forced them to take whatever it wanted to provide. Instead, people stood up for themselves and forced Verizon to respond.

#### Lesson 8: Federal and state agencies are critical in making carriers explain their plans to the public.

In addition to collecting public comment and threatening enforcement, both the NYPSC and FCC helped the public understand what was going on by requiring Verizon to submit information about its service. This was critically important at a time when Verizon would only give the press vague promises of how great Voice Link would be, and would generally assure customers that Voice Link would mostly be the same as copperbased phone service without actually explaining all of the differences.

When the NYPSC required Verizon to submit its Voice Link Terms of Service and explicitly list the limitations of Voice Link, the public was able to understand the full implications of Voice Link's problems for the first time. Similarly, the FCC's procedures for confidential information allowed members of the public to sign protective orders to gain access to more detailed information about Voice Link and how it was selected and deployed.

Without the NYPSC and FCC, the public may never have obtained access to this level of information, which would have hobbled their ability to make well-supported arguments against the forced conversion to Voice Link.

#### Lesson 9: Customers can make a difference when they speak out to their governments.

Perhaps the key event that led to Verizon's decision to deploy fiber in addition to Voice Link was the tremendous outcry from Fire Island residents. Users numbering in the hundreds filed complaints before the NYPSC and FCC, which helped change the tide against the forced conversion to Voice Link. When consumer advocates joined the fight on the state or federal level, they could point to and pull from those complaints to show policymakers exactly how serious the limitations of Voice Link were.

However, currently in many states people do not have recourse at the state level for this kind of problem, so their only hope would be at the federal level. Users living in deregulated states should actively engage their federal legislators for protections and ask their state legislators to reinstate the protections they voted away. Customers in states considering deregulation should be active against such actions.







## **EXHIBIT K**

# STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SERVICE

THREE EMPIRE STATE PLAZA, ALBANY, NY 12223-1350

www.dps.ny.gov

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

GARRY A. BROWN
Chairman
PATRICIA L. ACAMPORA
GREGG C. SAYRE
DIANE X. BURMAN
AUDREY ZIBELMAN
Commissioners



PETER McGOWAN General Counsel

KATHLEEN H. BURGESS Secretary

Re: Case 13-C-0197

Temporary Use of Verizon's Voice Link Service on Fire Island – August 24, 2013

\*\* Please note this is a Preliminary transcript, subject to later edits when reviewed by the Administrative Law Judges assigned to the cases.

# STATE OF NEW YORK PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

\_\_\_\_\_

Case 13-C-0197 - Tariff filing by Verizon New York Inc. to introduce language under which Verizon could discontinue its current wire line service offerings in a specified area and instead offer a wireless service as its sole service offering in the area.

\_\_\_\_\_

SATURDAY, AUGUST 24, 2013 1:00 p.m.

Ocean Beach Community House 157-164 Bay Walk Ocean Beach, New York 11770

COMMISSIONERS:

PATRICIA ACAMPORA

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES:

MICHELLE L. PHILLIPS

INDEX

WITNESSES

PETITIONER: RE V.

<u>WITNESS</u> <u>DIRECT</u> <u>CROSS</u> <u>DIRECT</u> <u>CROSS</u> <u>D.</u> <u>J</u>

RESPONDENT: RE RE V.

WITNESS DIRECT CROSS DIRECT CROSS D. J

EXHIBITS

PETITIONER:

IDENTIFICATION DESCRIPTION I.D. IN EV.

RESPONDENT:

IDENTIFICATION DESCRIPTION I.D. IN EV.

I just

ALJ MICHELLE PHILLIPS: Perfect. So I have exactly 1:00. So I'm going to take this out. need to do the formal piece and then we'll get right moving. We're going on the record. Good. These hearings are being held to take public comments in case 13-Z-0197 tariff filing by Verizon New York to introduce language under which they could discontinue current wire line service offering in specified areas and instead offer a wireless service.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

My name is Michelle Phillips I'm an Administrative Law Judge at the New York State Department of Public Service. With me is Commissioner Pat Acampora. She is one of five members of the New York State Public Service Commission. We talked just briefly--I'm going to go a little off script--about the difference between the department and the commission. I think it's important that you know that the commissioners are the ones that actually make determinations. department staff go out, do investigations, and we make recommendations to the commissioners but the commissioners are the ultimate decision makers.

This is a public statement hearing that's been scheduled by the Public Service Commission for the purpose of receiving your evaluation of Verizon's

1	Voice Link service on Fire Island during the summer
2	months. These comments are going to be used when the
3	commission has to make its determination about how to
4	respond to Verizon's tariff filing. We're going to
5	be recording these. There will be a public record
6	that is created and it will be in the public record
7	on our document management system. This session was
8	noticed on August 7th, 2013, and a press release was
9	also released August 9th. I've already indicated
10	they'll be transcribed. They're going to be part of
11	the public records. I just want to remind you if you
12	don't want to speak publicly there are numerous other
13	ways that you can comment, writing, email, telephone.
14	Information about that has already been provided.
15	You can ask at the back table if you don't remember
16	how to do that.
17	For the purpose of making a statement here,

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

For the purpose of making a statement here, what we ask is that you fill out one of these cards that you can get in the back. I already have a stack of cards. I haven't even looked at how many, but I have quite a few. So I just would like to ask if people could kind of keep moving so that we can get to everyone who has come here today. I'd really, really appreciate that.

Again, because we are recording it, please

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

use the microphone. That's the only way we are going to capture your statement accurately. If you could also silence any electronic devises that would be appreciated. Very quickly, before we start with the speakers, I do want to allow Commissioner Acampora to speak because she wants to speak to you as well.

COMMISSIONER PATRICIA ACAMPORA: afternoon everyone. As the Judge had stated, there are five commissioners that make of the commission and as the only Long Islander I felt that it was very important to come here today to listen to your remarks. I will not be making further remarks or I will not be answering questions because in my role as a commissioner I serve in quasi-judicial capacity. So that any comments I might say I do not want to prejudice the record, but I can assure you that I will be here taking copious notes and I will probably have writer's cramp by the end of this one, and that I will make sure that I relay your concerns to the other commissioners so that they are aware that I was here, I was present. And what I relay to them is what I feel they need to know that they might not be reading when they read a lot of this material.

So I look forward to listening to all of you. And thank you all for coming out. We obviously

know that this is a matter that is truly concerning and that's why we did extend the record to do this during the summer time when most of the population was here and we want to hear what you have to say. Thank you.

#### [Applause]

ALJ PHILLIPS: As I indicated, we generally go by cards but I think we've--I think everyone's agreeable to Mr. James Betz going first. If you'd like to proceed?

MR. JAMES BETZ: Just basically repeating what I said before. I own Maguire's Bay Front Restaurant right over here. I'm also president of the Ocean Beach Restaurant Association, so I'm hopefully speaking for all of the restaurant owners as well but whom ever has the Voice Link service it's not really a good service for our restaurants for a few reasons which I'll state right here.

First off, I am not getting a busy signal on my line. Okay? They told me I could not get a busy signal on my line. And when people call up and they don't get a busy signal what they get is—what they got for the first half of the season was a Verizon message created by them that said "I'm sorry that, you know, this line is not accepting calls," which

2.2

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

says to everybody that everyone in Fire Island is out of business because of the Superstorm Sandy.

Second, when they fixed that or kind of fixed it they gave me a message that I could put on and the message basically says that anybody can call up. When they get a busy signal it's going to state "I'm sorry. I'm on the--I'm on my phone right now please try and call back because I'm on the phone and you're not getting a busy signal."

Another problem with the Voice Link system is trying to dial out to confirm reservations. I've had more no shows this year than I've ever had in the past and the reason is Voice Link does not dial out to some numbers. And I don't know what numbers they are and they couldn't give me an answer on that, whether it's--I think it has to do with area code or whatever but doesn't dial out. And again I get a message from Verizon saying "I'm sorry. We can't dial out to this number," and then I pick up my cell phone and I dial out to the number. I get the customer. Now, my cell phone is from Colorado, a Verizon--you know, a Verizon Colorado number and I get the people. So I can't dial it from my (631)583-8800 but I can dial from my 970. Okay? So that's another problem.

1	The other problem is capacity on the
2	weekends. I'd say 50% of my phone calls when I pick
3	up the line when the phone is ringing I pick up the
4	line and there's nobody there. So I'm dropping calls
5	left and right. When I'm on the phone calling
6	people, I get dropped phone calls. Okay. And then
7	the sound quality on the whole system is horrible.
8	MULTIPLE VOICES: Horrible.
9	MR. BETZ: Horrible, and I probably speak
10	for everybody on that one.
11	MULTIPLE VOICES: Yes, yes.
12	MR. BETZ: And those are my comments for
13	Voice Link.
14	[Applause]
14 15	[Applause]  ALJ PHILLIPS: Thank you. The next speaker-
15	ALJ PHILLIPS: Thank you. The next speaker-
15 16	ALJ PHILLIPS: Thank you. The next speakerand actually I'll call the next twoBob Jaffe, I
15 16 17	ALJ PHILLIPS: Thank you. The next speakerand actually I'll call the next twoBob Jaffe, I hope I pronounce that correctly, and John Moran.
15 16 17 18	ALJ PHILLIPS: Thank you. The next speakerand actually I'll call the next twoBob Jaffe, I hope I pronounce that correctly, and John Moran.  MR. BOB JAFFE: I actually prepared a
15 16 17 18 19	ALJ PHILLIPS: Thank you. The next speakerand actually I'll call the next twoBob Jaffe, I hope I pronounce that correctly, and John Moran.  MR. BOB JAFFE: I actually prepared a statement andbut something that you folks have
15 16 17 18 19 20	ALJ PHILLIPS: Thank you. The next speaker— -and actually I'll call the next twoBob Jaffe, I hope I pronounce that correctly, and John Moran.  MR. BOB JAFFE: I actually prepared a statement andbut something that you folks have indicated scares me a little bit. The gentlemen who
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	ALJ PHILLIPS: Thank you. The next speakerand actually I'll call the next twoBob Jaffe, I hope I pronounce that correctly, and John Moran.  MR. BOB JAFFE: I actually prepared a statement andbut something that you folks have indicated scares me a little bit. The gentlemen who was sitting there before said that thethat the

been forever. I'm not saying the evil word

25

2.2

"internet." I'm talking about security systems, healthy monitoring, fax. This has been around forever. Why this is coming up to you guys now, it just doesn't make sense.

I'll go back to what I came to say. Verizon Voice Link is absolutely awful. I won't go into much of the reasons for or the--I won't go into what's actually occurring, but it starts with the quality of what you hear and what you're speaking. Verizon Voice Link is not comparable to hard wire copper. Let's stop pretending that it is. Again, health monitoring, security systems, fax, the internet, and more, all of these features are currently available with hard wire and will not be available with Voice Link.

Verizon's complained about the expense of infrastructure repairs resulting from Sandy. The nice lady over there in Fair Harbor mentions for three years ago, maybe four years ago, Verizon went by and changed all the cables. And then they take these splice boxes, that's where the two sets—where the two cables intersect with perhaps thousands of pairs of cable. These are lying in the sand in the water. And then Verizon comes to us here and speaks to the fact that their infrastructure is

deteriorating and they use Sandy an excuse. That's crazy.

#### [Applause]

2.2

MR. JAFFE: It seems—it seems it's a little convenient for our friends at Verizon. My hearts bleeds for them. They have to fix their wires. You left them lying in the sand.

Back to the PSC, did you ever--and I mention the FCC because there's a side order FCC involved in all this but the PSC obviously has the primary. Did the PSC and the FCC ever consider that a storm might someday wreak havoc on the communications in some municipality, whether it be Fire Island or another? Did the PSC ever anticipate a corporate goliath balking at making repairs after a calamitous event?

Please do not allow Verizon to remove our central services. We need the PSC and the FCC to get in step with 21st century communications. This is your job. Availability of reliable phone and internet serves at a fair cost is essential to competing in the 21st century. We request formally, on the record, that you permanently resend the temporary permission for Verizon to replace our hard wire copper with inferior wireless service. Thank you.

#### [Applause]

	Τ
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3

24

25

MR. JOHN MORAN: My name is John Moran. I'm a 35-year resident of Ocean Beach, which means that I've been paying Verizon for 35 years. Together with my friend Carl Seligson from Seaview who's in the front row, we both worked for a number of financial institutions which covered the utility industry. And, as I briefly alluded to the commissioner before this meeting and I was glad to hear someone say that in making this decision some thought will be given to the history of what's going on here, I would contend having been in industry observer that while I've watched them put FiOS up and down my block in my Westchester suburb, I've come here to Fire Island and noticed for years that we've been getting short shrift. Talk about the ability of them saying that they're going to restore cell service to an acceptable level and go back to the kind of cell service we've been experiencing on a Sunday afternoon for years, and ask can we believe that Verizon is actually going to somehow miraculously now produce a service which gives an acceptable level of service.

I mean to just make a simple utility parallel, if LIPA was coming to you today and saying they didn't need to provide electricity for peak

2.2

demand, you would tell them where to go. Verizon doesn't seem to have the idea that they need to provide an ability to have service that meets today's needs, and that also can meet the capacity of demand that comes here. We have DSL service and we may only be part-time residents but that doesn't mean that Verizon only bills us for six months of the summer.

My DSL service is X dollars for the six months it's on. It's another \$10 for the six months it's off.

So please, commission—I can also specifically go—I'm not so sure whether we're talking about the ancillary services at this point but clearly in terms of Voice Link I happened to be one of those people that asked to have my wire service restored on day one of the summer season and happened to get my wire service back. But my experience in dealing with everyone that has Voice Link is almost every time we got a Voice Link call we got disconnected while it was going on, and reaching a number of people on Voice Link has been virtually impossible.

The thing that really aggravates me though is that my Westchester suburb, which is a hilly area with water underground, could have enormous amounts of money spent by Verizon to put in FiOS, but a

decision was made not put FiOS into Fire Island years ago at a point were DSL is becoming like the elephant in the room. It's a service that has long since passed its usefulness and now we're begging to a get service that's third class.

#### [Applause]

2.2

MR. MORAN: In a nut shell, I hope the commission will please, please think through this totally unreasonable request and that somehow they'll combine with the FCC in realizing that we're absolutely getting screwed by Verizon.

#### [Applause]

ALJ PHILLIPS: Okay. I want to thank the first two speakers. I'm going to call the next three. Daryl Van Valkenburg, Judith Steinman, and Mo Masson, if you could please come to the podium. So again that's Daryl Van Valkenburg, Judith Steinman, and Moe Mason.

MR. DARYL VAN VALKENBURG: Okay. Well, my name is Daryl Van Valkenburg. I've been coming out to Fire Island since 1975. Daryl Van Valkenburg, I've been coming to Fire Island since 1975, had phone service that whole time and our practice was never to shut the phone service off in the winter. So we've been paying for 12 months a year for all those years.

2.2

We had phone service at the beginning of the year for about I think four, six weeks. Then we lost phone service. I called repair, they said they'd come repair it but they never showed up. I called again when they didn't show up and they said that they would come again and repair it. They called to confirm that they were going to repair it and never showed up.

By accident, I found out that the problem was that the line from the--that came down the walk was severed. So I called them again and I told them that this is not like a complicated wire buried in the ground, this is just a five minute job with somebody with a latter. So they confirmed the appointment again and said they would show up but they didn't show up.

Finally, I called again and every time——I called three or four times after that and every time I called I got shot right straight to the Voice Link sale service and they tried to sell me Voice Link.

You know, I didn't want Voice Link I told them there's a very simple job just repair this. They said "We are not going to repair that." So eventually my wife called to complain to the PSC and the PSC took the information. We got a call from

1	Verizon saying, "Why did you complain?"
2	[Laughter]
3	MR. VAN VALKENBURG: And my wife said "Well,
4	because we'vewe lost our phone service. It's my
5	husbandI see the wires torn apart, you know, coming
6	down the walk. It's a five minute job and you
7	wouldn't repair it." And she said "Well, we aren't
8	going to repair that," and she tried to sell us Voice
9	Link.
10	[Laughter]
11	MR. VAN VALKENBURG: So I heard someone say
12	earlier that I think that this storm has just been an
13	excuse, and that's the way I took it. They just want
14	to sell you Voice Link, which we don't want.
15	[Applause]
16	MR. VAN VALKENBURG: I told them I already
17	have a wireless service, so I really don't need to
18	duplicate that with another wireless service. So
19	that's my
20	[Applause]
21	MS. JUDY STEINMAN: Definitely not made for
22	short people. My name is Judy Steinman. I've been
23	an Ocean Beach resident for about 30for about 30
24	years.
25	ALJ PHILLIPS: [Interposing] You might need

1 to hold it in your hand because it...

2.2

MS. STEINMAN: Okay. I don't want to rehash what everybody else has said. I have the same horrible complaints about Voice Link. The voice quality is terrible. You dial and you get a busy signal, and you dial again and you get another busy signal, and you dial again and maybe the third time your call goes through. Or maybe you get a recording that says, "Verizon wireless cannot complete this call as dialed," all kinds of strange message do you get with Voice Link. Calls are dropped frequently. I've about stopped using Voice Link for outgoing calls. I almost always use my cell phone because people mostly can't understand what I'm saying. Usually that's not a problem when I speak.

A couple of other observations. I wonder, for example, whether Verizon, which claims it's losing money on the storm and therefore can't afford to do anything about our infrastructure, didn't collect money from FEMA to repair its facilities.

And I wonder whether also they didn't collect money on some insurance policy on their facilities. I don't know what the answer to those questions is but I wonder whether a prudent, large corporation doesn't in some way ensure itself against a major catastrophe

1 such as we saw with Superstorm Sandy.

2.2

I also want to posit that I think we've been the object or subject, if you will, of a major experiment by Verizon. I don't think they had any idea what the outcome was going to be. I think they had three hypotheses which they tested. One was that they could provide us with good phone service. The second one was that they could provide us with reliable replacement for what we had. And the third one is was that they could provide us with an affordable replacement for what we had. Well, I've got to conclude that these three hypotheses had been disproven.

#### [Applause]

MS. STEINMAN: The quality of the voice service is terrible. The service we get on the telephone is unreliable. It's inferior to what we had before. We were promised that there's a battery pack in Voice Link that will power it when the electricity goes out. Well, I don't know. How many of you experienced the power outage a few weeks ago? We here in Ocean Beach had no power about 10:30, 11:00 at night. There was propane emergency in this village. The fire department turned off all the electricity in Ocean Beach. And guess what else went

off with the electricity?

[Applause]

2.2

MS. STEINMAN: I, as many of my neighbors, am a senior citizen. I live alone. I depend on being able to contact emergency services, medical services, fire, police. If I can't get them because Voice Link doesn't work in a power outage, as we know happened on Fire Island, what good is it to me?

And also we have found that the internet alternatives provided to our former DSL services are much more expensive than anything that we paid for with DSL. We formally had unmetered good, reliable internet service. We no longer have that. People have patched together service using various suppliers' hot spots, and that service sometimes works well and sometimes it doesn't work well. It's much more expensive. If you want to watch a movie or download lots of data from somebody's site, it's very expensive. So I urge the PSC to absolutely deny Verizon their horrible request to replace our lined telephone service with Voice Link. It is an unmitigated catastrophe.

[Applause]

MS. MARTHA MASON: My name is Martha Mason and I live in Seaview. And I don't want to repeat

2.2

everything that everyone else has said, but I do want to repeat something that I said Tuesday night.

Verizon's request to consider the "where" of the request. Fire Island is a very special place, special to most of us in our hearts but mostly special in terms of its needs. We're cut off from the mainland. We have a very small--I'm one of the people who lives here year-round. We have a very small year round community that depends on reliable, intelligent phone service in order to stay. And, if we didn't stay, then when a house caught fire or when a flood happened there would be no emergency services people here to deal with it.

#### [Applause]

MS. MASON: So we are a valuable part of Fire Island, a necessity, and it is—and Verizon is making it more and more difficult for us to stay. The other thing I want to say is that I was shocked in this meeting to hear that Verizon is repairing copper wire were it works. My copper wire still works but I've been told not even to bother calling if it goes out. So I live in total fear. I live with my fingers crossed that it shouldn't go out.

And my biggest concern about going over to

1	Voice Link, aside what I hear about how the quality
2	is not good, my biggest concern is those power
3	outages. Again, taking Fire Island into
4	consideration, we have more power outages more often
5	than the mainland of Long Island or I would venture
6	to say basically anywhere else in the state of New
7	York. So again, even if the batteries worked which
8	on firstthe first time they were called upon to
9	work they didn't, they work for 72 hours, I've been
10	out here for winters were I've had no electricity for
11	a week or longer but my phone works because its
12	copper wired.
13	So I urge the Public Service Commission to
14	take into account as they consider this request from

So I urge the Public Service Commission to take into account as they consider this request from Verizon, take into account the place where Verizon is requesting to put in what is clearly an inferior service. Voice Link may someday be a great service but trust me that's not today.

[Applause]

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ALJ PHILLIPS: Thank you. I'm going to call the next three speakers, Larry Litoff, Irving

Guberman, and Deborah Bach.

MR. LARRY LITOFF: Hi, my name is Larry
Litoff. I'm a resident of Ocean Beach. I chose-well, although I was tried to be sold Voice Link, I

2.2

chose not to get it. I just wanted to reiterate what one other gentleman said. Not only was I told when I returned to Fire Island that my phone would be reconnected and that someone would be there to do it, and when I called and had not dial tone I was told that someone would be there again and nobody showed up.

Finally, when I spoke to Verizon and asked them to just send a tech out to check my line--my, all of my neighbors on my block had dial tone--there was no problem with my line. I was told by Verizon we're not going to send anybody, but then I got an email that my dial tone was fixed. I got all excited. I came out to Fire Island for Memorial Day weekend and I found out that that wasn't that case. Right?

I called the Public Service Commission and I was given a case number and I got a call from Verizon in Albany telling me they would check on it and get back to me. That was the last I heard from Verizon, the response was unbelievable bad.

And for many years I loved Verizon. I love my wireless service. I love my FiOS service. I was truly surprised at their response. And I understand that they are connecting copper wire now, we'll see.

2.2

The issue of the cost didn't seem to be a problem for Verizon to replace all of the copper wire with fiber in downtown Manhattan. I'm sure that cost a lot of money but they didn't balk about that.

Right? There balking about it because we have 2,700 lines. It was my understanding the Public Service

Commission and the FCC requires Verizon, who is a monopoly in Fire Island, to provide service no matter what it cost. And that they're asking at this point to disconnect the service because it costs too much.

Seems to be they've made plenty of money
these last couple of years not only residents of Fire
Island but I'm sure we're being subsidized by the
rest of their network. And I would like to continue
to be subsidized even if it cost them too much. And
I would like the Public Service Commission to tell
them that because they are a monopoly on Fire Island
and we can't get it from anywhere else that they have
to do it.

#### [Applause]

MR. IRVING GUBERMAN: My name is Irving
Guberman. I live in the Fire Island summer club.

I'm not going to rehash all the inadequacies of Voice
Link which other speakers have so eloquently
mentioned, but I want to give you my personal

1 experience.

2.2

On August 15th, just about a week ago, two physicians told me I should get immediate medical attention and get to a hospital without delay. My wife tried to get through on our Voice Link system for 15 minutes, dropped calls, static, you know, name it. She got through on her cell phone and I was evacuated from the island. Fortunately we have a terrific emergency response team.

# [Applause]

MR. GUBERMAN: They did their job. Verizon did not do their job. That's my personal experience, and I just hope none of you have to go through 911 and have only Voice Link to depend upon.

### [Applause]

MS. DEBORAH BACH: My name is Deborah Bach.

I live in Seaview. I've been a resident of Seaview
as a renter and home owner since the '60's. Before
that I was in Oakleyville where we had no phone
service until the Sea Shore put in phone polls or
phone lines, but that's neither here nor there.

What I would like to do is summarize what I said earlier prior to the public hearing, which is that we have been barraged by misinformation from Verizon. And that barrage has lead people to make

2.2

decisions about their phones service and to abandon it, not because it wasn't working necessarily but because they thought—we've been told it's not going to work. It may be tomorrow that it fails, it might be next week, but you can't depend on it anymore so you might as well throw it out. A number of people did that.

I got--when I came out and lifted my phone receiver I found a dial tone. I wasn't sure what that meant but I was guardedly optimistic. And subsequently talking to people in Ocean Beach who'd had the Voice Link experience for a while I realized that that was a hopeless technology as far as I was concerned based on the experience people were having. And so I want you to know that it is viewed as hopeless because if there is any doubt in your mind as to what you've heard so far it is a hopeless technology right now. It might improve but it's not there yet.

The misinformation as continued up to today and I think that the concern I have, having dealt with regulatory agencies on the city, state, and federal level during my professional life, I must say to you as regulators it is your job not to cooperate with Verizon in investigating what's going on. It's

1 your job as regulators to enforce your tariff with 2 Verizon. And by enforcement I mean not saying, "Send 3 us a report and let us know how you're doing." What 4 I mean is that you have to go out into the field. You've heard enough to know that this isn't working. 5 6 You have to send people into the field, technically 7 expert, not Verizon employees, not former Verizon 8 employees, but people from your staff. And if you 9 need to hire independent engineers we might have a 10 few people in the audience who could raise their 11 arms, but I think the important thing is that you 12 have independent engineering specialists out here on 13 Fire Island looking at the condition that we now know 14 exists on the island, not across the bay; and see 15 whether in fact the condition that we've been told 16 exists does indeed exist; and whether the repairs 17 that are required are actually the repairs that would 18 be required to get the copper wire back operating; 19 and whether in fact the lady who said all you needed 20 was a ladder--or was it a gentleman? I don't 21 remember. 2.2

Whomever it was I hope that you--if they came and they didn't have their ladder you'd offer one. The people need to have the trust restored in the monopoly that Verizon has here. There's no trust

23

24

2.2

in Verizon at this time because we have been barraged by misinformation.

As a final note, I'd like to tell you about an experience I had regarding my land line. I keep my land line on local all year around and I keep on that account repair—the repair cost to keep that land line operating. And many people I think do the same, so that when you come out if something has to be repaired you've already paid for the repair.

You're not going to pay \$60, \$90 dollars extra to get it repaired if indeed they were willing to come, which I hear they are not this summer.

So I think that the real question in my mind is what is really going on here. We know that the disrespect of the system on the island began far earlier than the Sandy storm. The lady across the room as already verified that equipment was placed in a place it should not be and that—and that that was made known. I don't know what the Public Service Commission sees as its responsibility to this community, but I believe as of today you have a big job to do and we're depending on you to do that job.

[Applause]

ALJ PHILLIPS: Thank you. The next--I'd like to call the next three speakers. Joel Stark,

1	Jane Levin, and Stephan Glacilla. Joel Stark, Jane
2	I know. I'm just repeating it in case anyone didn't
3	hear me. And I hope I don't sound like I'm rushing
4	you. I kind of am but it's only because I want to
5	get to everyone.
6	MR. JOEL STARK: Talk fast, right?
7	ALJ PHILLIPS: I want everyone to speak.
8	MR. STARK: Talk fast.
9	ALJ PHILLIPS: No, no, no.
10	MR. STARK: Talk fast.
11	[Laughter]
12	MR. STARK: Listen, this was farce the first
13	hour here today. You know, we had Verizon answering
14	the things that happened last Tuesday and that's not
15	the purpose of this hearing I assume. It's to
16	provide PSC with information, not for Verizon to get
17	up here and explain to us and tell us all of the good
18	things they are presumably doing. That's not the
19	point.
20	Okay. Look, we all need to write to the

Okay. Look, we all need to write to the PSC, call the PSC, because they are the people making the decision. Who are the PSC? Commissioner, thanks for being here. Judge, thanks for being here. I don't know how this whole thing started but if I fantasize I'd say, "Ah, Verizon. I work for Verizon.

21

22

23

24

2.2

I'm for Verizon. Needless to say, I'm in the interest of my profit making Verizon and what I'm going to do is think of how we can use wireless so we don't have all this maintenance stuff to do. Now what shall I do? Ah, Fire Island, what a great community. They're only there in the summer."

I happen to be here seven months of the year and have been here for more than 30 years and the fact is that someone in Verizon said lets test this thing out. Apparently they decided this is a perfect place especially after Sandy because the maintenance costs here are enormous. Yes, Fire Island does not make money for Verizon, clearly, but we spend a lot. It's costing me twice as much now as it did last year and the year before, but that's irrelevant to the major issue.

So please, Public Service Commission, you are public service in our interest and realize that Verizon is a corporation designed to make profit. We have learned that they set up this wireless unit which is not regulated in the same way as Verizon itself is regulated and they can do whatever the hell they want. Look what's happening across this country with unions. This is the time that I hope the public, public, Public Service Commission realizes

1 their tremendous responsibility as to the issue.

Voice Link is terrible. We've heard enough testament it is abominable. I can't tell you the number of calls that I've gotten that I've never got and how difficult it is.

# [Laughter]

2.2

MR. STARK: You know, people, my cousin in Seaview three times tried to call me, no answer, Seaview. Voice Link, oh, sure, Verizon going to build bigger towers and they'll fix some of this. They'll come to your house and they'll tell you, "Yeah, we'll take care of that." I've got three calls in the past week from Verizon because I signed up last week and I heard—oh, this lady was very kind listening to all my complaints. Thank you very much but again I'm sure some of you had the same experiences. I'm over talking. Thank you, good luck.

## [Applause]

ALJ PHILLIPS: Thank you. Oh, sorry.

MS. JANE LEVIN: My name is Jane Levin.

I've been coming to Ocean Beach for over 60 years.

When I first came out my mother needed to make a phone call. She went down to the Western Union

Office where there were pay phones and when we first

2.2

got a phone it was a party line. So we've been paying Verizon for a long time. The beginning of this summer, when I called Verizon to turn the phone on, I was sure that if I had a phone and—they couldn't tell me whether it was working until I took it off suspension. If I had a phone and then it stopped working there was nothing they would do about it. Well, I go out. I was lucky, I had a dial tone. It worked and it worked for July. But this month, I never know from one day to the next whether it's going to work or not.

Some days I--it's dead. Some days I can get a dial tone and I can dial out, but I can't receive calls. And some days it's working. Now last weekend it worked. Thursday, Friday, Saturday, Sunday it was working. It was working when I left on Monday. Well someone from--Patrick from Verizon called to try to sell me Voice Link. And I said I wouldn't take it. And I told him what I thought about Verizon. Tuesday the phone wasn't working.

[Laughter]

MS. LEVIN: And it's--came out here last night, well, it's dead. Maybe it will pick up again, maybe it won't. But anyway, I'm a senior citizen. I worry about needing the medical alert eventually. I

had one for my mother when she was alive. I've lost my DSL of course and the alternative is much more expensive.

The people who I have talked to who have

Voice Link, I found the reception terrible. I have a

Verizon cell phone, why do I need to pay Verizon for

a second cell phone? There doesn't seem to be any

point to it. Thank you.

## [Applause]

2.2

MR. STEPHEN GLACILLA: Hi. A lot of you guys know who I am. My name is Steve Glacilla. I live in Ocean Bay Park. I've been a year round resident here for over 25 years. I've been here since 1973. And I'm laughing because I used to work for Western Union. Anyway, I have over 40 years in the telecommunications business and the reason I'm here today is because I represent a couple of organizations. I'm a fire district commissioner in Ocean Bay Park. I represent the Ocean Bay Park Fire Department. I'm also on the board of the Ocean Bay Park Association.

I also belong to an organization out here which most of you don't know about. It's called the Fire Island Law Enforcement and Safety Council, which is a group of state police, regular police, Ocean

2.2

Beach Police, all the EMS services and fire chiefs on Fire Island. Anyway, after that, just to give you a heads up, I think I mentioned at the last meeting with Senator Boyle that the fire departments were all off at Voice Link.

I think I was——I was told that a couple of them were given it without notice, but most of us turned it down; mainly because it wasn't a reliable service as far as we were concerned for life safety issues because if you can't get in touch with the fire department, who are you going to call? We handle EMS, we handle all of the helicopter landings. It's an important service to the people on the barrier beach, especially year round. And again, as you look around here, the people that are speaking are all older people. I mean we don't have any kids in here right now who rely solely on cell phones.

These are the people that need phone service that you can do cardiac monitoring with. We just had somebody flown off because they couldn't use a regular phone. They had Voice Link and they couldn't monitor the defibrillator they had built in. And I think the woman is going to speak about that. I can't mention who it is. Fax machines don't work. The fire departments, they're going to give us copper

service, the schools and your local communities like Ocean Beach's offices. If we're so important and it's so vital to have copper lines, I feel everybody should have copper lines.

### [Applause]

2.2

MR. GLACILLA: Voice Link in the future may be something that will be worthwhile, in the future. It was tested in Florida. When we looked on the internet we couldn't even find any tariffs or anything about it. Their technicians were just sent to try and see if people in Florida would get it. Right now we're a test case. I know the Public Service Commission and—well, the Inspector General stopped them from putting it in upstate New York. They're trying it in New Jersey. This is a test case and once it goes through, I hate to say it, you know, we're going to have problems. Anyway, thank you.

#### [Applause]

ALJ PHILLIPS: Thank you. I'm going to call the next three. Louis Barash, Julie and Deborah Lokin, and Brett Roberts. Just so you know, after them it will be Roberta Harris and Judith Sandron [phonetic], but for now Louis Barash.

MR. LOUIS BARASH: Thank you. My name is Louis Barash. I live in Seaview. I first want to

2.2

say we very much appreciate the Commission coming here today on a beautiful summer's day taking the time to hear us. We almost didn't have a summer because of Sandy. If you have the time after the hearing you can take a walk to the beach and still see some of the devastation there. But through some spectacular efforts by our elected officials, by first responders and the whole community, we have had a summer. Everybody pitched in. Everybody that is but Verizon.

### [Applause]

MR. BARASH: In one of the most cynical corporate maneuvers I've seen in a long career of representing corporations, Verizon promptly after Superstorm Sandy went to work repairing the Far Rockaway system, repairing the southern Manhattan system and did nothing here. It waited until spring and made a so-called emergency application for relief here, knowing full well all along it was not going to serve the Fire Island community. Then it makes an application which has zero basis in support and fact.

Its application claimed three things; one that damage due to Superstorm Sandy has changed the reality. Two, that repairing that damage would be unreasonable. And three, that Voice Link will serve

as a reasonable replacement for those—for Wyatt

Service [phonetic]. None of those things is true and
you've heard it here today. First, as you've heard,
there were substantial complaints about copper wire
service maintenance on Fire Island before the storm.

The Commission needs to examine whether

Verizon's representations about the storm causing

damage are true, if in fact part or all of that

damage is a result of Verizon's failure to meet its

obligation prior to the storm, then Verizon's

application must fail. Secondly, the total amount of

cost that Verizon, and these are Verizon's figures

allegedly, that it will take to repair the system

here, is \$4.15 million dollars. I do not believe, by

the way, that scrutiny—that those numbers will

withstand any degree of scrutiny. They are probably

much smaller than that.

But four million dollars is a trifle to

Verizon as an entity and in comparison to the cost of repairing either the Rockaways or the New York system. Finally the service that they are providing is not a replacement for its service. You've heard it here today and indeed you've heard it from Verizon's own mouth. They made very clear that Verizon is not a product designed to serve customers.

2.2

It is a product designed solely to meet its regulatory obligations. None of that is in the public interest.

Moreover, as you've heard from many people today and from complaints that have been filed online, Verizon has been continuing up until a recent hearing here in the City or a recent public forum in this town, to defy with impunity the Commission's order that it maintain where possible copper wire. That bad faith is clearly designed to increase the number of Voice Link installations and reduce the Commission's flexibility in granting appropriate relief when the time comes later this year. That defiance is bad faith and in and of itself should be sufficient for this Commission to reject Verizon's application.

There is no support whatsoever for their
Fire Island application. Their broader application
should be rejected out of hand as not subject to the
emergency that it was claimed for. That should be
subject to a separate hearing that both the PSC and
the Federal Communications Commission is currently
hearing. With respect to Fire Island and Verizon
alone; Verizon cannot even meet the standards that it
is set up in its own tariff. It should be summarily

rejected and there really shouldn't be any reason for any further debate by the Public Service Commission.

We appreciate you hearing us. Thank you very much.

[Applause]

ALJ PHILLIPS: Sorry. If you could just hand it to the next speaker?

MS. DEBORAH LOKIN: Thank you. My name is
Deborah Lokin and I live in Fair Harbor. And I
started calling you guys in March about the issue and
I was told that all Verizon needs to provide is a
dial tone. So I think mostly everybody has a dial
tone. Oh, some people don't even have a dial tone.
Okay, I amend that. The point is that it's all well
and good for most of us to have a dial tone, but we
don't have service. We have dial tone, most of us,
but we don't have service. The voice quality,
everything that everybody has said is in fact true.

When I first came out here in March, I did speak with actual Verizon workers who said they would get over to my house. They never did. And that evolved into, "I can't fix your line. I have been told I can't fix your line." They could have fixed the line, but they were told not to. That seems wrong.

[Applause]

2.2

MS. LOKIN: The thing about having the service is that the service just needs to work. I'm not sure if everybody would be complaining as much as they are if the alternative service worked. It doesn't. And just one other thing that a fellow before me or a couple of people before me mentioned; if it is possible to hard-wire the fire departments and the schools and so on, it's possible to hard-wire everyone. That's all I have to say. Thank you.

[Applause]

MR. BRETT ROBERTS: Commissioner Acampora,
Judge Phillips, thank you very much for being here
today and listening to the voices of the people at
Fire Island. My name is Brett Roberts. I am the
Chairman of the Board of Fire Commissioners in the
Fair Harbor fire district. We cover approximately
600 homes in Fair Harbor, Lonelyville and Dunewood
for fire and EMS service. A lot of people today have
talked about the issues of how much it cost to do
that—to repair the service, how much it cost to—for
internet service, how much it costs for a lot of
different things.

And, certainly, the primary reason that

Verizon wants to eliminate the copper line service is

because it costs them more money. But I would like

2.2

to talk about something which seems to be a little more important than money and that's the life safety and the safety and the health of the people that we serve in Fire Island. It's our responsibility to get to people who have a fire or an EMS emergency as quickly as I—as we can.

And since Fair Harbor has been doing a fire service since 1931 and EMS service for the past ten years, our response time is really terrific as far as EMS service. Before ten years ago we used to have salt air covering our three communities and the response time was as good as they can be but it wasn't as good as it is now. We can respond anywhere to someone's house, anywhere in our district between two minutes and the longest time, possibly ten minutes, maybe to—if it's a fire call. And so it's probably among the best time—response time certainly in Suffolk County and probably in the state also.

And so--and as anyone who is in the medical field or the EMS service knows, that the most important time to respond to someone in an EMS emergency, in a cardiac emergency is the first five minutes. And if you get to somebody in the first five minutes, you at least have a chance of bringing them back. Because as anyone knows who has taken a

2.2

CPR course, that is the golden time. And every minute after that, you are possibly—the possibility to brining someone back because as the people tell us, as the instructors in CPR tell us, that you're really bringing someone back from death.

And if we can bring somebody back, then that's the most important thing that we can possibly do. Now if someone calls on a wired line, calls 911, they pretty much get through all the time and the call is not dropped. I've been a Verizon cell phone customer as long as I've had a cell phone and I've been in Fire Island since 1994 and the cell phone service of which Voice Link is partially—is on the cell phone service uses the same infrastructure as the cell phone service, the cell phone service on—that Verizon provides on Fire Island can at the most be called "spotty."

So there are certainly a lot of dropped calls. And our greatest fear is that people will be calling 911 and will have a dropped call. So we can't get to someone's house 24 hours a day, 365 days a year if we don't get a call. If we don't get toned out and we don't have a chance to get to somebody, it's possible that somebody could die. And certainly since we've been doing EMS service, there is at least

2.2

three or four people who are alive today because we started doing EMS service ten years ago.

And so I would urge the Public Service

Commission to put themselves in the place of someone who is calling up for someone in your family 911 and possibly getting a dropped call so that you can't call 911 before the 911 operator gets all the proper information so that they can alert what in Suffolk

County is called "Fire com" [phonetic] and get the fire departments or the EMS services toned out so that they can respond promptly. And, you know, certainly most of our calls aren't life threatening but we do get some life threatening calls. There is some in Fair Harbor and Duneville [phonetic] and Lonelyville. There's probably a lot more in Ocean Beach.

And we would urge you to put yourselves in our position and not to think about the money that Verizon is saving by switching over everyone to Voice Link and realizing that, you know, we are on a somewhat isolated island and we need the best possible service. And, you know, maybe sometime in the future, you know, there will be a technology where it will be completely automated and there won't be any dropped calls in the self-service, but that

1 time is not now. 2 So thank you very much for your consideration. Please reject Verizon's application. 3 4 Thank you. 5 [Applause] 6 ALJ PHILLIPS: Thank you. I just want to do 7 a time check. It's almost 2:00. We're moving 8 quickly, but I just want to remind people that I want 9 everyone to be able to speak and I have 50 cards and 10 we're now at 16. So Roberta Harris, Judith Sandgren, 11 Carl Seligson, and Phil Boyle. 12 MS. ROBERTA HARRIS: Hello, my name is 13 Roberta Harris. I live in Ocean Bay Park. Much of 14 what I have to say is a reiteration of what other 15 people have said; no dial tone, no service, get 16 nowhere, four people are supposed to show up, never 17 did, ticket numbers, etcetera. So making it very 18 quick, I am waiving my right to speak because I would 19 like to turn my time over to Peter Greenberg who 20 lives in Ocean Bay Park because he has a lot more 21 interesting stuff to say than I do. 2.2

23

24

25

MR. PETER GREENBERG: I did sign up.

ALJ PHILLIPS: Mr. Greenberg, Mr. Greenberg, I'm sorry.

MR. GREENBERG: I was happy to wait my turn.

1 ALJ PHILLIPS: I know. Can--so can we do 2 that please? 3 MR. GREENBERG: Sure, absolutely. I--4 ALJ PHILLIPS: [Interposing] It also helps 5 with the court reporter. MS. JUDITH SANDGREN: I'm going to be short. 6 7 I can't sit through this anymore. My name is Judy 8 Sandgren. I can tell you how long I've been here. 9 Can you not hear? Oh, Judy Sandgren. I've been here 10 a long time, okay? Can you hear me? I don't know 11 how to do this. I agree with everything that has 12 been said and I won't repeat it. When I went to the 13 Verizon meeting, whenever it was, recently I said I had a dial tone but since I had disconnected my DSL 14 15 service I was out--sorry out of luck. And since then 16 Verizon has called me and said they would try to 17 reinstate it because I probably could get it. 18 My concern is that I have spent \$700 at 19 least on alternate technology which is the jet pack, 20 a fancy cell phone which had, you know, which works 21 when it's during the week but not on the weekend 2.2 because there's too much use. If they do reconnect 23 my DSL, I want some financial consideration. Number 24 one, I don't want to pay more than I used to pay,

which was \$29.99 a month for the DSL. And I had a

minimal voice service. And I want some consideration for the at least \$700 I have already outlaid because of what they have caused me to do.

So that's--I don't know if that's a Public Service Commission prerogative or the FCC, but somebody needs to look at if they do reinstate our DSL that it become affordable again.

### [Applause]

2.2

MR. CARL SELIGSON: Good afternoon Judge,
Commissioner. My name is Carl Seligson. I am an
almost life-long resident of Sea View, thanks to my
parents who bought the house in 1945. So I've been
here 68 summers. I'm not here in the wintertime and
I applaud those, particularly the members of the
Ocean Beach Fire Department who are here in the
wintertime and who protect us all if they're called
upon to do so. I've heard most of what everything
has been said both at Tuesday night's meeting and
today's meeting. And I don't have any experience
with Voice Link because I never let it be put on.

I have suspended my wire line service last fall, having been fortunate enough to leave before Sandy. And I had it scheduled for reinstallation on April 15th which is the day that I normally come back. The service supposedly was turned on. When I

2.2

got here, I had a dial tone. My experience with that was not particularly good, because while I could make outgoing calls, incoming calls rang one time and then were disconnected.

And when I reached the party or if I--if the party tried to reach me subsequently by cell phone or other means, it turned out that they got a recording saying that my phone was out of service. I complained; the same story everybody has. No one--they gave me a date somebody would be there. I was there all day long, 24 hours. They didn't come. I called up and said what happened and they said, "Oh, someone cancelled." I said, "Who cancelled?" "We don't know." "Someone cancelled my appointment.

Give me another appointment." "No, we're not giving any service appointments." So that's a common complaint.

Let me tell you a little bit about my

personal background, because it does relate to Public

Service Commission processes; not necessarily New

York but including New York. I have appeared as an

expert financial witness in 18 different states

throughout my career, including New York State. I

have also served as a consultant to the Edison

Electric Institute during a period of time for six

2.2

years where they were having forum--fora I guess is the plural for Public Service Commissioner's staff to explain financial matters--and I was a great participant in that both as an organizer and as a speaker at those fora.

So that gives you a little bit of a qualification thing. I recently had occasion to find out that there was a presentation given by a Randy or his full name is Randall Milch, M-I-L-C-H, who is the Executive Vice President of Verizon on public policy and I guess it's government relations, if I can read this. And general counsel, excuse me. Executive Vice President of public policy and general counsel. Mr. Milch gave a presentation in Aspen, Colorado recently to a group called the...excuse me, well whatever it's called.

It was--the subject matter was creative destruction. Now think about just that terminology, "creative destruction." Basically what he was saying was that we are in a new era. Everything has changed over the years and continues to change, internet, bah, bah, bah services, and what we're looking to do--and I think this speech should have been given to shareholders, but maybe it will be, that what they're looking to do is to move to keep up with things that

are modern and move away from things that aren't.

When we first came to Fire Island, I too had the situation of coming down here to use a payphone. Then we had a party line. Then we got an individual line and now we have no line. So that kind of all moves around. I was subject last Thursday to a telephone, which was only two days ago, to a telephone call from Verizon telling me that because I had wire line service from my phone I now was potentially qualified for DSL which I had tried to reinstitute back in April and they told me no, they weren't performing that service.

So I think that again that is a common complaint by a lot of people. I think a lot of good points have been made today by various people. I'm not going to bore you with all of them. You probably know what they are. But you've all got something, otherwise you wouldn't be here. I don't see anybody getting up and I'm going to stay to the end. But I want to know if there's anybody here who is going to get up and tell them that Voice Link is a great service? A good service? A fair service? Nobody is here to say that—anything good about Voice Link.

And I think that's an important point.

[Applause]

2.2

1	MR. SELIGSON: I understand thatVerizon's
2	point of view, as a shareholder representative while
3	I was in Wall Street first as a security analyst and
4	then as an investment banker covering the electric
5	utility as John Moran said on covering the utility
6	industry. I knew the shareholder's side
7	ALJ PHILLIPS: [Interposing] I'm sorry, Mr.
8	Seligson, can you
9	MR. SELIGSON: [Interposing] I can try.
10	ALJ PHILLIPS:kind of wrap it up?
11	MR. SELIGSON: Oh, sure.
12	ALJ PHILLIPS: Please, thank you.
13	MR. SELIGSON: I understand the shareholder
14	point of view and the company's point of view is
15	directed directly to shareholders and not to
16	subscribers and people who take their services.
17	Thank you.
18	[Applause]
19	SENATOR PHIL BOYLE: My name is Phil Boyle.
20	I'm the State Senator representing the western part
21	of Fire Island. I'd like to
22	[Applause]
23	SENATOR BOYLE: I will be brief to allow the
24	residents and business owners to speak. I just want
25	to thank Your Honor, Commissioner Acampora, my former

colleague in the Assembly, thanks for coming, the PSC staff and the department staff for holding not only this hearing but also to--for extending the comment period to allow more of us to have our say about Voice Link technology.

Fire Islanders are a hearty crew. They live and work on a barrier island. But part of that is make everyone knowing that communications is key.

Whatever they do in life, communications is key. I speak very proudly as the only active volunteer firefighter in the New York State legislature. As the Commissioner said, the Chief said minutes mean lives out here, both emergency medical services but also in fires. The houses on Fire Island are pretty close together. There is brush in between them and the wind blows. The fires spread very quickly when they start.

I urge you to consider that because the worst possible thing is the first respondents here do a great job when they get the call, but they have to get the call to be there in a hurry, to put out the fire or save the life. I'll just end with saying that it's been a long time since I was in law school, but I remember the Latin phrase "Res ipsa loquiter." It means the thing speaks for itself. A couple of

2.2

2.2

Tuesdays ago I had a hearing here, a forum on Voice
Link and it was packed.

Today on a Saturday in August, one of the last beautiful Saturdays in August, this room is again packed. We're not talking about a couple of people saying, "I have trouble with this new technology." Many people on Fire Island, business owners and residents have trouble with this new technology. Common sense dictates you do not test a new technology on a barrier island. I urge you not to let Verizon do it.

# [Applause]

ALJ PHILLIPS: Thank you. The next three speakers are Peter Greenberg, Bill Vitiello, Dave Lipsy, and I'll add Liv Hemper and Marina Helfst.

MR. PETER GREENBERG: My name is Peter

Greenberg and I want to thank the Senator for your

services as a volunteer fireman. I am also a

volunteer fireman with Ocean Bay Park. And I've

actually been coming to Fire Island for 63 years.

When we started with--everybody talked about the

party line before. Let me tell you my party line

experience. 1956, yes I remember Hurricane Carol.

Every power line on this island was down. We had a

party line; the phone worked. The phone worked.

2.2

I wear three hats here; one of course being a long time resident, one being a volunteer fireman in which I don't have to reiterate the need for adequate and quick response time. I think I can speak for at least my fire chief when we tell you that we are very concerned when a call comes in when it gets misdirected because they don't know where it's coming from and they cannot allocate the resources fast enough. And last but not least, I am a correspondent for CBS news.

I am not here in that capacity today. I am not covering that story today. That would be a conflict of interest for me, but I'm always on the lookout for a good story. This is not a good story; this is an important story. And many, many years ago we put an ISDN line in at my house because I work from my house here. I broadcast from my house here, so now I have an occupational reason as well.

Verizon is denying my ability to broadcast. And for those people in this room who know me, you know that when I'm denied my ability to broadcast I tend to broadcast a little louder.

That's not a threat; it's what I do for a living. Again, I'm not representing CBS here today.

I'm representing myself; however it's an occupational

example of how this is affecting me. And last but not least I noticed in your presentation that the PSC is asking Verizon to essentially evaluate its own service. This is absurd. That's like asking Boeing to build a plane and tell you it's safe.

# [Laughter]

MR. GREENBERG: I'm sorry. The evaluation needs to be independent and based on what I've heard today, I think you've heard the evaluation. Thank you very much.

## [Applause]

MR. BILL VITIELLO: Thank you for arranging the hearing. My name is Bill Vitiello. I'm a cottage owner at Point O'Woods and I work from my home five to six months a year. I'm a Verizon shareholder. I'm a co-founder of a telecom firm in the nineties that supported 5,800--that's okay. I'll wait.

## [Pause]

MR. VITIELLO: I'm a Verizon shareholder and a co-founder of a telecom firm that supported 5,800 small and medium-sized businesses on behalf of Nynex [phonetic]. Prior to Sandy, I had two phone lines and DSL service. With ten months to restore phone service, Point O'Woods is the only community today

2.2

that does not have a single copper pair in service.

Despite claims to the contrary, not even our fire department has been restored. Our field is used more commonly than any other field for air rescue.

Our first responders don't have
communications that they can rely on, but yet
helicopters are landing in the middle of the night,
mostly on weekends, and we don't have any
communication services; this despite the fact that a
fiber line is still intact running through our
community. To make this brief, I will put it into
three categories; what is known publicly, what we've
been told by Verizon executives, and what the reality
of the situation is.

Publicly we know Verizon landline business has been for sale for years, two to three years at least. The land line business is union and the wireless business is not. They are two separate companies.

#### [Applause]

MR. VITIELLO: The third, public information, this should not come as a surprise to anybody. Verizon would prefer that the PSC oversight go away, as it is on the wireless side. So let's talk about some realities and some things that have

2.2

happened. The first few months we were given repair appointments like everybody said. And we were told the network was damaged beyond repair. There's a couple of key statements that keep resonating over and over again. And if you hear them enough times, you start to believe that they are true.

If you speak to the technicians, as we believe your obligation is to really dig down and find the facts; they did more splicing after Irene than what was needed to repair our network after Sandy. Verizon is a world-class carrier. I am proud to be a shareholder. I was proud to work with them. I've never been more disappointed. If they wanted to repair us, we would have been repaired in one week. After 9/11, the stock exchange, which was totally destroyed, was put back in business in three to four days.

#### [Applause]

MR. VITIELLO: They sent technicians, not regulators, to address the problem. The lack of response and not allowing the techs on the Island to do what they were trained to do, wanted to do, begged to do, and were fired for doing without approval, okay? Which was later cast as "the man had sandals on," okay, what really happened was Verizon sees the

2.2

opportunity to advance and accelerate plans that had been in the works for years. They want to go to a wireless solution. As a shareholder I want the extra profit. As a customer I want them to be responsible.

As the PSC, I believe you have an obligation to make sure that they do it responsibly and give us comparable service, which really should be talked about, comparable service. Another thing that Verizon is trying to position is, "We only have to give you voice. We don't have to give you internet." Let's talk about that for a minute. I'm not sure if everybody knows, but the techs can tell you and a lot of other people know; voice needs two copper lines or a pair to work. Both have to work or you'll have no voice conversation. That's the minimum that you have to provide, that they have to provide as a carrier of last resort.

If you look at DSL, it's not a separate line. DSL only needs one of those two existing pair that are served you, but yet they position it like it's internet and we don't have to give you that. It's not a separate service; it's a service carried on a voice line. It's a feature of that voice line that they are mandated to carry. It's easier to restore DSL than it is a voice line, because if one

of my pair is out, they can still give voice line to all of us on the other pair, if just one of those lines is working. That's the irony of the situation.

So it's important when you say we don't want to talk about data, we know the phone company doesn't want to talk about data. We know the phone company doesn't want to talk about the fact that I can't buy-I am--I have 22 days left in my billing month. I am over 50 gig, okay, and they won't sell me a bigger package. It doesn't exist. They'll charge me overage charges of double as a penalty, but they haven't put the tariff in place for large volume users.

I used to work here; I can no longer work here. I cannot give a web-x presentation. I currently own a software company and I do web-x presentations across--around the world. I can't do it because of the latency in the internet service. So it is definitely affecting my ability to be out here in my cottage. What else were we told? We had a meeting at Scatten Arps [phonetic] where the FIA invited, begged, repeatedly requested, which took a month, okay, in May. This was months after the storm. Nobody was coming down.

I myself called people to say please come

1 fill the void of information with facts, not rumors. 2 I thought it would be the right thing to do to help 3 They came. Regulatory staff member informed us 4 that they had increased the capacity on our tower by 5 threefold. And even though they did that, they 6 didn't need to because their prior studies, which I 7 would respectfully ask you to verify, show that their 8 current capacity was only going to peak out at 80% 9 even with the 1,100 DSL customers, all the voice 10 customers, etcetera. They had more than enough 11 capacity to handle it. We said that was one of our 12 main concerns; capacity. 13 On a landline you get an "all circuits are 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

On a landline you get an "all circuits are busy." On a cell switch you don't. You just get a quick busy the minute you hit the last digit. So people may not know that we're out of capacity. But if you think someone is talking on the phone, it's probably a capacity issue. Someone else said that they needed three cell towers by some previous lower ruling to triangulate. We don't have any triangulation here. Point O'Woods actually offered to put a cell tower up immediately after the storm.

We're a privately owned community and we did not go through—need to go through the typical public hearing and I said, "You can start building it on

2.2

Monday." We got the approval from the board and within 24 hours I made the offer three times. No one ever even called back to have an initial conversation. So there was no intention. What really happened was this was an opportunity to accelerate the plans. That's what people that know what's going on, they are aware of. The other misstatement that they want to tell you over and over again, and we're starting to believe it because I hear it in the comments.

We're only three to four months of revenue.

Let's assume we were 12 months. Do you think we would even show up 3,800 customers the revenue? Even if we bought the triple play, we would not even show up as a rounding error on the balance sheet of Verizon. Yet they're waiving this as they can't afford to service us because we are only three months. Again, we fit the model. It's just that this is a train wreck. We fit the model because we'll be gone. They think we'll be gone, but we go back to our offices. We go to political fundraisers.

We get more exercise in the winter than we do in the summer. So this is not the end where we're going away until next year. So the revenue issue really, really bothers me.

1 ALJ PHILLIPS: I'm sorry. I just want to 2 check in with you because it's about eight minutes in 3 now. Is there a way to--4 MR. VITIELLO: [Interposing] Yes, I'll be 5 very fast. 6 ALJ PHILLIPS: --sum up? 7 MR. VITIELLO: I want to put on the record 8 that -- the issue of not being able to get it repaired 9 because the code is there. As Tom suggested, oh 10 maybe it was a mistake. She was not mistaken. I begged her three times, okay, to put that in. And I 11 12 suggest everybody call Verizon today after the 13 meeting and see if you get the same experience. 14 There is no code for a repair. Okay? Now if you 15 call a special number, those people have probably 16 already been called to say, "Hey, take the repair 17 order." 18 Call the main number. Call the regular 19 They'll tell you if it's in the system or 20 not, okay? They can't contact in the next two hours 21 all of the customer service reps. This week I 2.2 already mentioned the -- not a larger plan, okay? What 23 has Verizon done? If none of you have met Alicia Eve

24

25

[phonetic], I suggest you speak to her. She is one

of the nicest, brightest, most articulate women I

2.2

have met all summer. She has a Harvard MBA, Harvard JD, and as of four weeks ago was working for the governor. Now she's working for regulatory, and I wish I was on the same side of this issue with her, because she is absolutely delightful. But unfortunately she came to meet a few of us to solve our problem. When the stock exchange was down after 9/11 they sent techs. They were up in three days. With all due respect, Alicia, you're not here to fix our lines, with the PSC meeting coming in two weeks, okay? So where's the engineer from Hotpot [phonetic]? Where are the techs that know how to repair the lines and how come they are not here fixing us?

[Pause]

MR. VITIELLO: We realize that. This past week, as a result of this hearing, Verizon reached out and they called every single Voice Link customer. Vandy Walker [phonetic] from Point O'Woods begged me to put her name into the record. And she said, "They called me. I started to tell them, Bill, about my problems and they said, 'Can you hear me?' And she says, 'Yes, but I want you--' she said, 'Thank you very much. Voice Link is working,'" and hung up.

So the report you're going to get I'm sure,

2.2

if you haven't gotten it already, is going to say,

"We contacted 500 people and their Voice Links are

working fine." That's a false statement. I would

verify it. The fiber line is up and working. We

know that. Why would we put copper back in the

ground? Our ground is wet every full moon, every new

tide. It doesn't make any sense. The lines up on

the pole? They're working. That's how it should be

restored.

I'm going to wrap up for you. Okay, so where do we go from here and what do we do? I'm wrapping, thank you. As a customer, I'm concerned that a continued resistance to full restoration will leave us with no viable operation other than formally requesting the PSC oversight of all services sold including wireless. I respectfully ask that the PSC confirm all of the facts that they are presented, because we as a community will and we will be back to the table to present them. So it will save future embarrassment. Thank you for your time and for listening. Thank you.

#### [Applause]

MS. LIV HEMPER: My name is Liv Hemper. I'm from Saltaire. Do you hear me? I'm going to address essentially just the Voice Link which I have

2.2

ALJ PHILLIPS: You have to hold it close.

MS. HEMPER: This way? Is that better?

Okay. Okay, I'll just address the Voice Link if I
can help it. Dialing out problems; so many times I
dial out, especially when I dial somebody's cell
phone number I keep getting interrupted at the end of
the call, the dialing service, "Welcome to Verizon
Wireless. Your feature update could not be
completed. If you think you received this message in
error, please hang up and dial again." And it said—
and then it gives a message number. I don't even
know what that has to do with my phone call.

But anyway, that three or four times, after you have tried that three or four times you are ready to give up or throw the phone out the window.

That's—and another problem with dialing is you have to be speedy dialing it because if you don't do it fast enough that same message will come up again in the middle of your dial. That's number one.

Incoming calls, I have people call me. They say they get—again, "Welcome to Verizon Wireless." And the person, "This—your call cannot be completed." For a whole day somebody tried me. It was important. I

1 lost it.

2.2

The quality of calls; they are too loud. I stand—I have to put the receiver at arm's length sometimes to be able to understand the person who is—it sounds like he is yelling, he or she is yelling, but it is terrible. Then the quality is also metallic, it echoes and is unintelligible at times so that I have to ask again and again, "Can you please repeat? I can't understand you." That's really all, but I just want you to know I'm a senior living alone full time on Long—on Fire Island so my telephone is very important to me. I'm sure you can all understand that. This Voice Link may be good in five years, but not now. I would urge the PSC to disallow Verizon its requests. Thank you very much.

[Applause]

ALJ PHILLIPS: Okay. Again, I just want to do a quick check. Dave Lipsy, did you say you didn't want to speak? Is he still here? Okay. Okay, Marina Helfst and Angie Carpenter?

MS. MARINA HELFST: Hello, my name is Marina Helfst. I'm a summer resident of Saltaire. I want to echo the concerns that have already been expressed by those people who spoke before me and to introduce an issue that I don't think has been introduced

before; and that is the issue of safety. As we probably all know, wireless communications work through the sending of microwave radiation through the air. And here in Ocean Beach you guys have a big tower high off the ground that is doing that.

And Saltaire Verizon has gone ahead and installed something like 9 to 11, there is some controversy as to how many actually there are antennae. And these antennae are deployed through the village. Some of them are in very close proximity to living quarters. And I am just a citizen. I'm not an engineer. I have been doing a lot of research trying to figure out, you know, is this regulated?

The SEC does have guidelines and does have—sets limits for exposure to the general population to the microwave radiation that is coming out of the antennas. And when you have a high antenna like he you are apparently excluded because they are assuming you're safe. But the assumption is not so when the antennas are placed below ten meters. And all the antennas in Saltaire are below ten meters. And as far as I know, there has been no effort whatsoever to measure emissions or exposure from these antennas.

Just because you can't see it and you can't

2.2

2.2

smell it and you can't taste it, it doesn't mean it's not there. It's there; research is plentiful. There is thousands and thousands—there is volumes written on the ill effects of this radiation. Also these antennas are installed. They are distributed antenna systems and they are technology agnostic, which means that they can be used for link—Voice Link and they can be used for anything else. So they—as far as—I don't know if this is true or not, I'm not sure if they will be forthcoming with the information, but they could very easily use these antenna to boost their own wireless, Verizon wireless service.

Which to me seems unfair since I'm an AT&T carrier why, you know, I'm not getting any benefit from it and it seems like they are taking an unfair advantage of their already—monopoly that they have on us. And just to conclude, I really—I have a lot more to say but it's a long day, so basically I would just like to conclude that I'm formally requesting the PSC reject Verizon's request to discontinue its current wire line service. Thank you.

[Applause]

HONORABLE ANGIE CARPENTER: Good afternoon.

My name is Angie Carpenter. I am a Suffolk County

treasurer and the last two years have been

2.

2.2

legislature and also a member of the Fire Island law enforcement and security council that was mentioned earlier. And I too am here today to publicly request that the PSC reject Verizon's request to abandon the wire line service on the west end of Fire Island and replace it with Voice Link.

The impact on the community of residents and the hundreds of thousands of visitors during the summer season will be devastating to many and on many fronts. To use Superstorm Sandy, an unprecedented storm which decimated sections of New York and New Jersey as an excuse to force the residents of Fire Island off traditional wire line service on to wireless is really unconscionable.

## [Applause]

HONORABLE CARPENTER: You know, LIPA has been the butt of much criticism, but even LIPA had crews working all over the island and restored power to everyone within weeks. But Verizon did very, very little. It appears that this might be a strategy to force Verizon's customers away from the protection of tariff services, which holds them accountable to the PSC and off into the unregulated wireless arena. A move like this would most definitely compromise public safety and emergency services, and I think

we've heard that time and again today, put an undue burden on the local municipalities, and threaten to damage and undermine an already compromised quality of life to the residents and visitors and further erode the very, very fragile economic climate for the businesses on the island.

Again I respectfully request that you wholeheartedly reject Verizon's request to abandon a land line service. And I would also like to thank the PSC. You know, coming to Fire Island, as we all know, is not an easy task. But you took the time to be here where the people are at a time that the people are here, and especially to the Commissioner. She is one of five. She took the time to be here today and I appreciate it.

[Applause]

HONORABLE CARPENTER: Commissioner Acampora has a long history of service to the residents of New York and Long Island. And I want to personally thank you for being here. And I appreciated your comments earlier that you will communicate to your fellow members, your fellow Commission members just the real sentiment and the passion that everyone here has today for this issue. Thank you very much.

[Applause]

2.2

2.2

MS. PHILLIP: Okay. I'm just going to give you another time check. I'm going to keep plowing through but we're at about 2:30 and I've gone throughout about half of the cards, so we're just going to keep moving. The next give speakers are Alice Herb, Jon Gordon, Joan Evans, Esther Roshwaab and Eileen O'Neil. And again—are they still here? Alice Herb? No? Jon Gordon? Joan Evans? Esther Roshwaab? Are you Eileen? I'm sorry. Okay. Okay, just give your—both—you can go. I don't know who is who, so please state your name.

MS. JOAN EVANS: Hello, my name is Joan Evans and I am from Fair Harbor, Fire Island. I spend seven months per year there. And I would like to make a statement about Voice Link service and hearing impairment. And I will speak from my own personal experience, if that's okay. And there are two parts to hearing. And one is volume, which some fortunate people can control by a hearing device or a telephone or both. And the other part of hearing is clarity of speech or word recognition. And I was able to hear quite well I would say on a land line and hold a long conversation.

And with the Voice Link service that I now have my telephone is useless to me. I can't even

2.2

recognize who it is that is calling me and let alone have a conversation with them. Communication is a treasure and if you're losing your hearing you experience that more than ever. And the Voice Link service has really deprived me and I think many other people of the ability to talk to those I love, my family and friends, the people I work with, my employer, the people in my community, public officials such as yourselves, Congressmen, Senators, emergency workers.

And what disturbs me is that in an emergency if I could get through on the phone I would not know what I was being directed to do or even if I was speaking to the right person. Anecdotally I have to say that somebody from Verizon called me a few days ago and it took me a very long time to know who it was that was calling and I finally discerned the word "Verizon." So I had to ask him to call me back on my cell phone, which is I would say not so great for me and for husband. And so if--with many repetitions I could finally understand that he wanted me to speak about my service and I then did that.

But it's a huge loss, a huge loss and I
think a danger. And I didn't have this problem on a
landline at all. And I urge you to consider that

point of view when you're examining this issue.

Thank you for allowing me to speak.

# [Applause]

2.2

MS. EILEEN O'NEIL: I'm Eileen O'Neil. I'm the deputy commissioner for the Fair Harbor Dunewood medical district and I just want to be on the record. I did say much of this before. I think we all know that the--first I want to make one point. There is a lot of talk where several comments that were made about Fire Island not making a significant economic contribution to the bottom line of Verizon. But Fire Island makes a significant contribution to the economy of Long Island. And that is something that we need not forget. And we need the communications to continue that economic support for Long Island.

In terms of the medical district, this failure of Verizon to even investigate what would be missing with Voice Link in terms of those patients who need to communicate via Med alert, that never came up until I raised the question in my house when they came to visit me two weeks ago. Today we heard this exploratory—I found out information about what is available. That information is I can't believe that a company as sophisticated as Verizon is putting forth a new technology and not know what they are

2.2

losing and in some way communicate to those whose very lives depend on it.

There is absolutely the most appalling lack of communication to the neediest people. There are lots of people who can dial 911 and get through no matter what system we have. But if you're not able to get to a phone and you're dependent on a med alert, or if you are the caregiver who has something happen, the patient can then press the med alert. And to have no regard for that and to look into what would be lost, to me is shocking. And I want that on the public record. And I, just as everybody else, I am requesting that their request not be granted. Thank you.

# [Applause]

ALJ PHILLIPS: Thank you. I also have cards for Adam Abrams, Chris Lincoln and Marki Knopp.

MR. ADAM C. ABRAMS: Good afternoon,
Commissioner, Judge Phillips. How are you today?
Thank you so much for coming. My name is Adam
Abrams. I'm an attorney and a real estate broker
here on Fire Island. I'm third generation from
Seaview. I grew up here. This is my 46th summer
living on the bay.

I just want to point out a few things. I am

2.2

not a Voice Link customer. I have stayed away from it. So I'm not going to comment on the success for failure of that project. I will however like to point out a long history of Verizon abusing the residents of Fire Island. Every summer I've come out here, every winter I suspend my service. When I come out on in the spring, there has always been a problem. I started off with a landline from my home. I added what's called a dry loop DSL for my home. I've had four lines and a DSL for my office when I had an office in Ocean Beach. I added up how much I spent from 2010 to the present.

They are still sending me bills, despite the fact that I have cancelled my service and have requested refunds. I am still being billed six months later, after I was run through the whole rigmarole, several tickets for repairs for people that have never shown up. I am told by any number of Verizon employees that, yes, we will send somebody out to fix your line. It took tens of hours of my time and 20 or 30 calls to finally get a straight answer saying that there's no more repairs going to be done on Fire Island. I spent close to \$4,000 since 2010. That's not including the fact that that's for home service and business service.

When I tried to convert my business service
to my home service, it took them six months to be
able to do that. When I tried to port my phone
numbers out to another company, it took me three
months to do that. They had tickets that they said
were open, repair tickets, that's why we can't port
your number. I said, "I was told you can't do the

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

repairs."

The point is that every summer there's been Every summer they've come and fixed it except for this summer. Why is this summer any different? Because they are looking at their bottom line. The bottom line is that they've been collecting revenues on Fire Island through a monopoly for as long as I've been here, 46 years. And now when the time has come for them to reinvest, whether it's copper service, FiOS, fiber optic, or whatever service will work, they are not willing to expend the dollars to pay back what they have received over year and years of overbilling. One of my bills I went back and looked for one month was \$500 for four lines and a DSL. I was also phased out of my dry loop DSL because it was not financially feasible for them, nothing to do with the storm, but my suggestion to Your Honor is to increase the scope.

25

And I would urge you to push back against Verizon, not only deny their application to suspend the tariff. I would include in that that DSL service is an essential service that needs to be required for them to provide on their copper lines pairs, and that everything that they've received in the past, let them open their books. Let them discourse all of the profits that they've earned before they start requesting that they do cost cutting measures to deregulate their business, and so that the people of Fire Island who have supported them for years and years and years get the benefit of the bargain. is a two-way agreement. This is a contract for both They have required us to pay outrageous exorbitant prices for years and years. Now when the time comes for them to put back, they want to back out of their end of the agreement.

When LIPA was out here and the power lines failed, they called in technicians from all over the country to get Fire Island back on the grid. Verizon has technicians all over the country. If they wanted to repair the service here, it would have been done, and it would have been done cheaply, affordably, efficiently, but not unregulated. Thank you for your time.

# [Applause]

MR. CHRIS LINCOLN: I'm Chris Lincoln. I'm a 30 time--30-year part-time year-round resident here in Ocean Beach. I'm the assistant fire chief of the Ocean Beach Fire Department. By the way, if the fire department did not have their copper lines we'd be severely debilitated. There's no question about that.

I'm a Verizon customer. I've had--all of those years I've had two or three lines out here on Fire Island. I've had five or six lines in Manhattan. So I'm a--I'm a big Verizon customer. I ran a computer network out here and I'm a software developer like some of the other people here. I'm no longer able to live here and do my work. That's just--it's over without DSL. It's just not economically viable nor technically viable.

I'd really like to-because the focus here is on Voice Link, I'd like to go through this list which is a bunch of features that are available on copper but are not available on Voice Link. So it speaks directly to the Voice Link issue. Some of them are less essential like DVR. Some of them are more essential for business owned--businessmen like myself or the restaurant owners, and some of them are

essential for life for some smaller number of people, as you mentioned here.

So I'm just going to go through the list.

And this is the list—this is the function—these are the things that are available in copper that are not available in Voice Link. That's fax machines, DVR services, ATM business machines, credit card processing, medical alert or other monitoring services, deaf relay services for the hard of hearing, that's TTY.

DSL which we've been talking about extensively which people need for business, and also DSL actually has a real effect on the economy of the community at large. I mean without the DSL there's a lot of people that can't live here. There's a lot of people that don't want to come here anymore because they don't have internet access.

Continuing with the list, monitored home security systems, 500, 700, 900, 950, 976, 000010 codes, in other words international calls not available on your Voice Link, calling cards, dial around calls. You can't accept collect calls, third party—third party billing. You can't bill any changes on behalf of other carriers. So you can't have international calls from other carriers. And it

2.2

doesn't require providing power. In other words, the old copper you didn't have to have power for that copper service to work.

tell you and in fact they've made significant efforts to make 911 work, and to some degree they've made it but they don't guarantee it. It's guaranteed on copper. So they're formally guaranteeing that 911. They're making a best effort but they're not guaranteeing it. And then finally competitors can't use the wires. In other words, the competitors are not going to be able to use whatever system that Verizon puts together.

So that's the list and I respectively--I thank you for coming out here and I respectfully hope that you will deny their application. Thank you.

#### [Applause]

MS. MARKI KNOPP: Hi. My name is Marki
Knopp and I would like you to deny Verizon, their
request. My husband is the gentleman that was taken
off the island on Monday via helicopter. He has a
defibrillator and a pacemaker in his body and if we
were able to use that—if the copper wires were
there, we could use that telephone service to
continually monitor him, but because we didn't and he

2.2

felt fine and he still feels fine after spending
three days in the hospital, had we been able to check
on him we probably could have gotten to the doctor
without him being helicoptered off the island because
we had no idea that this was going on inside his
body. So I urge you again to please deny their
request. Thank you.

[Applause]
ALJ PHILLIPS: Thank you. I have cards for

ALJ PHILLIPS: Thank you. I have cards for Karen Kee, Tara McBride, and Christine--I believe it's Balogna. Okay.

MS. KAREN KEE: My name is Karen Kee and I represent—I'm a Board Member of Ocean Bay Park Association, and I represent well over 200 residents. I've been serving on the board since 1985 and I'm here to present the historic facts of Verizon through—though claiming Superstorm Sandy was the catalyst that caused this—them to abandon copper wire, in fact I have examples, communications, and photographs demonstrating that they had abandoned proper maintenance and repair activities dating back to 2009.

They were not completing, not repairing lines that were flooded, that had water in the vault. What they did was they took them out until they

2.2

dried. And showing such disrespect for us, they took them out and blocked a fire hydrant and left it out for well over a month, a deep hole, no access to the fire hydrant. We notified Verizon that afternoon and it took a month for them to cover up the hole they had—they had created.

We have similar stories along the bay and that connects Point O'Woods, the Bay Walk, to Seaview. It's a very important wire that is buried there and it floods continually. Every full moon it is flooded and any rainstorm it's flooded, and there is no attempt to get that to work. And this now is 2010 that this has been happening since. They could have easily brought the line above ground. There's a telephone pole right there. We said "Why aren't you wiring to the telephone pole?" And they said, "Oh, it's not cost effective. We're going to just leave it here."

What we're concluding from this behavior is that they had intended to abandon Fire Island long ago. And when Superstorm Sandy hit they said, "All right. We can get out of here now. PSC will believe that it's impossible to go back and they're going to grant this tariff." I think that what happened was back in 2009 or earlier they said "We're out of here.

2.2

And then the PSC will certainly accept our story after Sandy."

I also want to add that I attended that very private meeting with Alicia Eve, who worked for Cuomo in regulatory and was just brought over to Verizon in regulatory. And she took copious notes on everything we said, and there were four of us at that meeting. And her conclusion was "I will bring this information and these comments back to the people at Verizon who need to hear it." And I groaned and said, "Why don't we ever meet an operations person instead of regulatory and public relations people to explain the technical aspects of why they can't repair?"

All I ever hear is it's totally destroyed.

It's beyond recognition, beyond repair. How many
wires is that we're talking about? Is it--I can't be
all the wires because people are receiving copper
wires. So how many is that? They serve the Fire
Island, the fire departments and they manage to get
copper wire working there, and we don't know how many
other wires they could repair.

Finally, the point I want to make is that we're not a cash cow for Verizon. They lose money serving us but we all know that that revenue stream that is lost is included in the rates they charge.

1	The rate structure, when they apply for a rate
2	structure as you probably know, includes the entire
3	Verizon balance sheet for this region. So the fact
4	that they're losing money here is expected. They're
5	a carrier of last resort and so they have to provide
6	and lose money, as most of them do. But the rate
7	structure includes the loss. So they're not losing
8	money. And that's all I wanted you to note in here.
9	I also, should you be interested, have
10	photographs of the disastrous holes they have left,
11	the dates of them, and the dates they repaired it.
12	Manytwo of which are two years for them to get it
13	right and say "Wow, this floods all the time. Maybe
14	we should fix it." So should you be interested I
15	have those photographs. Thank you for that. Do you
16	want them?
17	ALJ PHILLIPS: You may give them to me.
18	MS. KEE: All right. I'll hand them to you
19	now.
20	[Applause]
21	MS. TARA MCBRIDE-HESSLIN: I have lots of
22	pictures too. I can email them if you're interested.
23	ALJ PHILLIPS: Thank you, Karen.
24	MS. MCBRIDE-HESSLIN: Hi. My name is Tara
25	McBride-Hesslin. Thank you for coming. I too object

2.2

to Verizon's tariff and I hope you'll reject it. I live year-round in Lonleyville with my husband and three children. All four of our landlines worked throughout the storm up until early March.

My husband's a volunteer firefighter in Fair Harbor. Two days after the storm, Connor [phonetic] came out with members of the department to clear the roads for emergency services. Because our house had working and DSL, Connor and volunteer members were able to stay at our house and work safely for three weeks, readying the island for residents' returns. When our phone lines went out in March, both at home and for our small business DSL fax line, etcetera, Verizon promised, like many others, to send someone out, issued trouble tickets, then did an about face and refused to come months later. The presidential customer relations escalation department, who I've had a four-year relationship with, refused to answer my calls.

Most alarmingly though, Verizon left my family without access to 911 for three weeks. It was only after we placed many, many calls to the PSC and wrote letters to elected officials on state and county levels did Verizon finally install the new Voice Link wireless system to our home. Again, it

2.2

took three weeks without 911. From what Verizon's reps told me, we were the first to have the system on Fire Island.

Unfortunately, the system proved unreliable from the very start and had to be replaced within the first week. The sound quality has always been poor. It sounds under water when you're dialing. I can't hear anybody. Some people said the volume was loud. That's not the case for me. My children, friends, and family tell me over and over again that when they call the house the call is dropped or it makes and alarming sound, and also they get very odd messages. It prevents—Voice Link presents many challenges Verizon doesn't want to talk about in public.

I'd like you to consider this scenario.

Grandma is watching my kids and suffers a stroke while I'm in the city. My six-year-old tries to call 911, as he has been taught to do, but the power went out during the storm and the battery backup on the Voice Link system is dead. My son searches through the drawers of our house for the extra double A batteries, luckily finds three, opens the Voice Link device, replaces the battery, and finally dials 911.

Oops, a little too late, they can't come. It's too late.

2.2

I think a six-year-old could probably find the batteries and replace them with some instruction, but younger than that I seriously doubt. Verizon will say the Voice Link system is better than a landline because it identifies the location of the emergency instantly, but without power that argument falls flat.

Of course I'm glad it has the E911 feature, but I suggest teaching children to be aware of where they are as an easy fix, and one educators are already addressing. Ignoring the serious risks associated with teaching a small child how to locate and change a battery backup system, particularly in an area that LIPA's infrastructure is prone to fail, is seriously negligent on Verizon's part. Verizon will also try to blame the copper infrastructure and as you know that—I'm going to skip that because we already covered that.

My concern is that if Verizon is doing this to me and other affluent seasonal residents, I'm sure it's going to happen to many other folks, seniors, and low income families that do not have the time or resources to make their voices heard. Verizon's decision to abandon copper will save the company millions and I understand why from a financial

Phone: 212-227-7440 \* 800-221-7242 \* Fax: 212-227-7524

2.2

perspective the decision is strategic, but it puts many people in danger. I don't want blood to have to be shed before Verizon is held accountable. We need to have measures put in place that ensure what happens to us doesn't happen to other people in our region or across the country.

I spoke before about Verizon's notice to me saying that they were going to investigate the facilities on Fire Island before Sandy. I'd like to know if and how that investigation is under way, and how other Fire Islanders can testify about their experiences prior to Sandy. Notice of this investigation should be made public. It's particularly important that this investigation become widely known and published so that comments can be requested and others can attest to their longstanding frustrations with Verizon and their lack of attention to the infrastructure over the past four or five years.

I'm going to skip a lot of this. My
understanding is that from an article published by
the FCC and a report in the National Regulatory
Research Institute, that carrier of last resort is in
place in New York as of April 12th. I can't find a
piece of legislation that changed this law, so I

2.2

don't know how an opinion by the PSC can change this as I understand the PSC found that the availability of basic telephone service was widely sufficient. If service here on Fire Island is any indication, the PSC mustn't have had all the facts at hand when they came to that conclusion. And I'd like to understand how they came to that conclusion that basic telephone service was widely sufficient and that carrier of last resort didn't apply, because I've been asking since March to have my phone restored and that seemed to be ignored over and over again. And, like everybody else, my main concern is for wire line services during emergency outages.

Verizon has stated where existing wire copper pairs are functional they'll continue to use them and provide services to the extent possible, but when my DSL came back on in early May Verizon refused to repair it. Only this week, after the angry mob attacked them at the last hearing, did they finally call and say that they would reinstate my DSL. They sent four technicians out yesterday and they found that, yes, the router worked and that the line was fine, but that I needed to sign a new terms of service agreement which I printed out and looked at and it basically said you have no quarantee that the

2.2

phone lines going to work. We can take it away from you at any given time.

So they discontinued my DSL service even though I said on numerous occasions not to change any of my lines, like everybody else here. So they've been--they've been lying basically to everybody and to you. And I'm really just concerned about emergency services. Data will work itself out, but I want to have access to emergency services for my kids and the way they treated me and left us without 911 for three weeks and now have given me a Voice Link service that doesn't work consistently, isn't adequate, and I hope you will reject them. Thank you.

## [Applause]

ALJ PHILLIPS: Thank you. Is Gail Davis here? Okay. Gail Davis, Deborah May, and Eric Palatnik.

MS. GAIL DAVIS: Thank you for coming today. We really all appreciate it very much. I find the Voice Link service to be substandard. I believe it's inadequate, unsafe, and unreliable. I have the Voice Link service because when I came out here in March and actually even earlier in January we did not have any voice telephone service. We had no electricity

2.2

but I have a backup non electric telephone that I've had for years but that failed to work as well. So I got the Voice Link.

Unfortunately, as everybody has testified already, often there are dropped calls. I call. I don't get through. Often I call and somebody says "Hello? Hello?" And they don't hear anything. They hang up.

But my main concern—and I have two concerns. One concern is the DSL and data service. I'm very concerned with the emergency aspects that are nonexistent in Voice Link. DSL and internet service is no longer a luxury. It's an essential. This is the way of the future. It is our communication. It in some senses—some people communicate through internet more so than the telephone system nowadays. The fact that we don't have DSL and no data service is I think very poor.

The emergency—the lack of emergency services of Voice Link is also I feel unsafe and unreliable. Without electricity, if the electricity goes in another storm which is bound to happen at some point, there's no way to contact the outside. Voice Link says they have 36 rather than 72, according to the terms of service that I received,

2.2

hours of backup service. Only two of which are voice utilized, able to be utilized by talk time. In Sandy, the wireless tower generator did not work. So there would not be any service in any event if there was—if there was not electricity and there would not be the wireless tower. So there would be nothing.

We are a barrier island, as many people have said. We particularly need access and communication to the outside world, whether it be through internet and copper hard wire. In an emergency, that is the only reliable backup is hard wire. That's what we need and that's what we believe we're entitled to.

It's disconcerting that Verizon let the copper and--left the copper and trenches exposed to the elements previously it seemed, according to the testimony from 2009. And it seems that the damage to the copper was of Verizon's own making. These seem to be a self-created condition and certainly Verizon should not benefit from such a self-created condition. It's also disconcerting that in many reports of homeowners--and I don't know whether there's been testimony here today but there was the other day at the other hearing--that when they returned after Sandy both their voice and DSL landlines were working. Then mysteriously the DSL

1 lines failed shortly thereafter.

2.2

We have all been paying the universal service charge. We've been paying our bills for many, many years. I'm a 35-year-old resident of Saltaire and only today we find out that it's not the cables to the island that have been destroying and hurt. It's now cables on the island, and which is even more disconcerting because Verizon's lack of repair and self-creating this condition.

I also understand that all the municipalities on Fire Island have fiber optic phone and data service which works. Verizon has chosen not to provide the residents which I believe is certainly putting money above people. After years of paying the universal service charge and our fees and being loyal customers, this is no way to treat loyal customers. Therefore, we respectfully ask that PSC deny Verizon its request. Thank you.

### [Applause]

MS. DEBORAH MAY: Hi there to those of you who are still here. My name is Deborah May and I live at 31 Island Walk in Lonleyville. I'm also a member of the Board of Directors of the Taxpayers Association of Lonleyville, and I'm here both as a representative myself and also of many of the other

1 homeowners in Lonleyville.

2.2

Lonleyville's in a unique situation. Our community, yes, was impacted by Hurricane Sandy, although not as badly as some of the neighboring towns. Many of our homes in Lonleyville have working DSL and landline service. So while I know we're supposed to be talking about Voice Link, our response to Voice Link is that we're terrified that we may have to take it. We have been told that if the landlines fail we will not get them fixed, and I think a lot of people have talked today about how they've refused to repair the lines. We have been left with some people who have perfectly functioning service, other people that don't have any.

Unfortunately, I'm one of the people who doesn't have any. There's a small cluster of approximately five homes and I have been asking since April for Verizon to come check a connection on the pole, much like Daryl did in his conversation a long time ago in one—at the beginning of the hearing where he was talking about a line being broken. My pole came down and I've been asking them to reconnect the line to the pole, and they keep telling me they can't do it. And finally I got a person who told me they didn't have any parts to connect it, which that

2.2

was sort of my response. I laughed and I said
"You're not telling the truth." So after the hearing
two weeks ago they called me and said "Maybe we'll
see if we can get somebody to come out and check your
pole. We'll call you back tomorrow." But still
there's been nothing.

So aside from calling other people who have Voice Link and realizing our calls don't go through and the quality of the calls is bad, we're terrified that we're going to be forced to go to Voice Link.

When early in the season I asked the people who were selling Voice Link if I have a cellphone why do I want Voice Link, and they had a hard time answering me. So I said, "So you're asking me to pay for a second poor quality cellphone when my first cellphone also drops calls and doesn't have good service." So that's been a concern.

We've really been left a lot of--out in the cold with many of the responses. They haven't responded to calls. They again tell us that they're coming and they don't come. Finally they tell us they're not fixing it. At the beginning of the season, many of the Verizon technicians told us they could have Lonleyville up and running in a few days, but then they were told they couldn't do anything.

2.2

So we were left without being able to get our lines fixed.

When many people are on the island, all are trying to access their phones and internet via the Verizon internet services, whether it be Voice Link or cellphones or some people with AT&T and other Sprint, all using the broadband. The calls aren't working. The internet connections through cellular hotspots aren't going. If there's a change in service that's being made, it shouldn't be one that leaves us in fear of having to get Voice Link. It should be something that would be more of a concern of is it going to do what we need. We're afraid of it because it doesn't work.

You've heard today--I don't need to go into it again--many, many reasons about why it doesn't work. The safety concerns, the access for first responders. We have several EMT first responders in our community who are not getting the signals. They do have Voice Link and they're not getting the signals in a timely way. With the Verizon terms of service, there's a disclaimer that talks about limitations on 9-11 service--911 emergency services, and it warns the customer that using the service may be subject to network congestion and reduced routing

2.2

or processing speed. That doesn't give me great confidence in the fact that they're going to get there if there's an emergency.

Since the last hearing, many of us who provided complaints at that hearing have been called by Verizon and asked if we would be happy if they got our phones and DSL working. Of course we said "Yes we would. We would have been happy with that back in April, but you didn't do it." So they've called. They've said they're going to call us back or fix things, but they haven't called us back and they haven't fixed things yet.

I have been one of the people who have been required to pay my bill for a nonworking line for the entire summer. And when I said to them "Why do I--why can't you credit it? My line doesn't work," they says, "No, your only check is--your only option is to get rid of that line and take Voice Link, and then you won't have to pay for the line anymore." And when I said to them, "Yes, I understand that but this is a temporary ruling and I want to maintain my line in case the ruling goes against Verizon." The response I received from Verizon, "The ruling will not go against us." Yeah. So what I want to say is, "Given the amount of profit and tax breaks that

2.2

Verizon has, it seems reasonable to require them to either fix the copper landlines, install fiber optic cable which would be less susceptible to weather damage, or set up a wireless system with reasonable cost data options that has adequate broadband or strength to work.

Please don't allow Verizon to abandon us with an inadequate solution. Their people who are answering the phones are already telling us it's a done deal. Don't let it be.

## [Applause]

ALJ PHILLIPS: Thank you. Thank you. I'm going to keep pressing through. Is Eric Palatnik here or no? Zabar? Okay. And after that is Danielle--Daniel Gonzalez? No. Judy Corcoran? Larry Mattiason?

MS. CAROL ZABAR: Hi. My name is Carol Zabar. I am a resident of Seaview Fire Island for the last 35 years. Frankly, I don't know why we're having this conversation because I don't understand why the Public Service Commission gave Verizon the authority to not fix our lines. A public utility is mandated to service customers. It's not optional. Some years you make a lot, some years you don't make so much, but you can't say "Oh, we're really not

2.2

going to do it because we're not making enough."

It's just not an option. Because you're a monopoly,
because you are a public utility, that's not
something you can say.

And why the Public Service Commission gave
Verizon the right to not fix the telephones out here
while their application was pending is something I
just don't understand. Whether or not it costs a lot
or it costs a little is really immaterial. If they
have a grievance that they're not making any money,
they're going to go out of business—it doesn't seem
so likely—they can ask the federal government for
relief and they have a lot of other options, but they
can't ask you, the Public Service Commission, to
relieve them of their obligation to provide service.

Service, I don't have a line at all. They can't say "Well, you know what? We have this Voice Link and it really doesn't do all the things that the copper wire did, but it's good enough." They can't say that. They simply don't have that option. Why the Public Service Commission gave them the right to go ahead and not fix the copper wire is something I just don't understand. They're mandated to give us service. They can't say "Oh, we can't do that. It's just too expensive." So you've all heard what Voice

2.2

Link is about but to me it begs the question why was the Public Service Commission so ready to say "Oh, you're right. You're not making enough money. It's too expensive."

You don't have to be a rocket scientist to understand that Fire Island is the thin end of the wedge. We are not that many people. We're here just mainly during the summer months, but around the country there are many, many other places that are far away that are inconvenient for Verizon. And if they can get away with not servicing their customers, they're certainly going to get away with it. Please deny their application which frankly I don't understand why you took at all. Thank you.

## [Applause]

MR. LARRY MATTIASON: My name is Larry

Mattiason. I have been a year-round resident here on

Fire Island since I was 13. At one point I was an

electrical contractor. I've been the chief of the

Ocean Bay Park Fire Department. Currently I'm an

assistant chief. I've done many things. I'm very

aware of all the infrastructure here on Fire Island.

I'm very aware of a lot of the infrastructure of the

phone company. I have--on occasions when they've

sent crews to make repairs in previous years that are

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

not fiddling with the island I've had a call from

Verizon, "I don't know who you are. You don't know

me, but the crew told me I should call you because

there's a crew out there who can't find their

underground cable and you know where it is." Okay?

That's where I stand on knowing the intricacies of

their system.

Now, the community of Seaview and Ocean Bay Park, they're fed by mostly overhead aerial telephone lines. The only section that feeds 100% of Seaview, part of Ocean Beach, and a third of Ocean Bay Park, is the underground section that goes from the central office straight up to Midway, the center road here in Ocean Beach. It goes overhead, splits into two aerial lines, and feeds every customer, all overhead. Now, Verizon said that they would maintain those aerial lines. It's every line that goes into the central office does go underground because they have no aerial connections. So that's a short hop, a short cable, but if that's the only section for all of those customers why can't they fix that? And that goes on. Ocean Beach has even shorter lines. have major aerial cables feeding the vast majority, yet people are not given the service. Okay?

Down at the west end of Fire Island there

1 were these posters put all around town, "Get rid of 2 Verizon on and off the island." Verizon employees 3 were told to take those down. Okay? 4 FEMALE VOICE: They stopped - - . 5 MR. MATTIASON: Yes, they--6 FEMALE VOICE: - - . 7 MR. MATTIASON: Okay. Now, I keep hearing 8 about copper line, dial tone. The Communications Act 9 of 1934, I didn't see dial tone mentioned in there. 10 I did a lot of--I didn't read the whole thing. 11 a lot of paperwork and I--you know, we're still 12 recovering from Sandy. I don't have that much time. 13 I did see mention of service, service, service. 14 dial tone, no copper line. One part of it in there 15 is "No carriers shall discontinue, reduce, or impair

unless/until there is such," very poorly worded,

service to community or part of a community

18 "shall first have been obtained from the Commission a

certificate that neither the present or future public

20 convenience and necessity will be adversely affected

21 thereby," and then it goes on. Okay?

16

17

19

25

This is--you know, it's supposed to be that
the service is guaranteed by the federal government

and subsidized by the universal service fund.

Ubiqus/Nation-Wide Reporting & Convention Coverage 22 Cortlandt Street – Suite 802, New York, NY 10007 Phone: 212-227-7440 \* 800-221-7242 \* Fax: 212-227-7524

Obviously that's not the case. I have an aerial map

showing all of the aerial lines that feed Seaview and Ocean Beach. You can go around to all the connections. What's not on here are the connections that go to individual houses. I mean there are some sections where you see a block but individual lines just go down the poles. They're not on this. is just the main--the main trunk lines. Okay? Now, the reason I was bringing up the act of 1934 and service, dial tone wasn't mentioned. back in the '20's the news wire service was using a multiplex system to transmit news back and forth. Now, most people don't think of that as being a modem but the workings of it was a modem. It worked over the phone lines in the '20's and that's the same system we have now. It still works. It's the same

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

regulation and it's--that should be included in what they have to preserve. It's service that was available and denying is right here. It's written it shouldn't be.

system that DSL goes over. So the service provided

was capable from before the enactment of the

As far as Verizon misleading customers, I could give you a whole list of examples but you'd have to rent a room tonight, so I'm not going to go into that. On the web, insult to injury, I have here

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

a printout of their website. They have
advertisement. This is a specific site for Fire
Island and Voice Link. They have the recovery video.
FiOS Won Long Island, see the video. They have
advertisements for FiOS. We can't get it. It's
ridiculous. It's just an insult. And it goes on and
then they advertise—and then they advertise Voice
Link.

Like I say, Verizon has been extremely misleading, outright lies. The crews trying to repair the lines underground, they're saying "All we need is a 100 foot piece of cable to make this repair. We have a bad section. We can splice it at one end, splice it at the other end, and that bad section would be replaced. They were not given the cable to do that." The most important thing for preserving the underground cables are the -- is air pressure inside the jacket. All of these ditches that were open, the cables exposed, the jackets cut, they could not maintain air pressure. When Fire Island Pines had problems with their underground feed which eventually they tied into the existing fiber optic, they put in overheard air lines to subsidize the air supply. Those have been cut loose and disconnected. So water--it's making it so water can

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2

get in.

Even though all of that stuff has been done, the splice crews have said, "We have 80 to 90% of the pairs repairs to feed Ocean Bay Park." There is three sections where Ocean Bay Park is tapped into the underground cable, but that cable is not functioning. It works, it can work, but they're not letting it happen.

I'm cutting--I'm going a little out of order because a lot of this stuff was touched on and I don't want to keep repeating. Hold on. Okay. basically Verizon is using Fire Island to set the precedent for Voice Link. The other night I'm watching a national news show about a customer on the upper east side. He called up, you know, the news station. You know, Verizon has not fixed my phone for a month. So the news people get there and find out, you know, they came, they repaired one line. has a main line and a fax line. Well, they offered him Voice Link for his fax line. You know, I don't see the logic in that. And this is -- this is in Manhattan. They're trying to sneak Voice Link anywhere they can and once you get it they're not letting you switch back. It's not right.

It's not fair, and the problems are self-

caused. If you can—if you can get the people who work on the lines, the splice crews, who were afraid of their retirement, if you can—I mean if you could subpoena them to testify and protect them, you would find out a lot of information and how Verizon has been very deceitful and outright lying.

[Applause]

MR. MATTIASON: Thank you.

ALJ PHILLIPS: Thank you. Again, I'll just give you a quick time check. We're almost--it's 3:26. I have six more cards, so I'll see. Edward Greenfield? No? Susan Slesinger? Okay. And what about Ronnie Ilovitch [phonetic]? Elvitch [phonetic]? Okay. Kurt Stall [phonetic]? Dawn Lupert [phonetic], Lippert? Dawn Lippert? Jim Hoey? I hope I'm saying that right. It's H-O-E-Y. And Elizabeth Hubby? Okay.

MS. SUSAN SLESINGER: My name is Susan Slesinger. I am a 23--sorry, 23-year summer resident of Fair Harbor. I have no service whatsoever because I have--I don't have any cell service where I am, nothing. So I got Voice Link and last week we had a really exciting week. All my smoke alarms went off at once at night, at about 10:00 at night. And the--my husband was there. I was in New York. And he

tried to use the Voice Link and it wouldn't go through. He dialed 911. Nothing went through.

2.2

He then called—ran outside and ran way up the street because we have no cell service, no Verizon wireless service, nothing, nothing. And he had to go up the street and he actually called me to go, "Okay, what do I do," because he's my husband. And I'm like "Okay, send my 11-year-old on his bicycle to the fire station." Now, where does that put us? That's how we have to--you know, send someone to the fire station who then they called an alarm and they came and it was really nothing.

But two days prior to that my 11-year-old fell off his bicycle, slammed his head against the walk, cut himself several places. And of course my husband called me in the city, and we spoke for about ten seconds and Voice Link cut out. We had seven calls. I could not call them. They couldn't hear-the phone wouldn't ring. I had four calls where I say "Hello? Hello?" No one was there. And I could see that the house was calling me, was there.

He had to run up the street, leave my son hysterically crying, go all the way up the walk till he had service to call me to say "Okay, you know, I think he's okay." But, you know, this is ridiculous.

If there—how many people—like is someone going to die? Is a child going to be killed, really, before you guys decide that Verizon shouldn't be able to do whatever they want and that we should actually have service?

I mean Voice Link does not work. I asked them to come and remove it because I don't want to pay for something that's not--I mean I can't call it. They cannot receive a phone call and you can't talk for more than 10 or 20 seconds on the phone in my house. So I have zero communication.

And I actually have another experience with Voice Link. I have Verizon service in Manhattan on my landline in my business, a business phone. And I didn't have service for a month and a half. They said a cable went out and until I called the Utility Commission and filed a complaint, three complaints, I finally got a phone call and they—she said—Verizon, she said, "Oh, that cable should take two weeks at the most to fix." But they did come and they gave me Voice Link and it crapped out in two days. Okay? It just stopped working. And I have—there's plenty of, you know, internet there, you know, Verizon phones work there easily, and it just stopped working.

So if there is an emergency in my house

2.2

2.2

someone is going to die. Someone is going to be really hurt, or I have to send someone. I have to run to the fire station. I mean what year really does that put us back to? You know, I don't know. So I can't--I think it's egregious that they were allowed to do this and to offer this, and in your conscience if you do this you will have blood on your hands.

[Applause]

MS. SLESINGER: And I'm paying a babysitter to be here all day.

MS. DAWN LIPPERT: Thank you. My name is
Dawn Lippert. I've been a 25-year year-round
resident. So I'm here in the off season. I've
written an email to the Public Service Commission
stating my concerns for EMS, fire, the school issues.
To be in compliance with the SEC we have to have, you
know, ability to--for the children to take their
tests online, but I covered all that in my email.

But what has come really to a concern is the basic bottom line that Verizon wants to make a profit, and by kicking it over to Verizon Wireless, from what I understand, is a different company, they're not under the, you know, obligations that they had gotten being state of last resort giving us

1 landline. All Verizon can do is give us landline. 2 They don't have the wireless capabilities, which 3 means they'll have to subcontract it out to Verizon 4 Wireless. My concern is if you, you know, give them, you know, what they're appealing for, they'll just 5 6 drop kick to Verizon Wireless and that's it. We'll 7 never get a landline again. And so--and which takes 8 them out of the regulatory obligations that they have 9 since, you know, wireless is not regulated. And my 10 concern, would we have recourse if we start having 11 problems with them. You know, do we have the appeals 12 of the Public Service Commission? 13 So I am just basically wanting to add to my 14 email concerns, which is all the safety with the 15 previous person. That would be horrible if a child

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

email concerns, which is all the safety with the previous person. That would be horrible if a child is hurt and she cannot get EMS services, a house burns down because their monitoring system didn't work, you know, someone dies because their, you know, defibrillator information can't go through. And, you know, school stuff, you know, but that's minimal compared to the ability to have the fire and safety and EMS ability established.

And, quite frankly, letting Verizon getting--get out of what they--you know, they wanted New York. They get the good with the bad. Fire Island

1 is going to cost them money and they should just buck 2 up and do it, and not get out of their obligation by 3 drop kicking it to Verizon Wireless, which is another 4 company, and that's the only way you get Voice Link. 5 Fortunately, I don't have to have it so far because, 6 knock on wood, but I guess if they get what they're 7 asking for I won't have a choice. They'll just take-8 -they'll just cut the whole landline system because 9 if they're not obligated to service it they won't 10 have to. So I please, please, please don't give them 11 what they want, their, you know, ability to get rid 12 Thank you. of us. 13 [Applause] 14 ALJ PHILLIPS: Okay. I just want to double 15 check again. The last two cards were Jim Hoey and 16 Elizabeth Hubby. 17

MS. ELIZABETH HUBBY: I'm here.

ALJ PHILLIPS: Oh, okay.

MS. HUBBY: Hi. I'm Elizabeth Hubby and I am a summer person and an EMT spouse, but I actually come by my suspicions of the phone company honestly. My father basically originated enhanced 911, and he told me about some ways in which the whole 911 system should have greater scrutiny in terms of what corporations can get away with.

25

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

I'm actually quite happy that I was profitable for Verizon this year. I paid for my phone service all winter long and that meant that I didn't have to call Verizon and say "Please turn it on," and that's why I'm the only person I know who has DSL and has a working phone line. And so the reason I'm here basically, genetics, and because I feel that Verizon misrepresented, as you've heard, but I have my own take on it. Misrepresented how far gone the system was.

I think there's been no effort whatsoever to explain why my service had no problems and my neighbors were all unable even to try to see if their system would work. One had reported last week that she had been denied the chance to try it. After her name and number were put in, Verizon called her last week and said, "So, you weren't able to try your DSL. You weren't able to try your landline, but you've got Voice Link now so we can't try it anymore." So it's that fraud of saying the system was wiped out that I am most offended by, and I hope that basically we can count on you to protect us.

A profit seeking corporation doesn't really care if you walk through the streets of Ocean Beach and you see a lot fewer people. People can't rent

1	their houses because they don't have internet to
2	provide. And we know we're going to go underwater in
3	another 100 years but we'd really rather not lose our
4	communities now. Thank you.
5	[Applause]
6	ALJ PHILLIPS: I just want to check. Are
7	there any other cards, Jill? Okay. Is there anyone
8	who didn't fill out a card who wants to, who would
9	like to, whose
10	MALE VOICE:
11	ALJ PHILLIPS: Yes, yes. I just want to say
12	thank you so much for coming out. Oh, sorry. I'm
13	sorry.
14	MS. ALIX BICKSON: It's okay.
15	ALJ PHILLIPS: What is your name?
16	MS. BICKSON: My name is Alix Bickson and my
17	family and I, we've been residents of Dunewood since
18	I was born.
19	ALJ PHILLIPS: Okay. You didn't fill out a
20	card though, right?
21	MS. BICKSON: No, I didn't. Am I still
22	allowed to speak?
23	ALJ PHILLIPS: Can you just spell your name?
24	Because we need to get it.
25	MS. BICKSON: Sure. A-L-I-X B-I-C-K-S-O-N,

2.2

Alix Bickson. I just wanted to state that the women before me said that she paid for a DSL throughout the winter and it still worked for her afterwards. My family paid for our DSL throughout the winter and that was not the case for us and that was not the case for many others as well.

Middlebury College and there is a lot of people and a lot of discourse now that corporations run the world and that there's nothing that can be done to stop them, and that they can do whatever they want. And I know that this is a small case and this is a small island and we're a small group of people, but please help us to prove that that's not the case, so that corporations can't try and do this to other people throughout the country as well. Thanks.

[Applause]

ALJ PHILLIPS: Thank you. Is there anyone else who hasn't--

[Inaudible conversations]

ALJ PHILLIPS: Okay. Let--I'm sorry,
before we--before we do this, because you're voices
are not going to be captured unless you're on the
microphone. I just want to close out the hearing but
we'll stay here and talk to you for as long as you

need us to. Let me just finish. So I did want to say thank you because I know some of you have been here for the whole thing like me. Thank you so much for coming out. We really do want to get your input. This is helpful to us and I know it's very hard to be inside on a day like today. So thank you for your input and your comments.

Again, if you need to add to your comments, if you want to provide additional information, there are numerous ways that you can still do that. We ask that you do that by I believe it's September 13th, so that we'll have time to analyze your comments and make sure that they're reflected.

As far as the schedule, my only understanding is that there is a further report that will be due, and then I don't think there has been a date established for a decision because that will have to be reviewed and I think as the staff indicated earlier they're still doing their investigation as well. So it's all on DMM. If you go and type--I'm sorry, our Document Management Matter system. If you go in and type the case number you should be able to follow everything as it happens because it will be on that public website.

So again thank you so much. We are formally

2.2

## $C \ E \ R \ T \ I \ F \ I \ C \ A \ T \ E$

I, Brandi Dean, certify that the foregoing transcript of proceedings in the New York State Department of Public Service, Case 13-C-0197 - Public Statement Hearing Verizon New York, was prepared using the required transcription equipment and is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

andi Dean

Signature:

Date: August 26, 2013